

THE ASYMPTOTIC OF THE HOLOMORPHIC ANALYTIC TORSION FORMS

MARTIN PUCHOL

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is first to give an asymptotic formula for the holomorphic analytic torsion forms of a fibration associated with increasing powers of a given line bundle. Secondly, we generalize this formula, thanks to the theory of Toeplitz operators, in the case where the powers of the line bundle is replaced by the direct image of powers of a line bundle on a bigger manifold. In both cases we have to make fiberwise positivity assumption on the line bundle. This results are the family versions of the results of Bismut and Vasserot on the asymptotic of the holomorphic torsion.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 58J52, 41A60 (primary), 47B35, 35K08 (secondary)

0. INTRODUCTION

The holomorphic analytic torsion was defined in [35] by Ray and Singer as the complex analogue of its real version for flat vector bundles. It is obtained by regularizing the determinant of the Kodaira Laplacian of holomorphic vector bundles on a compact complex manifold. It appears in the study by Bismut-Gillet-Soulé of the determinant of the fiberwise cohomology of a holomorphic fibration in [10].

Analytic torsion has an extension in the family setting: the analytic torsion forms, defined in various degrees of generality by Bismut-Gillet-Soulé [9], Bismut-Köhler [11] and Bismut [8]. The 0-degree component of these forms is the analytic torsion of Ray-Singer along the fiber. The analytic torsion forms have found many applications, especially because it was introduced, by Gillet and Soulé in particular, as the analytic counterpart of the direct image in Arakelov geometry. In deed, the torsion appear in the arithmetic Riemann-Roch theorem [23] and the torsion forms in the arithmetic Riemann-Roch-Grothendieck theorem in higher degrees [22]. An other application of holomorphic torsion is the study of the moduli space of K3 surfaces by Yoshikawa in [38] and his subsequent works. See also the recent works [19, 20] on analytic torsion classes and their application to the the arithmetic Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem in the case of general projective morphisms between regular arithmetic varieties.

Analytic torsion has an equivariant version, introduced in [27] and [12]. In [24, 25], Köhler and Roessler have used equivariant torsion in their work on a Lefschetz type fixed point formula in Arakelov geometry.

In [15], Bismut and Vasserot computed the asymptotic of the analytic torsion associated with increasing powers of a positive line bundle, using the heat kernel method of [5] (see also [28, Sect. 5.5]). They also extended their result in [16], in the case where the powers of the line bundle are replaced by the symmetric powers of a positive bundle using a trick due to Getzler [21]. These asymptotics have played an important role in a result of arithmetic ampleness by Gillet and Soulé [23] (see also [37, Chp VIII]).

In this paper, we give the family versions at the level of forms of the results Bismut and Vasserot for the analytic torsion forms. We first consider the case of torsion forms of a fibration associated with increasing powers of a given positive line bundle which is positive along the

fiber. This correspond to [15]. We will use a similar strategy as in that paper, but some additional difficulties appear due to the horizontal differential forms appearing in the Bismut superconnection (compared to the Kodaira Laplacian) used in the definition of the torsion forms. Indeed, the operators we are dealing with here have a nilpotent part (i.e., the part in positive degree along the basis) that must be taken into account, especially when estimating resultants or heat kernels. Moreover, to give the asymptotic formula we have to compute explicitly super-traces of terms involving an exponential coupling horizontal forms and vertical Clifford variables, which makes the computation much more complicated than in [15]. Note also that in all our results of smooth convergence, we have to take into account the derivatives along the basis.

Next, we consider the case of torsion forms of a fibration associated with the direct image of powers of a line bundle on a bigger manifold. We have to make some partial positivity assumption on the line bundle. This generalize [16] in two ways. Firstly we work in the family setting. Secondly it is easy to see that the results of [16] apply in fact to the direct image of powers of a line bundle on a bigger manifold given by a principal G -bundle with G compact and connected. Here we do not assume that this is the case, and as a consequence, we cannot use the same trick as in [16] to reduce the problem to our first result. Thus, even if the basis is a point, i.e., for the torsion, we get a new result when compared to [16].

In the general case, we thus use the same heat kernel approach as in our first result. However here, in addition to the difficulties pointed out above, we have to deal with the fact that the dimension of the bundle we are working with grows to infinity. In particular, we cannot hope to have a limiting operator for the rescaled operator, nor limitings coefficients in the development of the heat kernel, and in all our proofs we have to make uniform estimates on spaces that change. To overcome these issues, we will draw inspiration from [14, 13] and use the formalism of Toeplitz operators of [28]. The idea is to use the operator norm on matrices to have uniform boundedness properties of Toeplitz operators, and to replace the convergence to limiting objects by an approximation by objects with Toeplitz coefficients.

We now give more details about our results. Let M and B be two complex manifolds. Let $\pi: M \rightarrow B$ be a holomorphic fibration with compact fiber X of dimension n . We denote by TX the holomorphic tangent bundle to the fiber, and $T_{\mathbb{R}}X$ the real tangent bundle. We denote by $T_{\mathbb{C}}X = T_{\mathbb{R}}X \otimes \mathbb{C}$ the complexified tangent bundle, and $T^{(1,0)}X, T^{(0,1)}X \subset T_{\mathbb{C}}X$ the $\pm\sqrt{-1}$ -eigenspace of the complex structure $J^{T_{\mathbb{R}}X}$ of the fiber. Recall that we have a canonical isomorphism $TX \simeq T^{(1,0)}X$. In the sequel, we will use the same notations for all the other tangent bundles.

Let (π, ω) be a structure of Hermitian fibration in the sense of Section 1.1, i.e., ω is a smooth $(1,1)$ -form on M which induces a Hermitian metric h^{TX} along the fibers.

Let (ξ, h^{ξ}) be a holomorphic Hermitian vector bundle on M , and let (L, h^L) be a holomorphic Hermitian line bundle on M . We denote the curvature of the Chern connection of L by R^L , and we make the following basic assumption:

Assumption 0.1. *The $(1,1)$ -form $\sqrt{-1}R^L$ is positive along the fibers, which means that for any $0 \neq U \in T^{(1,0)}X$, we have*

$$(0.1) \quad R^L(U, \overline{U}) > 0.$$

Let $\dot{R}^{X,L} \in \text{End}(TX)$ be the Hermitian matrix such that for any $U, V \in T^{(1,0)}X$,

$$(0.2) \quad R^L(U, \overline{V}) = \langle \dot{R}^{X,L}U, V \rangle_{h^{TX}}.$$

By Assumption 0.1, $\dot{R}^{X,L}$ is positive definite.

For $p \in \mathbb{N}$, let L^p be the p^{th} tensor power of L . We assume that there is a $p_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the direct image $R^i\pi_*(\xi \otimes L^p)$ is locally free for all $p \geq p_0$.

Remark 0.2. If the basis B is compact, then Assumption 0.1 implies that for p large enough the direct image $R^\bullet \pi_*(\xi \otimes L^p)$ is automatically locally free, and moreover that $R^i \pi_*(\xi \otimes L^p) = 0$ for $i > 0$. Thus our hypothesis is in fact a uniformity assumption over the compact subsets of B .

In the sequel, all results holds for $p \geq p_0$, and we will not repeat this hypothesis.

We endow $\xi \otimes L^p$ with the metric $h^{\xi \otimes L^p}$ induced by h^ξ and h^L . We can then define (see Definition 1.17) the analytic torsion forms $\mathcal{T}(\omega, h^{\xi \otimes L^p})$ associated with (π, ω) and $(\xi \otimes L^p, h^{\xi \otimes L^p})$.

If α is a form on B , we denote by $\alpha^{(k)}$ its component of degree k . We can now state our first main result, which is the extension of [15] in the family case:

Theorem 0.3. *Let $k \in \{0, \dots, \dim B\}$. Then the component of degree $2k$ of the torsion form $\mathcal{T}(\omega, h^{\xi \otimes L^p})$ associated with ω and $h^{\xi \otimes L^p}$ have the following asymptotic as $p \rightarrow +\infty$:*

$$(0.3) \quad \mathcal{T}(\omega, h^{\xi \otimes L^p})^{(2k)} = \frac{\text{rk}(\xi)}{2} \left(\int_X \log \left[\det \left(\frac{p \dot{R}^{X,L}}{2\pi} \right) \right] e^{p \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} R^L} \right)^{(2k)} + o(p^{k+n}),$$

in the topology of $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ convergence on compact subsets of B .

We now turn to our second result. Let N , M and B be three complex manifolds. Let $\pi_1: N \rightarrow M$ and $\pi_2: M \rightarrow B$ be holomorphic fibrations with compact fiber Y and X respectively. Then $\pi_3 := \pi_2 \circ \pi_1: N \rightarrow B$ is a holomorphic fibration, whose compact fiber is denoted by Z . We denote by n_X (resp. n_Y , n_Z) the complex dimension of X (resp. Y , Z). Note that $\pi_1|_Z: Z \rightarrow X$ is a holomorphic fibration with fiber Y . This is summarized in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y & \longrightarrow & Z & \longrightarrow & N \\ & & \downarrow \pi_1 & & \downarrow \pi_1 \searrow \pi_3 \\ & & X & \longrightarrow & M \xrightarrow{\pi_2} B \end{array}$$

We suppose that we are given (π_2, ω^M) a structure of Hermitian fibration (see Section 1.1). We denote by $T_B^H M = TX^{\perp, \omega^M}$ the corresponding horizontal space.

Let (ξ, h^ξ) be a holomorphic Hermitian vector bundle on M , and let (η, h^η) be a holomorphic Hermitian vector bundle on N . Let (L, h^L) be a holomorphic Hermitian line bundle on N . We denotes its Chern connection by ∇^L , and the corresponding curvature by R^L .

As above, we make a positivity assumption on L :

Assumption 0.4. *The $(1,1)$ -form $\sqrt{-1}R^L$ is positive along the fibers of π_3 , that is for any $0 \neq U \in TZ$, we have*

$$(0.4) \quad R^L(U, \overline{U}) > 0.$$

In particular, $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} R^L$ enables us to define metrics $g^{T_{\mathbb{R}} Z}$ and $g^{T_{\mathbb{R}} Y}$ on $T_{\mathbb{R}} Z$ and $T_{\mathbb{R}} Y$ (see (3.1)).

We assume that there is $p_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $p \geq p_0$, the direct image $R^\bullet \pi_{1*}(L^p)$ is locally free and $R^i \pi_{1*}(L^p) = 0$ for $i > 0$. Then for $p \geq p_0$,

$$(0.5) \quad F_p := H^0(Y, L^p|_Y)$$

is a holomorphic vector bundle on M , endowed with the L^2 metric h^{F_p} induced by h^L and $g^{T_{\mathbb{R}} Y}$.

For $p \geq p_0$, we also assume that the direct images $R^\bullet \pi_{2*}(F_p)$ and $R^\bullet \pi_{3*}(L^p)$ are locally free. Then an easy spectral sequence argument shows that for all $i \geq 0$,

$$(0.6) \quad R^i \pi_{2*}(F_p) \simeq R^i \pi_{3*}(L^p).$$

Remark 0.5. If the basis B is compact, then Assumption 0.4 and Kodaira vanishing theorem imply the existence of p_0 such that for $p \geq p_0$ the above conditions are satisfied, i.e., the direct images $R^\bullet \pi_{1*}(L^p)$, $R^\bullet \pi_{2*}(F_p)$ and $R^\bullet \pi_{3*}(L^p)$ are locally free and concentrated in degree zero. In particular,

$$(0.7) \quad H^\bullet(X, F_p|_X) = H^0(X, F_p|_X) \simeq H^0(Z, L^p|_Z).$$

Thus our hypothesis is again a uniformity assumption over the compact subsets of B .

Here again, all results in the sequel holds for $p \geq p_0$, and we will not repeat this hypothesis.

We endow $\xi \otimes F_p$ with the metric $h^{\xi \otimes F_p}$ induced by h^ξ and h^{F_p} . Let $\mathcal{T}(\omega^M, h^{\xi \otimes F_p})$ be the holomorphic analytic torsion associated with ω^M and $(\xi \otimes F_p, h^{\xi \otimes F_p})$ as in Definition 1.17.

Let

$$(0.8) \quad T_B^H N = (TZ)^\perp, \quad T_M^H N = (TY)^\perp,$$

where the orthogonal complements are taken with respect to R^L . Then

$$(0.9) \quad T_X^H Z := T_M^H N \cap TZ$$

is the orthogonal complement of TY in TZ . Moreover,

$$(0.10) \quad T_B^H N \simeq \pi_3^* TB, \quad T_M^H N \simeq \pi_1^* TM \quad \text{and} \quad T_X^H Z \simeq \pi_1^* TX.$$

Let $\dot{R}^{X,L} \in \pi_1^* \text{End}(TX)$ be the Hermitian matrix such that for any $U, V \in TX$, if we denote their horizontal lifts by $U^H, V^H \in T_X^H Z$, then

$$(0.11) \quad R^L(U^H, \overline{V}^H) = \langle \dot{R}^{X,L} U, V \rangle_{h^{TX}}.$$

By Assumption 0.4, $\dot{R}^{X,L}$ is positive definite.

Remark 0.6. Note that $(\pi_1, -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} R^L)$ and $(\pi_1|_Z, -\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} R^L|_Z)$ define Kähler fibrations in the sense of Section 1.5, with respective horizontal spaces $T_M^H N$ and $T_X^H Z$.

We can now state the second main result of this paper, which is an extension of Theorem 0.3, and the family version of [16] (see the introduction of Section 3).

Theorem 0.7. *Let $k \in \{0, \dots, \dim B\}$. Then the component of degree $2k$ of the torsion form $\mathcal{T}(\omega, h^{\xi \otimes F_p})$ associated with ω^M and $h^{\xi \otimes F_p}$ have the following asymptotic as $p \rightarrow +\infty$:*

$$(0.12) \quad \mathcal{T}(\omega^M, h^{\xi \otimes F_p})^{(2k)} = \frac{\text{rk}(\xi)\text{rk}(\eta)}{2} \left(\int_Z \log \left[\det \left(\frac{p \dot{R}^{X,L}}{2\pi} \right) \right] e^{p \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} R^L} \right)^{(2k)} + o(p^{k+n_Z}),$$

in the topology of $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ convergence on compact subsets of B .

Remark 0.8. Theorem 0.7 is the family version of [16], with a more general bundle. Indeed, let V is a positive bundle on M in the sense of [16]. Then on the projectivization $N := \mathbb{P}(V^*)$ of V^* we can define L to be the dual of the universal line bundle. Then L satisfies Assumption 0.4. Let Y be the fiber of $\mathbb{P}(V^*) \rightarrow M$, then for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $H^\bullet(Y, L^p|_Y) = H^0(Y, L^p|_Y) \simeq S^p(V)$ the p^{th} symmetric power of V . Thus if we apply Theorem 0.7 for this fibration $N \rightarrow M$ and with B being a point, we find [16].

When is (π_2, ω^M) is a Kähler fibration, we can prove Theorem 0.7 modulo $\text{Im} \partial + \text{Im} \bar{\partial}$ from Theorem 0.3. In deed, we can use [11] and [26] to express $\mathcal{T}(\omega^M, h^{\xi \otimes F_p})$ in terms of torsions associated with $\pi_1^* \xi \otimes \eta \otimes L^p$, then apply Theorem 0.3 to get the asymptotic. It is important to keep in mind that this method cannot prove the convergence at the level of forms and that when B is not compact or not Kähler, the space $\text{Im} \partial + \text{Im} \bar{\partial}$ is not closed. Thus, this strategy is relevant only when B is compact Kähler.

Note however that in degree zero, i.e., for the torsion of Ray-Singer, we do not have this problem of taking quotient. Thus Theorem 0.7 in degree 0 can be seen as a consequence of [15, Thm. 8], Theorem 0.3 (in all degrees) and [3, Thm. 3.1] (which is [26] in degree 0). In this situation, our approach gives a direct proof.

As explained above and in Section 3, we will use the formalism of Toeplitz operators to prove this theorem.

We now recall the definition given in [28, Def. 7.2.1] of a Toeplitz operator.

Let $b \in B$. Set $x \in X_b := \pi_2^{-1}(b)$ and $Y_x := \pi_1^{-1}(x)$. Let $P_{p,x}$ be the orthogonal projection

$$(0.13) \quad P_{p,x} : L^2(Y_x, \eta \otimes L^p) \rightarrow H^0(Y_x, \eta \otimes L^p),$$

Definition 0.9. A *Toeplitz operator* on Y_x is a family of operators $T_p \in \text{End}(L^2(Y_x, \eta \otimes L^p))$ satisfying the following two properties:

(i) for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$(0.14) \quad T_p = P_{p,x} T_p P_{p,x};$$

(ii) there exists a sequence $f_r \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(Y, \text{End}(\eta))$ such that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $C_k > 0$ with

$$(0.15) \quad \left\| T_p - \sum_{r=0}^k p^{-r} P_{p,x} f_r P_{p,x} \right\|_\infty \leq C_k p^{-k-1},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ denotes the operator norm.

In the course of the proof of Theorem 0.7, we will prove an important result which is that the heat kernel of the Bismut superconnection is asymptotic to a family of Toeplitz operator. Let us give some detail about this result. Let $B_{u,p}$ be the Bismut superconnection associated with ω^M and $(\xi \otimes F_p, h^{\xi \otimes F_p})$ (see Definition 1.6). Then by Theorem 1.8, $B_{u,p}^2$ is a fiberwise elliptic second order differential operator. Let $\exp(-B_{p,u/p}^2)$ be the corresponding heat kernel. For $b \in B$, let $\exp(-B_{p,u/p}^2)(x, x')$ be the smooth Schwartz kernel of $\exp(-B_{p,u/p}^2)$ with respect to $dv_{X_b}(x')$. Then

$$(0.16) \quad \exp(-B_{p,u/p}^2)(x, x) \in \text{End}(\Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R},b}^* B) \otimes (\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^* X_b) \otimes \xi \otimes F_p)).$$

For $a > 0$, ψ_a is the automorphism of $\Lambda(T_{\mathbb{R}}^* B)$ such that if $\alpha \in \Lambda^q(T_{\mathbb{R}}^* B)$, then

$$(0.17) \quad \psi_a \alpha = a^q \alpha.$$

Let Ω_u be the form defined in (3.110). Then we show that

Theorem 0.10. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. As $p \rightarrow +\infty$, uniformly as u varies in a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}_+^*$ and (b, x) varies in a compact subset of M , we have the following asymptotic for the operator norm on $\text{End}(\Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R},b}^* B) \otimes (\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^* X_b) \otimes \xi \otimes F_p))$ and the operator norm of the derivatives up to order k :*

$$(0.18) \quad \psi_{1/\sqrt{p}} \exp(-B_{p,u/p}^2)(x, x) = \frac{p^{n_X}}{(2\pi)^{n_X}} P_{p,x} e^{-\Omega_{u,(x,\cdot)}} \frac{\det(\dot{R}_{(x,\cdot)}^{X,L})}{\det(1 - \exp(-u \dot{R}_{(x,\cdot)}^{X,L}))} \otimes \text{Id}_{\xi_x} P_{p,x} + o(p^{n_X}).$$

Here the dot symbolize the variable in Y_x .

In degree 0, $B_{p,u/p}^{2,(0)} = \frac{u}{p}\square_p$, where $\square_p$ denotes the Kodaira Laplacian of $(F_p|_X, h^{F_p|_X})$. We thus get the asymptotic of the heat kernel:

$$(0.19) \quad \exp(-\frac{u}{p}\square_p)(x, x) = \frac{p^{n_X}}{(2\pi)^{n_X}} P_{p,x} e^{-\omega_{u,(x,\cdot)}} \frac{\det(\dot{R}_{(x,\cdot)}^{X,L})}{\det(1 - \exp(-u\dot{R}_{(x,\cdot)}^{X,L}))} \otimes \text{Id}_{\xi_x} P_{p,x} + o(p^{n_X}).$$

where $\omega_u = \Omega_u^{(0)}$. Let $\{w_j\}$ be an orthonormal frame of (TX, h^{TX}) , with dual frame $\{w^j\}$, we then have $\omega_u = uR^L(w_k^H, \overline{w}_\ell^H)\overline{w}^\ell \wedge i\overline{w}_k$. Thus, the asymptotic of the heat kernel is given by a Toeplitz operator associated with a term similar to the one appearing in the classical asymptotic of the heat kernel associated with high powers of a line bundle (see for instance [28, Thm. 1.6.1]).

Remark 0.11. Note that in the proof of Theorem 0.10 which we give in this paper, we do not use the assumption that L is positive along the fiber Z , but only along the fiber Y .

The results of this paper appear (in a more detail-heavy way) in the PhD thesis of the author [34] and were announced in [33].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we recall the definition given in [8] of the analytic torsion forms, in Section 2 we give the asymptotic of the torsion forms associated with increasing powers of a given line bundle and in Section 3 we give the asymptotic of the torsion forms associated with the direct image of powers of a line bundle on a bigger manifold. Sections 2 and 3 begin with introductions where the reader can find the notations and assumptions.

1. THE HOLOMORPHIC ANALYTIC TORSION FORMS

In this section, following [8, Chap. 3-4], we will define the holomorphic analytic torsion forms associated to a holomorphic Hermitian (non-necessarily Kähler) fibration. This section is organized as follows. In Subsection 1.1 we define Hermitian fibrations, In Subsection 1.2 we recall the definition of the Bismut superconnection associated with a Hermitian fibration and give the formula for its square, in Subsection 1.3, we introduce the cohomology of the fiber as a bundle on the basis and its Chern connection, in Subsection 1.4 we define the analytic torsion forms and finally in Subsection 1.5 we recall the definition of a Kähler fibration and we specialize the above constructions in this case.

1.1. A Hermitian fibration. Let M and B be two complex manifolds of respective dimension m and ℓ . Let $\pi: M \rightarrow B$ be a holomorphic fibration with n -dimensional compact fiber X . Recall that we denote by TM (resp. TB) the holomorphic tangent bundle of M (resp. B), and by TX the relative holomorphic tangent bundle TM/B . We denote the real tangent bundles by $T_{\mathbb{R}}M$, etc. and their complexification by $T_{\mathbb{C}}M$, etc.

Let $J^{T_{\mathbb{R}}X}$ be the complex structure on $T_{\mathbb{R}}X$, and let ω be a smooth real (1,1)-form on M . Let

$$(1.1) \quad \omega^X = \omega|_{T_{\mathbb{R}}X \times T_{\mathbb{R}}X}.$$

We assume that the formula

$$(1.2) \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{g^{T_{\mathbb{R}}X}} := \omega^X(J^{T_{\mathbb{R}}X} \cdot, \cdot)$$

defines a Riemannian structure on $T_{\mathbb{R}}X$. We denote by h^{TX} the associated Hermitian structure on TX .

Let $T^H M \subset TM$ be the orthogonal bundle to TX in TM with respect to ω , and $T_{\mathbb{R}}^H M \subset T_{\mathbb{R}}M$ be the corresponding real vector bundle. Then we have the isomorphism of smooth vector bundles

$$(1.3) \quad T^H M \simeq \pi^* TB, \quad \text{and} \quad TM = T^H M \oplus TX.$$

If $U \in T_{\mathbb{R}}B$, we denote by U^H its lift in $T_{\mathbb{R}}^H M$.

The identifications (1.3) yields to the isomorphism

$$(1.4) \quad \Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^*M) \simeq \pi^* \Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^*B) \otimes \Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^*X).$$

Here, and in this whole paper, $\otimes$ denotes the graded tensor product.

Let

$$(1.5) \quad \omega^H = \omega|_{T_{\mathbb{R}}^H M \times T_{\mathbb{R}}^H M}.$$

We extend ω^X and ω^H (by zero) to $T_{\mathbb{R}}^H M \oplus T_{\mathbb{R}} X$. Then

$$(1.6) \quad \omega = \omega^X + \omega^H.$$

We call the data (π, ω) a *Hermitian fibration*.

1.2. The Bismut superconnection of a Hermitian fibration. Let (π, ω) be a Hermitian fibration with associated Hermitian metric along the fibers h^{TX} .

Let $g^{T_{\mathbb{R}}B}$ be a Riemannian metric on B , and let $g^{T_{\mathbb{R}}M}$ be the metric on M induced by $g^{T_{\mathbb{R}}B}$, $g^{T_{\mathbb{R}}Z}$ and the decomposition (1.3). Ultimately, the objects we will define will not depend on the choice of $g^{T_{\mathbb{R}}B}$.

Let (ξ, h^ξ) be a holomorphic Hermitian vector bundle on M . Let ∇^{TX} and ∇^ξ be the Chern connections on (TX, h^{TX}) and (ξ, h^ξ) . We denote their curvature by R^{TX} and L^ξ respectively. Let $\nabla^{\Lambda^{0,\bullet}}$ be the connexion induced by ∇^{TX} on $\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^*X) := \Lambda^\bullet(T^{*(0,1)}X)$, and $\nabla^{\Lambda^{0,\bullet} \otimes \xi}$ be the connexion on $\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^*X) \otimes \xi$ induced by $\nabla^{\Lambda^{0,\bullet}}$ and ∇^ξ .

Definition 1.1. For $0 \leq p \leq \dim X$, and $b \in B$, set

$$(1.7) \quad E_b^k = \mathcal{C}^\infty(X_b, (\Lambda^{0,k}(T^*X) \otimes \xi)|_{X_b}), \quad E_b = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\dim X} E_b^k.$$

As in [4] or [9], we can think of the E_b 's as the fibers of a $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded infinite dimensional vector bundle E on B . In this case, smooth sections of E on B are identified with smooth sections of $\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^*X) \otimes \xi$ on M .

Let dv_{X_b} be the volume element of $(X_b, h^{TX}|_{X_b})$. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the Hermitian product on E associated to h^{TX} and h^ξ :

$$(1.8) \quad \langle s, s' \rangle_b = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\dim X}} \int_{X_b} \langle s, s' \rangle_{\Lambda^{0,\bullet} \otimes \xi}(x) dv_{X_b}(x).$$

Definition 1.2. For $U \in T_{\mathbb{R}}B$ and s a smooth section of E on B , set

$$(1.9) \quad \nabla_U^E = \nabla_{UH}^{\Lambda^{0,\bullet} \otimes \xi} s.$$

We extend ∇^E to an operator on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \pi^* \Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^*B) \otimes \Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^*X) \otimes \xi)$, which will be again denoted by ∇^E . Let $\nabla^{E'}$ and $\nabla^{E''}$ be the holomorphic and anti-holomorphic part of ∇^E .

Note that ∇^E does not necessarily preserve the Hermitian product (1.8) on E .

For $b \in B$, let $\bar{\partial}^{X_b}$ be the Dolbeault operator acting on E_b and let $\bar{\partial}^{X_b,*}$ be its formal adjoint with respect to the Hermitian product (1.8). Set

$$(1.10) \quad D^{X_b} = \bar{\partial}^{X_b} + \bar{\partial}^{X_b,*}.$$

Let $C(T_{\mathbb{R}}X)$ be the Clifford algebra of $(T_{\mathbb{R}}X, g^{T_{\mathbb{R}}X})$. The bundle $\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^*X) \otimes \xi$ is a $C(T_{\mathbb{R}}X)$ -Clifford module: if $U \in TX \simeq T^{(1,0)}X$, denote by $U^* \in T^{*(0,1)}X$ its dual for the metric h^{TX} , and then

$$(1.11) \quad c(U) = \sqrt{2}U^* \wedge \quad \text{and} \quad c(\bar{U}) = -\sqrt{2}i\bar{U}.$$

Let $P^{T_{\mathbb{R}}X}$ be the projection $T_{\mathbb{R}}M = T_{\mathbb{R}}^H M \oplus T_{\mathbb{R}}X \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{R}}X$. For $U, V \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(B, T_{\mathbb{R}}B)$ set

$$(1.12) \quad T(U, V) = -P^{T_{\mathbb{R}}X}[U^H, V^H].$$

Definition 1.3. Let $f_1, \dots, f_{2n}$ be a basis of $T_{\mathbb{R}}B$ and $f^1, \dots, f^{2n}$ its dual basis. Set

$$(1.13) \quad c(T) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} f^\alpha f^\beta c(T(f_\alpha, f_\beta)),$$

which is a section of $[\Lambda(T_{\mathbb{R}}^*B) \otimes \text{End}(\Lambda^{0, \bullet}(T^*X) \otimes \xi)]^{\text{odd}}$.

Let $T^{(1,0)}$ and $T^{(0,1)}$ be the components of the $(1,1)$ form T in $T^{(1,0)}X$ and $T^{(0,1)}X$ respectively. We define $c(T^{(1,0)})$ and $c(T^{(0,1)})$ as in (1.13), so that

$$(1.14) \quad c(T) = c(T^{(1,0)}) + c(T^{(0,1)}).$$

Let γ be the one form on $T_{\mathbb{R}}B$ such that

$$(1.15) \quad \mathcal{L}_{A^H} dv_X = \gamma(A) dv_X.$$

We assume temporarily that $\det(TX)$ has a square root λ . Equivalently, $T_{\mathbb{R}}X$ is equipped with a spin structure. Then λ is a holomorphic Hermitian vector bundle on M . Let ∇^λ be the corresponding Chern connection. Let

$$(1.16) \quad \mathcal{S}^{TX} = \Lambda^{0, \bullet}(T^*X) \otimes \lambda^*$$

be the associated $(T_{\mathbb{R}}X, g^{T_{\mathbb{R}}X})$ -spinor bundle. Let $\nabla^{\mathcal{S}^{TX}, LC}$ be the connection on $\mathcal{S}^{TX}$ induced by $\nabla^{T_{\mathbb{R}}X, LC}$, the Levi-Civita connection of $T_{\mathbb{R}}X$. Finally, let $\nabla^{\Lambda^{0, \bullet}, LC}$ be the connection on $\Lambda^{0, \bullet}(T^*X)$ induced by $\nabla^{\mathcal{S}^{TX}, LC}$ and ∇^λ , and let $\nabla^{\Lambda^{0, \bullet} \otimes \xi, LC}$ be the connection induced by $\nabla^{\Lambda^{0, \bullet}, LC}$ and ∇^ξ on $\Lambda^{0, \bullet}(T^*X) \otimes \xi$.

Note that, as $\det(TX)$ has always locally a square root, the connection $\nabla^{\Lambda^{0, \bullet} \otimes \xi, LC}$ is in fact always defined.

The reader should be careful about the fact that in [8], the Clifford algebra $C(T_{\mathbb{R}}X)$ is constructed with respect to $g^{T_{\mathbb{R}}X}/2$, so that our formulas will differ from those of [8] by some powers of $1/\sqrt{2}$.

Let $(e_1, \dots, e_{2n})$ be an orthonormal frame of $T_{\mathbb{R}}X$.

Definition 1.4. We follow here [8, Defs. 3.7.2, 3.7.4 and 3.7.5].

(1) The Dirac operator of the fiber is defined by

$$(1.17) \quad D^{X, LC} := c(e_i) \nabla_{e_i}^{\Lambda^{0, \bullet} \otimes \xi, LC}$$

(2) For $U \in T_{\mathbb{R}}B$ and s a smooth section of E , let

$$(1.18) \quad \nabla_U^{E, LC} s = \nabla_{U^H}^{\Lambda^{0, \bullet} \otimes \xi, LC} s + \frac{1}{2} \gamma(U) s.$$

(3) Finally, let

$$(1.19) \quad A^{LC} = \nabla^{E, LC} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} D^{X, LC} - \frac{c(T)}{2\sqrt{2}}.$$

This superconnection on E is called the *Levi-Civita superconnection*.

Let $(e^1, \dots, e^{2n})$ be the dual frame of $(e_1, \dots, e_{2n})$. We define a map $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^c$ from $\Lambda(T_{\mathbb{R}}^*X)$ to $C(T_{\mathbb{R}}X)$ by setting for $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq 2n$:

$$(1.20) \quad (e^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e^{i_k})^c = 2^{-k/2} c(e_{i_1}) \dots c(e_{i_k}).$$

We extend this map to a map (denoted in the same way) from $\Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^*M) \simeq \pi^* \Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^*B) \otimes \Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^*X)$ to $\pi^* \Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^*B) \otimes C(T_{\mathbb{R}}X)$.

Proposition 1.5. *The following formula holds*

$$(1.21) \quad D^X = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} D^{X,LC} + \frac{1}{2} \left((\bar{\partial}^X - \partial^X) i\omega^X \right)^c.$$

Proof. See [8, Thm. 3.7.3] or [28, Thm. 1.4.5]. $\square$

Recall that for $a > 0$, ψ_a is the automorphism of $\Lambda(T_{\mathbb{R}}^* B)$ such that if $\alpha \in \Lambda^q(T_{\mathbb{R}}^* B)$, then

$$(1.22) \quad \psi_a \alpha = a^q \alpha.$$

By (1.3), we may also see ψ_a as an automorphism of $\Lambda(T_{\mathbb{R}}^{H,*} M)$.

We can now define the superconnection of main interest for us.

Definition 1.6. For $u > 0$, the *Bismut superconnection* B on E , and its rescaled version B_u are defined by

$$(1.23) \quad \begin{aligned} B &= A^{LC} + \frac{1}{2} \left((\bar{\partial}^M - \partial^M) i\omega \right)^c, \\ B_u &= \sqrt{u} \psi_{1/\sqrt{u}} B \psi_{\sqrt{u}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then B_u acts on

$$(1.24) \quad \Omega^\bullet(B, E) := \mathcal{C}^\infty \left(M, \pi^* \Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^* B) \otimes \Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^* X) \otimes \xi \right).$$

Moreover, by [8, (3.3.3), (3.5.17), (3.6.4) and (3.8.1)], the part of degree 0 in $\Lambda^\bullet T_{\mathbb{R}} B$ of B is

$$(1.25) \quad B^{(0)} = D^X.$$

Remark 1.7. This definition of the Bismut superconnection may not be the more natural and correspond in fact to [8, Thm. 3.8.1]. However, for the sake of concision we prefer to define B this way. We refer the reader to [8, Chap. 3] for an other definition of B .

Let $\nabla^{T_{\mathbb{R}} B, LC}$ be the Levi-Civita connection on $(T_{\mathbb{R}} B, g^{T_{\mathbb{R}} B})$. Then $\nabla^{T_{\mathbb{R}} B, LC}$ lifts to a connection $\nabla^{T_{\mathbb{R}}^H M, LC}$ on $T_{\mathbb{R}}^H M$, and we define $\nabla^{T_{\mathbb{R}} M, \oplus} = \nabla^{T_{\mathbb{R}}^H M, LC} \oplus \nabla^{T_{\mathbb{R}} X, LC}$. Let $\nabla^{T_{\mathbb{R}} M, LC}$ be the Levi-Civita connection of M . Set $S = \nabla^{T_{\mathbb{R}} M, LC} - \nabla^{T_{\mathbb{R}} M, \oplus}$. Then S is a one form on M taking values in antisymmetric elements of $\text{End}(T_{\mathbb{R}} M)$. Moreover, by [4, Thm. 1.9], the $(3, 0)$ -tensor

$$(1.26) \quad S(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot) = \langle S(\cdot), \cdot \rangle_{h^{T_{\mathbb{R}} M}}$$

does not depend on $g^{T_{\mathbb{R}} B}$.

From now on, we will always use latin indices $i, j, \dots$ for the vertical variables, and greek indices $\alpha, \beta, \dots$ for the horizontal variables. Let $\{e_i\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $T_{\mathbb{R}} X$ with dual basis $\{e^i\}$ and $\{f_\alpha\}$ a basis of $T_{\mathbb{R}} B$ with dual basis $\{f^\alpha\}$ (which will be identified with basis of $T_{\mathbb{R}}^H M$ and $(T_{\mathbb{R}}^H M)^*$). For any $(k, 0)$ -tensor A , we will denote by $A_{a_1, \dots, a_k} = A(e_{a_1}, \dots, e_{a_k})$ where $e_{a_i} = e_j$ or f_α .

Let K^X be the scalar curvature of (X, TX) . Set

$$(1.27) \quad L'^\xi = L^\xi + \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(R^{TX}).$$

For $u > 0$, define

$$(1.28) \quad \nabla_{u, e_i} = \nabla_{e_i}^{\Lambda^{0,\bullet} \otimes \xi, LC} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2u}} S_{i,j,\alpha} c(e_j) f^\alpha + \frac{1}{2u} S_{i,\alpha,\beta} f^\alpha f^\beta + \frac{1}{2} \psi_{1/\sqrt{u}} \left(i_{e_i} (\bar{\partial}^M - \partial^M) i\omega \right)^c \psi_{\sqrt{u}},$$

which is a fiberwise connection on $\pi^* \Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^* B) \otimes \Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^* X) \otimes \xi$.

The following theorem is the fundamental Lichnerowicz formula proved in [8, Thm. 3.9.3].

Theorem 1.8. *For $u > 0$,*

$$(1.29) \quad B_u^2 = -\frac{u}{2}(\nabla_{u,e_i})^2 + \frac{uK^X}{8} + \frac{u}{4}c(e_i)c(e_j)L'_{i,j}{}^\xi + \sqrt{\frac{u}{2}}c(e_i)f^\alpha L'_{i,\alpha}{}^\xi + \frac{f^\alpha f^\beta}{2}L'_{\alpha,\beta}{}^\xi \\ - u\psi_{1/\sqrt{u}}\left(\bar{\partial}^M \partial^M i\omega\right)^c \psi_{\sqrt{u}} - \frac{u}{16} \left\| (\bar{\partial}^X - \partial^X) i\omega^X \right\|_{\Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^* X)}^2.$$

Thus, B_u^2 is a fiberwise elliptic second order differential operator. In particular, its heat kernel $\exp(-B_u^2)$ exists.

Remark 1.9. In this theorem, as in the whole article, we use the usual following notation: if C is a smooth section of $T_{\mathbb{R}}^* X \otimes \text{End}(\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^* X) \otimes \xi)$, then

$$(1.30) \quad \left(\nabla_{e_i}^{\Lambda^{0,\bullet} \otimes \xi} + C(e_i) \right)^2 = \sum_i \left(\nabla_{e_i}^{\Lambda^{0,\bullet} \otimes \xi} + C(e_i) \right)^2 - \nabla_{\sum_i \nabla_{e_i}^{TX} e_i}^{\Lambda^{0,\bullet} \otimes \xi} - C \left(\sum_i \nabla_{e_i}^{TX} e_i \right).$$

1.3. The cohomology of the fiber. We assume that the direct image $R^\bullet \pi_* \xi$ of ξ by π is locally free. For $b \in B$, let $H^\bullet(X_b, \xi|_{X_b})$ be the cohomology of the sheaf of holomorphic sections of ξ over X_b . Then the $H^\bullet(X_b, \xi|_{X_b})$'s form a $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded holomorphic vector bundle $H(X, \xi|_X)$ on B and $R^\bullet \pi_* \xi = H^\bullet(X, \xi|_X)$.

For $b \in B$, let $K(X_b, \xi|_{X_b}) = \ker(D^{X_b})$. By Hodge theory, we know that for every $b \in B$

$$(1.31) \quad H^\bullet(X_b, \xi|_{X_b}) \simeq K^\bullet(X_b, \xi|_{X_b}),$$

The Hermitian product (1.8) on E_b restricts to the right and side of (1.31), so h^{TX} and h^ξ induce a metric $h^{H(X, \xi|_X)}$ on the holomorphic vector bundle $H(X, \xi|_X)$, for which the $H^k(X, \xi|_X)$ are mutually orthogonal.

Definition 1.10. Let $\nabla^{H(X, \xi|_X)}$ be the Chern connection on $(H(X, \xi|_X), h^{H(X, \xi|_X)})$.

For $\bar{U} \in T^{0,1}B$ and $s \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(B, E)$, set $\nabla_{\bar{U}}^{E, u''} = \mathcal{L}_{\bar{U}^H}$. Let $\nabla^{E, u'}$ be the adjoint of $\nabla^{E, u''}$ defined by $\langle \nabla^{E, u'} s, s' \rangle = \langle s, \nabla^{E, u''} s' \rangle$, and let $\nabla^{E, u} = \nabla^{E, u'} + \nabla^{E, u''}$ (see [8, Chp. 3]).

Let P^{K_b} be the orthogonal projection from E_b on $K(X_b, \xi|_{X_b})$. We define the connection $\nabla^{K(X, \xi|_X)}$ on $K(X, \xi|_X)$ by

$$(1.32) \quad \nabla^{K(X, \xi|_X)} = P^K \nabla^{E, u} P^K.$$

The following proposition is proved in [8, Prop. 4.10.3].

Proposition 1.11. *Under the identification (1.31), the connections $\nabla^{H(X, \xi|_X)}$ and $\nabla^{K(X, \xi|_X)}$ agree.*

1.4. The analytic torsion forms.

Definition 1.12. For any complex manifold Z , we denote by Q^Z the vector space of real forms on Z which are sum of forms of type (p, p) . We also denote by $Q^{Z,0}$ the subspace of the $\alpha \in Q^Z$ that can be written $\alpha = \partial\beta + \bar{\partial}\gamma$ for some β, γ smooth form on Z .

Let N_V be the number operator defining the $\mathbb{Z}$ -grading on $\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^* X) \otimes \xi$ and on E .

Definition 1.13. For $u > 0$, set

$$(1.33) \quad N_u = N_V + i \frac{\omega^H}{u}.$$

Let Φ be the endomorphism of $\Lambda^{\text{even}}(T_{\mathbb{R}}^*B)$ defined by

$$(1.34) \quad \Phi: \alpha \in \Lambda^{2k}(T_{\mathbb{R}}^*B) \mapsto (2i\pi)^{-k}\alpha.$$

Let τ be the involution defining the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -graduation on E . If $H \in \text{End}(E)$ is trace class, we define its *supertrace* $\text{Tr}_s[H]$ by

$$(1.35) \quad \text{Tr}_s[H] = \text{Tr}[\tau H].$$

We extend the supertrace to get an application $\text{Tr}_s: \Omega^\bullet(B, E) \rightarrow \Omega^\bullet(B)$.

Theorem 1.14 (see [8, Thm. 4.5.2]). *For any $u > 0$, the forms $\Phi \text{Tr}_s [\exp(-B_u^2)]$ and $\Phi \text{Tr}_s [N_u \exp(-B_u^2)]$ lie in Q^B . Moreover the following identity holds in Q^B*

$$(1.36) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \Phi \text{Tr}_s [\exp(-B_u^2)] = -\frac{1}{u} \frac{\bar{\partial}\partial}{2i\pi} \Phi \text{Tr}_s [N_u \exp(-B_u^2)].$$

Let $(\alpha_u)_{u \in \mathbb{R}^+}$ and α be smooth forms on B . We say that as $u \rightarrow +\infty$ (resp. $u \rightarrow 0$), $\alpha_u = \alpha + O(f(u))$, if and only if for any compact set K in B and any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C > 0$ such that for every $u \geq 1$ (resp. $u \leq 1$) the norm of all the derivatives of order $\leq k$ of $\alpha_u - \alpha$ over K is bounded by $Cf(u)$.

Theorem 1.15 (see [8, Thm. 4.10.4]). *As $u \rightarrow +\infty$,*

$$(1.37) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi \text{Tr}_s [\exp(-B_u^2)] &= \Phi \text{Tr}_s [\exp(-(\nabla^{H(X, \xi|_X)})^2)] + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{u}}\right), \\ \Phi \text{Tr}_s [N_u \exp(-B_u^2)] &= \Phi \text{Tr}_s [N_V \exp(-(\nabla^{H(X, \xi|_X)})^2)] + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{u}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.16 (see [8, Prop. 4.6.1]). *There exist locally computable forms $(c_j \in Q^B)_{j \geq -m}$ and $(C_j \in Q^B)_{j \geq -m}$ such that for $u \rightarrow 0$ and for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$(1.38) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi \text{Tr}_s [\exp(-B_u^2)] &= \sum_{j=-m}^k c_j u^j + O(u^{k+1}), \\ \Phi \text{Tr}_s [N_u \exp(-B_u^2)] &= \sum_{j=-m}^k C_j u^j + O(u^{k+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Following [9, Def. 2.19], [11, Def. 3.8] and [8, (4.11.3)], we can now define the analytic torsion forms.

For $s \in \mathbb{C}$, $\text{Re}(s) > 1$, by Theorem 1.16, we can set

$$(1.39) \quad \zeta^1(s) = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^1 u^{s-1} \Phi \left\{ \text{Tr}_s [N_u \exp(-B_u^2)] - \text{Tr}_s [N_V \exp(-(\nabla^{H(X, \xi|_X)})^2)] \right\} du,$$

and ζ^1 has a meromorphic extension to $\mathbb{C}$, which is holomorphic on $\{|\text{Re}(s)| < 1/2\}$.

Similarly for $s \in \mathbb{C}$, $\text{Re}(s) < 1/2$, Theorem 1.15 allows us to define

$$(1.40) \quad \zeta^2(s) = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_1^{+\infty} u^{s-1} \Phi \left\{ \text{Tr}_s [N_u \exp(-B_u^2)] - \text{Tr}_s [N_V \exp(-(\nabla^{H(X, \xi|_X)})^2)] \right\} du.$$

Here again, ζ^2 has a holomorphic extension on $\{|\text{Re}(s)| < 1/2\}$.

Now, for $s \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\text{Re}(s)| < 1/2$, define the holomorphic function

$$(1.41) \quad \zeta(s) = \zeta^1(s) + \zeta^2(s).$$

Definition 1.17. The *holomorphic analytic torsion form* is the form

$$(1.42) \quad \mathcal{T}(\omega, h^\xi) := \zeta'(0).$$

The components in the different degrees of $\mathcal{T}(\omega, h^\xi)$ are referred to as the *holomorphic analytic torsion forms*.

Using (1.38), we can write

$$(1.43) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}(\omega, h^\xi) = & - \int_0^1 \left\{ \Phi \operatorname{Tr}_s [N_u \exp(-B_u^2)] - \sum_{j=-m}^0 C_j u^j \right\} \frac{du}{u} \\ & - \int_1^{+\infty} \Phi \left\{ \operatorname{Tr}_s [N_u \exp(-B_u^2)] - \operatorname{Tr}_s [N_V \exp(-(\nabla^{H(X, \xi|_X)})^2)] \right\} \frac{du}{u} \\ & + \sum_{j=-m}^{-1} \frac{C_j}{j} + \Gamma'(1) \left(C_0 - \Phi \operatorname{Tr}_s [N_V \exp(-(\nabla^{H(X, \xi|_X)})^2)] \right). \end{aligned}$$

The following analogue to [11, Thm. 3.9] is proved in [8, Thm. 4.11.2]

Theorem 1.18. The smooth form $\mathcal{T}(\omega, h^\xi)$ lies in Q^B . Moreover

$$(1.44) \quad \frac{\bar{\partial}\partial}{2i\pi} \mathcal{T}(\omega, h^\xi) = \operatorname{ch} \left(H(X, \xi|_X), h^{H(X, \xi|_X)} \right) - c_0.$$

1.5. The case of a Kähler fibration. Following [9, Def. 1.4 and Thm. 1.5], we say that the Hermitian fibration (π, ω) is a Kähler fibration if ω is closed.

We assume in this subsection that ω is closed. Then by [8, Thms. 3.7.1, 3.7.3 and 3.8.1] the superconnection B_u agrees with the one defined in [11, Def. 1.7], which is the usual Bismut superconnection.

Therefor, (1.23), (1.28) and (1.29) turn respectively to

$$(1.45) \quad \begin{cases} B_u = \nabla^E + \sqrt{u} D^X - \frac{c(T)}{2\sqrt{2u}}, \\ \nabla_{u, e_i} = \nabla_{e_i}^{\Lambda^{0, \bullet} \otimes \xi} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2u}} S_{i, j, \alpha} c(e_j) f^\alpha + \frac{1}{2u} S_{i, \alpha, \beta} f^\alpha f^\beta \quad \text{and} \\ B_u^2 = -\frac{u}{2} (\nabla_{u, e_i})^2 + \frac{u K^X}{8} + \frac{u}{4} c(e_i) c(e_j) L'_{i, j}^\xi + \sqrt{\frac{u}{2}} c(e_i) f^\alpha L'_{i, \alpha}^\xi + \frac{f^\alpha f^\beta}{2} L'_{\alpha, \beta}^\xi. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, [9, Thm. 2.2] sharpens (1.38): the forms c_j , for $j \leq 0$, can be explicitly computed. For any Hermitian vector bundle (F, h^F) with Chern connection ∇^F and curvature R^F on M , set

$$(1.46) \quad \operatorname{ch}(F, h^F) = \operatorname{Tr} \left[\exp \left(-\frac{R^F}{2\sqrt{-1}\pi} \right) \right], \quad \operatorname{Td}(F, h^F) = \det \left(\frac{R^F/2\sqrt{-1}\pi}{\exp(R^F/2\sqrt{-1}\pi) - 1} \right).$$

Then by [9, Thm. 2.2] we get

$$(1.47) \quad \begin{cases} c_j = 0 \text{ for } j < 0 \quad \text{and} \\ c_0 = \int_X \operatorname{Td}(TX, h^{TX}) \operatorname{ch}(\xi, h^\xi). \end{cases}$$

Finally, by [11, Thm. 1.5] ∇^E preserves the metric on E and by [11, Thm. 3.2] we have

$$(1.48) \quad \nabla^{H(X, \xi|_X)} = P^K \nabla^E P^K.$$

Then Theorem 1.18 becomes [11, Thm. 3.9], that is

$$(1.49) \quad \frac{\bar{\partial}\partial}{2i\pi} \mathcal{T}(\omega, h^\xi) = \text{ch} \left(H(X, \xi|_X), h^{H(X, \xi|_X)} \right) - \int_X \text{Td}(TX, h^{TX}) \text{ch}(\xi, h^\xi).$$

2. THE ASYMPTOTIC OF THE TORSION ASSOCIATED TO HIGH POWER OF A LINE BUNDLE

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 0.3.

We recall some notations. Let M and B be two complex manifolds. Let $\pi: M \rightarrow B$ be a holomorphic fibration with compact fiber X of dimension n . We suppose that we are given (π, ω) a structure of Hermitian fibration.

Let (ξ, h^ξ) be a holomorphic Hermitian vector bundle on M , and let (L, h^L) be a holomorphic Hermitian line bundle on M . We denote the curvature of the Chern connection of L by R^L . Recall that by Assumption 0.1, R^L is assumed to be positive along the fibers. We define

$$(2.1) \quad \Theta^M = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} R^L \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta^X = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} R^L|_{T_{\mathbb{R}}X \times T_{\mathbb{R}}X}.$$

We extend Θ^X to $T_{\mathbb{R}}M = T_{\mathbb{R}}X \oplus (T_{\mathbb{R}}X)^\perp$ by zero.

We have also assumed that the direct image $R^i\pi_*(\xi \otimes L^p)$ is locally free (for p large). We will use all the constructions of Section 1 associated with $(\xi \otimes L^p, h^{\xi \otimes L^p})$ instead of (ξ, h^ξ) (where of course $h^{\xi \otimes L^p}$ is induced by h^ξ and h^L). The corresponding objects will be denoted by

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} E_{p,b}^k &= \mathcal{C}^\infty(X_b, (\Lambda^{0,k}(T^*X) \otimes \xi \otimes L^p)|_{X_b}), & \nabla^p &= \nabla^{E_p, LC}, \\ \bar{\partial}^p &= \text{Dolbeault operator of } E_p, & D_p &= \bar{\partial}^p + \bar{\partial}^{p,*}, \\ B_p &= \text{associated superconnection as in (1.23)}, & B_{p,u} &= \sqrt{u}\psi_{1/\sqrt{u}}B_p\psi_{\sqrt{u}}. \end{aligned}$$

We also denote by $\mathcal{T}(\omega, h^{\xi \otimes L^p})$ the associated analytic torsion forms.

Theorem 0.3 is the family version of [15]. The strategy of proof is similar, but differences appear in the proof of the intermediate results due to the horizontal differential forms appearing in B_p^2 . One of the first consequence is that, unlike D_p^2 , the operator B_p^2 is not self-adjoint, and one has to take a nilpotent part (the part in positive degree along the basis) into account when estimating resolvents or heat kernels (compare for instance the proofs of [15, (20)] and of Theorem 2.23). An other consequence is the limit of the heat kernel involves exponential of terms coupling horizontal forms and vertical Clifford variables, which make the computations of the super-traces much more complicated (see Theorem 2.24). Note also that in all our results of smooth convergence, we have to take into account the derivatives along the basis B .

To simplify the statements in the following, we will assume that B is compact. However, the reader should be aware of the fact that the constants appearing in the sequel depends on the compact subset of B we are working on.

This section is organized as follows. In Subsection 2.1, we show that our problem is local. In Subsection 2.2, we rescale the Bismut superconnection and compute the limit operator. In Subsection 2.3, we obtain the corresponding convergence of the heat kernel. In Subsection 2.4, we prove our main theorem, using the result proved in Subsection 2.5.

2.1. Localization of the problem. Fix $b_0 \in B$. In this section, we will work along the fiber X_{b_0} , which will be denoted simply by X .

For $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x_0 \in X$, we denote by $B^X(x_0, \varepsilon)$ and $B^{T_{\mathbb{R}, x_0}X}(0, \varepsilon)$ the open balls in X and $T_{\mathbb{R}, x_0}X$ with center x_0 and 0 and radius ε respectively. If $\exp_{x_0}^X$ is the exponential map of X , then for ε small enough, $Z \in B^{T_{\mathbb{R}, x_0}X}(0, \varepsilon) \mapsto \exp_{x_0}^X(Z) \in B^X(x_0, \varepsilon)$ is a diffeomorphism, which

gives local coordinates by identifying $T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X$ with $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ via an orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}$ of $T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X$. From now on, we will always identify $B^{T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X}(0,\varepsilon)$ and $B^X(x_0,\varepsilon)$.

Let inj^X be the injectivity radius of X and let $\varepsilon \in]0, \text{inj}^X/4[$. Such an ε can be chosen uniformly for b_0 varying in a compact subset of B .

Let $x_1, \dots, x_N$ be points of X such that $\{U_{x_k} = B^X(x_k, \varepsilon)\}_{k=1}^N$ is an open covering of X . On each U_{x_k} we identify ξ_Z , L_Z and $\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T_Z^*X)$ to ξ_{x_k} , L_{x_k} and $\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T_{x_k}^*X)$ by parallel transport with respect to ∇^ξ , ∇^L and $\nabla^{\Lambda^{0,\bullet},LC}$ along the geodesic ray $t \in [0, 1] \mapsto tZ$. We fixe for each $k = 1, \dots, N$ an orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}_i$ of $T_{\mathbb{R},x_k}X$ (without mentioning the dependence on k).

We denote by ∇_U the ordinary differentiation operator in the direction U on $T_{x_k}X$.

We define the vector bundle $\mathbb{E}_p$ over X by

$$(2.3) \quad \mathbb{E}_p := \Lambda_{b_0}^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^*B) \otimes (\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^*X) \otimes \xi \otimes L^p).$$

Note here that $\Lambda_{b_0}^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^*B)$ is a trivial bundle over X .

Let $\{\varphi_k\}_k$ be a partition of unity subordinate to $\{U_{x_k}\}_k$. For $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, we define a Sobolev norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{H}^\ell(p)}$ on the ℓ -th Sobolev space $\mathbf{H}^\ell(X, \mathbb{E}_p)$ by

$$(2.4) \quad \|s\|_{\mathbf{H}^\ell(p)}^2 = \sum_k \sum_{d=0}^\ell \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_d=1}^d \|\nabla_{e_{i_1}} \dots \nabla_{e_{i_d}}(\varphi_k s)\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Lemma 2.1. *For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_m > 0$ such that for any $p \in N$, $u > 0$ and $s \in \mathbf{H}^{2m+2}(X, \mathbb{E}_p)$,*

$$(2.5) \quad \|s\|_{\mathbf{H}^{2m+2}(p)}^2 \leq C_m p^{4m+4} \sum_{j=0}^{m+1} p^{-4j} \|B_p^{2j} s\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{e}_i(Z)$ be the parallel transport of e_i with respect to $\nabla^{T_{\mathbb{R}}X, LC}$ along the curve $t \in [0, 1] \mapsto tZ$. Then $\{\tilde{e}_i\}_i$ is an orthonormal frame of $T_{\mathbb{R}}X$.

Let Γ^ξ , Γ^L and $\Gamma^{\Lambda^{0,\bullet}, LC}$ be the corresponding connection form of ∇^ξ , ∇^L and $\nabla^{\Lambda^{0,\bullet}, LC}$ with respect to any fixed frame for ξ , L and $\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^*X)$ which is parallel along the curve $t \in [0, 1] \mapsto tZ$ under the trivialization on U_{x_k} . Let $\nabla_1^p = \nabla_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \nabla^{L^p}$ be the connection on $\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^*X) \otimes L^p \otimes \xi$ corresponding to ∇_u in (1.28) (with $u = 1$), replacing ξ by $\xi \otimes L^p$. Then on U_{x_k} we have

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_{1, \tilde{e}_i}^p &= \nabla_{\tilde{e}_i} + (\Gamma^{\Lambda^{0,\bullet}, LC} + \Gamma^\xi + p\Gamma^L)(\tilde{e}_i) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} S(\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{e}_j, f_\alpha) c(\tilde{e}_j) f^\alpha \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} S(\tilde{e}_i, f_\alpha, f_\beta) f^\alpha f^\beta + \frac{1}{2} \left(i_{\tilde{e}_i} (\bar{\partial}^M - \partial^M) i\omega \right)^c. \end{aligned}$$

Let $D^X = \bar{\partial}^X + \bar{\partial}^{X,*}$ be the Dirac operator on $\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^*X) \otimes \xi$, and B^ξ the superconnection on B associated with (ω, ξ, h^ξ) . Then on U_{x_k} , D^X (resp. B^ξ) can be seen as an operator on $\pi^* \Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^*B) \otimes \Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^*X) \otimes \xi \otimes L^p$ because the bundle $\pi^* \Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^*B) \otimes L^p$ (resp. L^p) is trivialized. Then, using (1.29), [28, Thm. 1.4.7] (which is (1.29) in the case where the base B is a point) and (2.6), we find that locally,

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} B_p^2 &= B^{\xi,2} + p\mathcal{O}_1 + p\mathcal{O}_0^1 + p^2\mathcal{O}_0^2 \\ &= D^{X,2} + R + p\mathcal{O}_1 + p\mathcal{O}_0^1 + p^2\mathcal{O}_0^2 \end{aligned}$$

where R , $\mathcal{O}_1$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_0^1$, $\mathcal{O}_0^2$) are operators of order 1 (resp. 0).

From (2.7), there exists $C > 0$ such that for $s \in \mathbf{H}^\ell(X, \mathbb{E}_p)$,

$$(2.8) \quad \|s\|_{\mathbf{H}^2(p)} \leq C (\|B_p^2 s\|_{L^2} + p^2 \|s\|_{L^2}).$$

Let Q be a differential operator of order $2m$ with scalar principal symbol and with compact support in U_{x_j} . Then (2.8) implies

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \|Qs\|_{H^2(p)} &\leq C (\|B_p^2 Qs\|_{L^2} + p^2 \|Qs\|_{L^2}) \\ &\leq C' (\|QB_p^2 s\|_{L^2} + p \|s\|_{H^{2m+1}(p)} + p^2 \|s\|_{H^{2m-1}(p)} + p^2 \|Qs\|_{L^2}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get (2.5) by induction. $\square$

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth even function such that

$$(2.10) \quad f(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } |t| < \varepsilon/2, \\ 0 & \text{for } |t| > \varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

For $u > 0$, $\varsigma \geq 1$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$, set

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} F_u(a) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{iv\sqrt{2}a} \exp(-v^2/2) f(\sqrt{u}v) \frac{dv}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \\ G_u(a) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{iv\sqrt{2}a} \exp(-v^2/2) (1 - f(\sqrt{u}v)) \frac{dv}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, \\ H_{u,\varsigma}(a) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{iv\sqrt{2}a} \exp(-v^2/2u) (1 - f(\sqrt{\varsigma}v)) \frac{dv}{\sqrt{2\pi}}. \end{aligned}$$

These functions are even holomorphic functions, thus there exist holomorphic functions $\tilde{F}_u$, $\tilde{G}_u$ and $\tilde{H}_{u,\varsigma}$ such that

$$(2.12) \quad \tilde{F}_u(a^2) = F_u(a), \quad \tilde{G}_u(a^2) = G_u(a) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{H}_{u,\varsigma}(a^2) = H_{u,\varsigma}(a).$$

Moreover, the restriction of $\tilde{F}_u$ and $\tilde{G}_u$ to $\mathbb{R}$ lies in the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, and

$$(2.13) \quad \tilde{G}_{\frac{u}{p}}\left(\frac{u}{p}a\right) = \tilde{H}_{\frac{u}{p},1}(a) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{F}_u(vB_p^2) + \tilde{G}_u(vB_p^2) = \exp(-vB_p^2) \quad \text{for } v > 0.$$

Let $\tilde{G}_u(vB_p^2)(x, x')$ be the smooth kernel of $\tilde{G}_u(vB_p^2)$ with respect to $dv_X(x')$.

We still denote by π the projection $\pi: X \times_B X \rightarrow B$ from the fiberwise product $X \times_B X$ to B . For V, V' two bundle over M , we define the bundle $V \boxtimes V'$ on $X \times_B X$ by

$$(2.14) \quad (V \boxtimes V')_{(b,x,x')} = V_{(b,x)} \otimes V'_{(b,x')}$$

for $b \in B$ and $x, x' \in X_b$. Then $\tilde{G}_u(vB_p^2)(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a section of $\mathbb{E}_p \boxtimes \mathbb{E}_p^*$ over $X \times_B X$. Let $\nabla^{\mathbb{E}_p}$ be the connection on $\mathbb{E}_p$ induced by $\nabla^{\Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^*B)}$, $\nabla^{\Lambda^{0,\bullet,LC}}$, ∇^L and ∇^ξ , and let $\nabla^{\mathbb{E}_p \boxtimes \mathbb{E}_p^*}$ be the induced connection on $\mathbb{E}_p \boxtimes \mathbb{E}_p^*$. In the same way, let $h^{\mathbb{E}_p}$ be the metric on $\mathbb{E}_p$ induced by $h^{\Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^*B)}$, $h^{\Lambda^{0,\bullet,LC}}$, h^L and h^ξ , and let $h^{\mathbb{E}_p \boxtimes \mathbb{E}_p^*}$ be the induced metric on $\mathbb{E}_p \boxtimes \mathbb{E}_p^*$.

Proposition 2.2. *For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $C > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $u > 0$ and any $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$,*

$$(2.15) \quad \left| \tilde{G}_{\frac{u}{p}}\left(\frac{u}{p}B_p^2\right)(\cdot, \cdot) \right|_{\mathcal{C}^m} \leq Cp^N \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon^2 p}{16u}\right),$$

Where the $\mathcal{C}^m$ -norm is induced by $\nabla^{\mathbb{E}_p \boxtimes \mathbb{E}_p^*}$ and $h^{\mathbb{E}_p \boxtimes \mathbb{E}_p^*}$.

Proof. Observe first that by (2.13)

$$(2.16) \quad \tilde{G}_{\frac{u}{p}}\left(\frac{u}{p}B_p^2\right) = \tilde{H}_{\frac{u}{p},1}(B_p^2).$$

Moreover, as $i^m a^m e^{iva} = \frac{\partial^m}{\partial v^m} e^{iva}$, we can integrate by part the expression of $a^m H_{u,\varsigma}(a)$ given in (2.11) to obtain that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c > 0$, there is a $C_{m,c} > 0$ such that $u > 0$ and $\varsigma \geq 1$,

$$(2.17) \quad \sup_{|\operatorname{Im}(a)| \leq c} |a^m H_{u,\varsigma}(a)| \leq C_{m,c} \varsigma^{\frac{m}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{16u\varsigma}\right).$$

For $c > 0$, let V_c be the image of $\{a \in \mathbb{C} : |\operatorname{Im}(a)| \leq c\}$ by the map $a \mapsto a^2$. Then

$$(2.18) \quad V_c = \left\{a \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re}(a) \geq \frac{1}{4c^2} \operatorname{Im}(a)^2 - c^2\right\}.$$

Form (2.12) and (2.17), we deduce that

$$(2.19) \quad \sup_{a \in V_c} |a^m \tilde{H}_{u,\varsigma}(a)| \leq C_{m,c} \varsigma^{\frac{m}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{16u\varsigma}\right).$$

We will prove Proposition 2.2 thanks to (2.16), (2.19) and Lemma 2.1. We first need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\phi(a) = a^m \tilde{H}_{p,1}(a)$, then there exist $K_m > 0$ and an integer $k_m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$(2.20) \quad \|\phi(B_p^2)s\|_{L^2} \leq K_m p^{k_m} \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon^2 p}{16u}\right) \|s\|_{L^2}.$$

Proof. By Bismut's Lichnerowicz formula (1.29), [28, Thm. 1.4.7] and (2.6), we have

$$(2.21) \quad \begin{aligned} B_p^2 &= D_p^2 + R_p, \\ R_p &\in \mathbb{C}[p] \otimes \Lambda^{\geq 1}(T_{\mathbb{R}}^* B) \otimes \operatorname{Op}_X^{\leq 1}(\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^* X) \otimes \xi), \end{aligned}$$

where $\operatorname{Op}_X^{\leq 1}(\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^* X) \otimes \xi)$ denotes the set of differential operators along the fiber X on $\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^* X) \otimes \xi$ of order ≤ 1 . We deduce the following fundamental fact:

$$(2.22) \quad \operatorname{Sp}(B_p^2) = \operatorname{Sp}(D_p^2).$$

Here, Sp is our notation for the spectrum. Indeed, as R_p has positive degree in $\Lambda^{\bullet}(T_{\mathbb{R}}^* B)$, we have for $\lambda \notin \operatorname{Sp}(D_p^2)$

$$(2.23) \quad (\lambda - B_p^2)^{-1} = (\lambda - D_p^2)^{-1} + (\lambda - D_p^2)^{-1} R_p (\lambda - D_p^2)^{-1} + \dots \quad (\text{finite sum}).$$

Now, $(\lambda - D_p^2)^{-1}$ is elliptic of order 2, so increases the Sobolev regularity by 2, and R_p is of order 1, thus $(\lambda - B_p^2)^{-1}$ is a bounded operator when acting on the Sobolev space of order 0. This proves that $\lambda \notin \operatorname{Sp}(B_p^2)$. Exchanging the role of B_p^2 and D_p^2 , we also prove that if $\lambda \notin \operatorname{Sp}(B_p^2)$, then $\lambda \notin \operatorname{Sp}(D_p^2)$, which shows (2.22).

By [28, Thm 1.5.8], there exist $C_L > 0$ and $\mu_0 > 0$ such that

$$(2.24) \quad \operatorname{Sp}(D_p^2) \subset \{0\} \cup]2p\mu_0 - C_L, +\infty[.$$

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the contour in $\mathbb{C}$ defined by Figure 1. By (2.18), $\mathcal{C} \subset V_c$ for c big enough.

Note that by (2.24) and the self-adjointness of D_p^2 , there exists $C > 0$ such that for $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$(2.25) \quad \|(\lambda - D_p^2)^{-1}s\|_{L^2} \leq C \|s\|_{L^2}.$$

Moreover, for $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we have $\frac{x}{|\lambda - x|} \leq \frac{|\lambda|}{|\lambda - x|} + 1 \leq C|\lambda|$, where C does not depend on $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$. In particular, we have

$$(2.26) \quad \|D_p^2(\lambda - D_p^2)^{-1}s\|_{L^2} \leq C|\lambda| \|s\|_{L^2}.$$




FIGURE 1.

Now by [28, (1.6.8)] –which is (2.5) with $m = 0$ in the case where B is a point– and by (2.25) and (2.26), there is $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C' > 0$ such that for $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$(2.27) \quad \|R_p(\lambda - D_p^2)^{-1}s\|_{L^2} \leq Cp^l \|(\lambda - D_p^2)^{-1}s\|_{H^2(p)} \leq C' |\lambda| p^{l+2} \|s\|_{L^2}.$$

Thus, by (2.23), and (2.27), we find

$$(2.28) \quad \|(\lambda - B_p^2)^{-1}s\|_{L^2} \leq C |\lambda|^k p^{k'} \|s\|_{L^2}.$$

By (2.19), we have $|\phi(\lambda)| \leq C_{m+k+2,c} \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon^2 p}{16u}\right) |\lambda|^{-(k+2)}$ for $\lambda \in \mathcal{C} \subset V_c$. Moreover,

$$(2.29) \quad \phi(B_p^2) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \phi(\lambda) (\lambda - B_p^2)^{-1} d\lambda,$$

Using this facts, we get Lemma 2.3 from (2.28). $\square$

Let Q be a differential operator of order $2m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with scalar principal symbol and with compact support in U_{x_i} . Observe that Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3 are still true if we replace B_p therein by B_p^* , because $B_p^{*,2}$ has the same structure as in (2.7) and is equal to $D_p^2 + R_p^*$. Thus, using Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3, we find that for $m' \in 2\mathbb{N}$,

$$(2.30) \quad \left| \langle B_p^{m'} \tilde{H}_{\frac{u}{p},1}(B_p^2) Qs, s' \rangle \right| = \left| \langle s, Q^* \tilde{H}_{\frac{u}{p},1}(B_p^{*,2}) B_p^{*,m'} s' \rangle \right| \\ \leq CK p^{4m+k_m} \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon^2 p}{16u}\right) \|s\|_{L^2} \|s'\|_{L^2}.$$

Thus,

$$(2.31) \quad \left\| B_p^{m'} \tilde{H}_{\frac{u}{p},1}(B_p^2) Qs \right\|_{L^2} \leq CK p^{4m+k_m} \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon^2 p}{16u}\right) \|s\|_{L^2}.$$

We deduce from this estimate – and using once again Lemmas 2.1 and 2.3 – that if P, Q are differential operators of order $2m', 2m$ respectively and with compact support in U_{x_i}, U_{x_j} respectively, then there is a positive constant $C_{m,m'}$ such that

$$(2.32) \quad \left\| P \tilde{H}_{\frac{u}{p},1}(B_p^2) Qs \right\|_{L^2} \leq C_{m,m'} p^{4m+k_m} \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon^2 p}{16u}\right) \|s\|_{L^2}.$$

By the Sobolev inequality and (2.32), we get

$$(2.33) \quad \left| \tilde{H}_{\frac{u}{p},1}(B_p^2)(\cdot, \cdot) \right|_{\mathcal{C}^m(X \times X)} \leq Cp^N \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon^2 p}{16u}\right).$$

With this estimate and (2.16), we get (2.15) for the $\mathcal{C}^m$ -norm in the directions of the fiber X .

We now turn to the derivatives in the directions of the base B .

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Using (2.19) (see [6, (11.57)]), we see that there is a unique holomorphic function $\tilde{H}_{u,\varsigma,k}$ defined on a neighborhood of V_c such that

$$(2.34) \quad \frac{\tilde{H}_{u,\varsigma,k}^{(k-1)}(a)}{(k-1)!} = \tilde{H}_{u,\varsigma}(a)$$

and for $u > 0$ and $\varsigma \geq 1$,

$$(2.35) \quad \sup_{a \in V_c} |a^m \tilde{H}_{u,\varsigma,k}(a)| \leq C \varsigma^{\frac{m}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{16u\varsigma}\right).$$

For any $q, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $U \in T_{\mathbb{R}}B$, we have

$$(2.36) \quad (\nabla_{U^H}^{\mathbb{E}_p \boxtimes \mathbb{E}_p^*})^q \tilde{G}_{\frac{u}{p}}\left(\frac{u}{p} B_p^2\right) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\mathcal{C}} \tilde{H}_{\frac{u}{p},1,k}(\lambda) (\nabla_{U^H}^{\mathbb{E}_p \boxtimes \mathbb{E}_p^*})^q (\lambda - B_p^2)^{-k} d\lambda,$$

where U^H denotes the horizontal lift of U in $T_{B,\mathbb{R}}^H M$.

We now prove the analogue of Lemma 2.3 for $(\nabla_{U^H}^{\mathbb{E}_p \boxtimes \mathbb{E}_p^*})^q \tilde{G}_{\frac{u}{p}}\left(\frac{u}{p} B_p^2\right)$:

Lemma 2.4. *Let $q, m, m' \in \mathbb{N}$. There exist $K_{q,m,m'} > 0$ and an integer $k_{q,m,m'} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$(2.37) \quad \left\| B_p^{2m} (\nabla_{U^H}^{\mathbb{E}_p \boxtimes \mathbb{E}_p^*})^q \tilde{G}_{\frac{u}{p}}\left(\frac{u}{p} B_p^2\right) B_p^{2m'} s \right\|_{L^2} \leq K_{q,m,m'} p^{k_{q,m,m'}} \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon^2 p}{16u}\right) \|s\|_{L^2}.$$

Proof. We choose $k \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $k \geq 2(m + m') + q + 1$. Then $B_p^{2m} (\nabla_{U^H}^{\mathbb{E}_p \boxtimes \mathbb{E}_p^*})^q (\lambda - B_p^2)^{-k} B_p^{2m'}$ can be written as a sum of terms

$$(2.38) \quad A_1(\lambda - B_p^2)^{-1} A_2(\lambda - B_p^2)^{-1} \dots A_{k+1}(\lambda - B_p^2)^{-1},$$

where

$$(2.39) \quad A_i \in \left\{ 1, B_p, (\nabla_{U^H}^{\mathbb{E}_p \boxtimes \mathbb{E}_p^*})^{q'} B_p^2, \left[B_p, (\nabla_{U^H}^{\mathbb{E}_p \boxtimes \mathbb{E}_p^*})^{q'} B_p^2 \right] : 0 \leq q' \leq q \right\}.$$

In any case, A_i is a polynomial in p with values in the differential operators along the fiber of order less than 2 (for the last type of term in the above list, we use that B_p is of order 1 and that B_p^2 as a scalar principal symbol). As a consequence, we find from (2.23), (2.26), (2.27) and (2.28) that

$$(2.40) \quad \|A_i(\lambda - B_p^2)^{-1} s\|_{L^2} \leq C p^l \|(\lambda - B_p^2)^{-1} s\|_{H^2(p)} \leq C |\lambda|^a p^b \|s\|_{L^2}.$$

By the decomposition indicated in the begging of the proof, this yields

$$(2.41) \quad \left\| B_p^{2m} (\nabla_{U^H}^{\mathbb{E}_p \boxtimes \mathbb{E}_p^*})^q (\lambda - B_p^2)^{-k} B_p^{2m'} s \right\|_{L^2} \leq C |\lambda|^c p^d \|s\|_{L^2}.$$

From (2.35), (2.36) and (2.41), we deduce Lemma 2.4. $\square$

Using Lemma 2.4 in the same way as we used Lemma 2.3 to prove (2.32) and (2.33), we find

$$(2.42) \quad \left| (\nabla_{U^H}^{\mathbb{E}_p \boxtimes \mathbb{E}_p^*})^q \tilde{H}_{\frac{u}{p},1}(B_p^2)(\cdot, \cdot) \right|_{\mathcal{C}^m(X \times X)} \leq C p^N \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon^2 p}{16u}\right).$$

Which completes the proof of Proposition 2.2. $\square$

Corollary 2.5. *For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $C(u) > 0$ a rational fraction in $\sqrt{u}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $u > 0$ and any $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$,*

$$(2.43) \quad \left| \psi_{1/\sqrt{p}} \tilde{G}_{\frac{u}{p}}(B_{p,u/p}^2)(\cdot, \cdot) \right|_{\mathcal{C}^m} \leq C(u) p^N \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon^2 p}{16u}\right).$$

Proof. As $B_{p,u} = \sqrt{u} \psi_{1/\sqrt{u}} B_p \psi_{\sqrt{u}}$, Corollary 2.5 follows from Proposition 2.2. $\square$

2.2. Rescaling B_p . Fix $b_0 \in B$ and $x_0 \in X_{b_0}$. In this section, we will again work along the fiber X_{b_0} , which will be again denoted simply by X . For the rest of this section, we fix $\{w_j\}$ an orthonormal basis of $T_{x_0}^{(1,0)}X$, with dual basis $\{w^j\}$, and we construct an orthonormal basis $\{e_i\}$ of $T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X$ from $\{w_j\}$ as follows:

$$(2.44) \quad e_{2j-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(w_j + \overline{w}_j) \text{ and } e_{2j} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\sqrt{2}}(w_j - \overline{w}_j), \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, we identify $B^{T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X}(0, \varepsilon)$ and $B^X(x_0, \varepsilon)$ as in Section 2.1. Note that in this identification, the radial vector field $\mathcal{R} = \sum_i Z_i e_i$ becomes $\mathcal{R} = Z$, so Z can be viewed as a point or as a tangent vector.

Recall that $\nabla_1^p = \nabla_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \nabla^{L^p}$ is the connection on $\Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^*B) \otimes \Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^*X) \otimes L^p \otimes \xi$ corresponding to ∇_u in (1.28), replacing ξ by $\xi \otimes L^p$ and taking $u = 1$.

For $Z \in B^{T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X}(0, \varepsilon)$, we identify $(\Lambda_Z^{0,\bullet}(T^*X) \otimes \xi_Z, h_Z^{\Lambda^{0,\bullet} \otimes \xi})$ with $(\Lambda_{x_0}^{0,\bullet}(T^*X) \otimes \xi_{x_0}, h_{x_0}^E)$ and (L_Z, h_Z^L) with $(L_{x_0}, h_{x_0}^L)$ by parallel transport along the geodesic ray $t \in [0, 1] \mapsto tZ$ with respect to the connection ∇_1 and ∇^L respectively. We denote by Γ_1 and Γ^L the corresponding connection forms.

We denote by ∇_U the ordinary differentiation operator in the direction U on $T_{x_0}X$.

Let $\rho: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth even function such that

$$(2.45) \quad \rho(v) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } |v| < 2, \\ 0 & \text{for } |v| > 4. \end{cases}$$

On the trivial bundle

$$(2.46) \quad \mathbb{E}_{p,x_0} = \Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R},b_0}^*B) \otimes (\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^*X) \otimes \xi \otimes L^p)_{x_0}$$

over $T_{x_0}X$, we define the connection

$$(2.47) \quad \nabla^{\mathbb{E}_{p,x_0}} = \nabla + \rho(|Z|/\varepsilon) (p\Gamma^L + \Gamma_1),$$

which is a Hermitian connection.

Let $g^{T_{\mathbb{R}}X_0}$ be a Riemannian metric on $X_0 := T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ such that

$$(2.48) \quad g^{T_{\mathbb{R}}X_0} = \begin{cases} g^{T_{\mathbb{R}}X} & \text{on } B^{T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X}(0, 2\varepsilon), \\ g^{T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X} & \text{outside of } B^{T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X}(0, 4\varepsilon), \end{cases}$$

and let dv_{X_0} be the associated volume form. Let dv_{TX} be the Riemannian volume form of $(T_{x_0}X, g^{T_{x_0}X})$, and $\kappa(Z)$ be the smooth positive function defined by $\kappa(0) = 1$ and

$$(2.49) \quad dv_{X_0}(Z) = \kappa(Z) dv_{TX}(Z).$$

Let $\Delta^{\mathbb{E}_{p,x_0}}$ be the Bochner Laplacian associated with $\nabla^{\mathbb{E}_{p,x_0}}$ and $g^{T_{\mathbb{R}}X_0}$. By definition, if $\nabla^{T_{\mathbb{R}}X_0, LC}$ is the Levi-Civita connection on $(X_0, g^{T_{\mathbb{R}}X_0})$ and if $(g^{ij}(Z))$ is the inverse of the matrix $(g_{ij}(Z)) = (g_Z^{T_{\mathbb{R}}X_0}(e_i, e_j))$, we have

$$(2.50) \quad \Delta^{\mathbb{E}_{p,x_0}} = -g^{ij}(Z) \left(\nabla_{e_i}^{\mathbb{E}_{p,x_0}} \nabla_{e_j}^{\mathbb{E}_{p,x_0}} - \nabla_{\nabla_{e_i}^{T_{\mathbb{R}}X_0, LC} e_j}^{\mathbb{E}_{p,x_0}} \right).$$

Recall that $\{f_\alpha\}$ denotes a frame of $T_{\mathbb{R}}B$, with dual frame $\{f^\alpha\}$. Let $\tilde{e}_i(Z)$ be the parallel transport of e_i with respect to $\nabla^{T_{\mathbb{R}}X_0, LC}$ along the curve $t \in [0, 1] \mapsto tZ$. Then $\{\tilde{e}_i\}_i$ is an

orthonormal frame of $T_{\mathbb{R}}X_0$. Set

$$(2.51) \quad \Phi = \frac{K^X}{8} + \frac{1}{4}c(\tilde{e}_i)c(\tilde{e}_j)L'^\xi(\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{e}_j) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}c(\tilde{e}_i)f^\alpha L'^\xi(\tilde{e}_i, f_\alpha) + \frac{f^\alpha f^\beta}{2}L'^\xi(f_\alpha, f_\beta) \\ - \left(\bar{\partial}^M \partial^M i\omega \right)^c - \frac{1}{16} \left\| (\bar{\partial}^X - \partial^X) i\omega^X \right\|_{\Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^*X)}^2$$

and

$$(2.52) \quad M_{p,x_0} = \frac{1}{2}\Delta^{\mathbb{E}_{p,x_0}} + \rho(|Z|/\varepsilon)\Phi \\ + p\rho(|Z|/\varepsilon) \left(\frac{1}{4}c(\tilde{e}_i)c(\tilde{e}_j)R^L(\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{e}_j) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}c(\tilde{e}_i)f^\alpha R^L(\tilde{e}_i, f_\alpha) + \frac{f^\alpha f^\beta}{2}R^L(f_\alpha, f_\beta) \right).$$

Then M_{p,x_0} is a second order elliptic differential operator acting on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X, \mathbb{E}_{p,x_0})$. Moreover, using Theorem 1.8, (2.47), (2.50), (2.51) and (2.52), we see that M_{p,x_0} and B_p^2 coincide over $B^{TX}(0, 2\varepsilon)$.

Let S_L be a unit vector of L_{x_0} . It gives an isometry $L_{x_0}^p \simeq \mathbb{C}$, which yields to an isometry

$$(2.53) \quad \mathbb{E}_{p,x_0} \simeq \Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R},b_0}^*B) \otimes (\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^*X) \otimes \xi)_{x_0} =: \mathbb{E}_{x_0}.$$

We endow $\mathbb{E}$ with the connection $\nabla^{\mathbb{E}}$ induce by $\nabla^{\Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^*B)}$, $\nabla^{\Lambda^{0,\bullet},LC}$ and ∇^ξ and with the metric $h^{\mathbb{E}}$ induce by $h^{\Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^*B)}$, $h^{\Lambda^{0,\bullet},LC}$ and h^ξ .

Remark 2.6. In this trivialization, B_p^2 acts on $\mathbb{E}_{x_0}$, but this action may *a priori* depends on the choice of S_L . However, thanks to Theorem 1.8 we see that the operator B_p^2 has its coefficients in $\text{End}(\mathbb{E}_{p,x_0})$ which is canonically isomorphic to $\text{End}(\mathbb{E})_{x_0}$ (by the natural identification $\text{End}(L^p) \simeq \mathbb{C}$), thus all our formulas do not depend on this choice. Under this identification, we will consider M_{p,x_0} as an operator acting on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(T_{x_0}X, \mathbb{E}_{x_0})$.

Let $\exp(-B_p^2)(Z, Z')$ and $\exp(-M_{p,x_0})(Z, Z')$ be the smooth heat kernels of B_p^2 and M_{p,x_0} with respect to $dv_{X_0}(Z')$.

Lemma 2.7. *For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $C > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$,*

$$(2.54) \quad \left| \exp\left(-\frac{u}{p}B_p^2\right)(x_0, x_0) - \exp\left(-\frac{u}{p}M_{p,x_0}\right)(0, 0) \right|_{\mathcal{C}^m(M)} \leq Cp^N \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon^2 p}{16u}\right),$$

where $|\cdot|_{\mathcal{C}^m(M)}$ denotes the $\mathcal{C}^m$ -norm in the parameters $b_0 \in B$ and $x_0 \in X$ induced by $\nabla^{\text{End}(\mathbb{E})}$ and $h^{\text{End}(\mathbb{E})}$.

Proof. By (2.52), M_{p,x_0} has the same structure as B_p^2 . Thus Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.2 are still true if we replace B_p^2 therein by M_{p,x_0} . From the fact that M_{p,x_0} and B_p^2 coincide near 0 and the finite propagation speed of the wave equation (see e.g. [28, Thm. D.2.1]), we know that

$$(2.55) \quad \tilde{F}_{\frac{u}{p}}\left(\frac{u}{p}B_p^2\right)(x_0, \cdot) = \tilde{F}_{\frac{u}{p}}\left(\frac{u}{p}M_{p,x_0}\right)(0, \cdot),$$

so we get our Lemma by (2.13). $\square$

We will now make the change of parameter $t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \in]0, 1]$.

Definition 2.8. For $s \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X, \mathbb{E}_{x_0})$ and $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ set

$$(2.56) \quad \begin{aligned} (S_t s)(Z) &= s(Z/t), & \nabla_t &= tS_t^{-1}\kappa^{1/2}\nabla^{\mathbb{E}_{p,x_0}}\kappa^{-1/2}S_t, \\ \nabla_0 &= \nabla + \frac{1}{2}R_{x_0}^L(Z, \cdot), & \mathcal{L}_t &= t^2S_t^{-1}\kappa^{1/2}M_{p,x_0}\kappa^{-1/2}S_t, \\ \mathcal{L}_0 &= -\frac{1}{2}\sum_i (\nabla_{0,e_i})^2 + \frac{1}{4}c(e_i)c(e_j)R_{i,j}^L(x_0) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}c(e_i)f^\alpha R_{i,\alpha}^L(x_0) + \frac{f^\alpha f^\beta}{2}R_{\alpha,\beta}^L(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

From now on we will denote $c(e_i)$ by c^i to simplify the notation in the computations.

Proposition 2.9. When $t \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$(2.57) \quad \nabla_{t,e_i} = \nabla_{0,e_i} + O(t) \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_t = \mathcal{L}_0 + O(t).$$

Proof. By (2.47) and (2.56), we have

$$(2.58) \quad \nabla_{t,e_i}(Z) = \kappa^{1/2}(tZ) \left\{ \nabla_{e_i} + \rho(t|Z|/\varepsilon) \left(t^{-1}\Gamma_{tZ}^L(e_i) + t\Gamma_{1,tZ}(e_i) \right) \right\} \kappa^{-1/2}(tZ).$$

It is a well known fact (see for instance [28, Lemma 1.2.4]) that for if $\Gamma = \Gamma^L$ (resp. Γ_1) and $R = R^L$ (resp. R_1 the curvature of ∇_1), then

$$(2.59) \quad \Gamma_Z(e_i) = \frac{1}{2}R_{x_0}(Z, e_i) + O(|Z|^2).$$

Thus,

$$(2.60) \quad \begin{aligned} t\Gamma_{1,tZ}(e_i) &= O(t^2), \\ t^{-1}\Gamma_{tZ}^L(e_i) &= \frac{1}{2}R_{x_0}^L(Z, e_i) + O(t). \end{aligned}$$

The first asymptotic development in Proposition 2.9 follows from $\rho(0) = \kappa(0) = 1$, (2.58), (2.59) and (2.60). Moreover, with this asymptotic, (2.50) and the fact that $g^{ij}(0) = \delta_{ij}$ we find

$$(2.61) \quad \begin{aligned} t^2S_t^{-1}\kappa^{1/2}\Delta^{\mathbb{E}_{p,x_0}}\kappa^{-1/2}S_t &= -g^{ij}(tZ) \left(\nabla_{t,e_i}\nabla_{t,e_j} - t\nabla_{t,\nabla_{e_i}^{Tx_0}e_j} \right) \\ &= \sum_i (\nabla_{0,e_i})^2 + O(t). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (2.52), we have

$$(2.62) \quad \begin{aligned} &t^2S_t^{-1}\kappa^{1/2}\left(M_{p,x_0} - \frac{1}{2}\Delta^{\mathbb{E}_{p,x_0}}\right)\kappa^{-1/2}S_t \\ &= \rho(t|Z|/\varepsilon) \left\{ \kappa^{1/2}\left(t^2\Phi + \frac{1}{4}c(\tilde{e}_i)c(\tilde{e}_j)R^L(\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{e}_j) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}c(\tilde{e}_i)f^\alpha R^L(\tilde{e}_i, f_\alpha) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \frac{f^\alpha f^\beta}{2}R^L(f_\alpha, f_\beta)\right) \kappa^{-1/2}\right\}_{tZ} \\ &= \frac{1}{4}c^i c^j R_{i,j}^L(x_0) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}c^i f^\alpha R_{i,\alpha}^L(x_0) + \frac{f^\alpha f^\beta}{2}R_{\alpha,\beta}^L(x_0) + O(t). \end{aligned}$$

With (2.56), (2.61), (2.62), and the first part of (2.57) that we have already proved, the proof of the proposition is completed. $\square$

2.3. Convergence of the heat kernel. In this section, we use the notations and definitions of Section 2.2. In particular, $b_0 \in B$ and $x_0 \in X_{x_0}$ are fixed. Set

$$(2.63) \quad \Omega_u = uR^L(w_k, \bar{w}_\ell)\bar{w}^\ell \wedge i_{\bar{w}_k} + \sqrt{\frac{u}{2}}c(e_i)f^\alpha R_{i,\alpha}^L + \frac{f^\alpha f^\beta}{2}R_{\alpha,\beta}^L.$$

The purpose of this section is to prove the following result:

Theorem 2.10. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that as $p \rightarrow +\infty$, uniformly as u varies in a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}_+^*$, we have the following asymptotic for the $\mathcal{C}^k$ -norm on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \text{End}(\mathbb{E}))$:*

$$(2.64) \quad \psi_{1/\sqrt{p}} \exp(-B_{p,u/p}^2)(x_0, x_0) = (2\pi)^{-n} \exp(-\Omega_{u,x_0}) \frac{\det(\dot{R}_{x_0}^{X,L})}{\det(1 - \exp(-u\dot{R}_{x_0}^{X,L}))} \otimes \text{Id}_\xi p^n + O(p^{n-\epsilon}).$$

To prove this theorem, we will adapt the method of [28, Sect. 1.6].

Remark 2.11. In fact, this theorem holds without any positivity assumption on L . In this case, we have to take the convention that if an eigenvalue of $\dot{R}_{x_0}^{X,L}$ is zero, then its contribution to $\frac{\det(\dot{R}_{x_0}^{X,L})}{\det(1 - \exp(-u\dot{R}_{x_0}^{X,L}))}$ is $\frac{1}{2u}$ and we have to use [28, (E.2.5)] in addition to [28, (E.2.4)] to get (2.88).

Remark 2.12. As pointed out in [28, Thm. 4.2.3 and Rem. 4.2.4], we can use the results of this section combined with the techniques of [28, Sect. 4.1] to get $O(p^{n-1})$ instead of $O(p^{n-\epsilon})$ in Theorem 2.10. However, we do not need this improvement and leave it to the reader.

The following Lemma is an easy consequence of the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, which we will use several time.

Lemma 2.13. *Let Y be a compact manifold and let (E, h^E, ∇^E) be a Hermitian bundle with connection over Y . We can then define, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the $\mathcal{C}^k$ -norm $|\cdot|_{\mathcal{C}^k}$ on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(Y, E)$. Let $f_n \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(Y, E)$ be a sequence converging weakly to some distribution f . If for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $C_k > 0$ such that $\sup_n |f_n|_{\mathcal{C}^k} \leq C_k$, then f is smooth and f_n converges in the $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ topology to f .*

In the sequel, when we add a superscript (0) to the objects introduced above, we mean their part of degree 0 in $\Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R},b_0}^* B)$.

Let $\|\cdot\|_0$ be the L^2 norm on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(T_{\mathbb{R},x_0} X, \mathbb{E}_{x_0})$ induced by $h_{x_0}^{\Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^* B)}$, $h_{x_0}^{\Lambda^{0,\bullet}}$, $h_{x_0}^\xi$ and the volume form $dv_{TX}(Z)$. For $s \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X_0, \mathbb{E}_{x_0})$, $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and $t \geq 0$, set

$$(2.65) \quad \begin{aligned} \|s\|_{t,0}^2 &= \|s\|_0^2, \\ \|s\|_{t,m}^2 &= \sum_{\ell \leq m} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_\ell} \|\nabla_{t,e_{i_1}}^{(0)} \cdots \nabla_{t,e_{i_\ell}}^{(0)} s\|_0^2. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $\mathbf{H}_t^m$ the Sobolov space $\mathbf{H}^m(X_0, \mathbb{E}_{x_0})$ endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{t,m}$, and by $\mathbf{H}_t^{-1}$ the Sobolev space of order -1 endowed with the norm

$$(2.66) \quad \|s\|_{t,-1} = \sup_{s' \in \mathbf{H}_p^1 \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\langle s, s' \rangle_{t,0}}{\|s'\|_{t,0}}.$$

Finally, if $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H}_t^k, \mathbf{H}_t^m)$, we denote by $\|A\|_t^{k,m}$ the operator norm of A associated with $\|\cdot\|_{t,k}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{t,m}$.

Let

$$(2.67) \quad \mathcal{R}_t = \mathcal{L}_t - \mathcal{L}_t^{(0)}.$$

Proposition 2.14. *There exist $C_1, C_2, C_3 > 0$ such that for any $t > 0$ and any $s, s' \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X_0, \mathbb{E}_{x_0})$,*

$$(2.68) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{L}_t^{(0)} s, s \rangle_{t,0} &\geq C_1 \|s\|_{t,1}^2 - C_2 \|s\|_{t,0}^2, \\ \left| \langle \mathcal{L}_t^{(0)} s, s' \rangle_{t,0} \right| &\leq C_3 \|s\|_{t,1} \|s'\|_{t,1}, \\ \|\mathcal{R}_t s\|_{t,0} &\leq C_4 \|s\|_{t,1}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By (1.25), the operators $\nabla_t^{(0)}, \mathcal{L}_t^{(0)}$ are the operators corresponding to $\nabla_t, \mathcal{L}_t$ in the case where B is a point, thus the first two lines of (2.68) are proved in [28, Thm. 1.6.7].

By (1.28), (2.58) and (2.60), we have

$$(2.69) \quad \nabla_{t,e_i} - \nabla_{t,e_i}^{(0)} = O_0(t^2),$$

where by $O_0(t^\alpha)$ we mean an operator of order 0 which is a $O(t^\alpha)$. Thus, by (2.61), (2.62) and (2.69), we have

$$(2.70) \quad \mathcal{R}_t = \nabla_{t,e_i} O_0(t) + O_0(1).$$

This immediately yields to the last estimate of (2.68). $\square$

Let Γ be the contour in $\mathbb{C}$ defined in Figure 2.




FIGURE 2.

Proposition 2.15. *There exist $C > 0, a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $t > 0$ and any $\lambda \in \Gamma$, the resolvent $(\lambda - \mathcal{L}_t)^{-1}$ exists and*

$$(2.71) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| (\lambda - \mathcal{L}_t)^{-1} \right\|_t^{0,0} &\leq C(1 + |\lambda|^2)^a, \\ \left\| (\lambda - \mathcal{L}_t)^{-1} \right\|_t^{-1,1} &\leq C(1 + |\lambda|^2)^b. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The fact that (2.71) holds for $\mathcal{L}_t^{(0)}$ is proved in [28, Thm. 1.6.8] as a consequence of the first two lines of (2.68). For $\lambda \in \Gamma$, we have

$$(2.72) \quad (\lambda - \mathcal{L}_t)^{-1} = (\lambda - \mathcal{L}_t^{(0)})^{-1} + (\lambda - \mathcal{L}_t^{(0)})^{-1} \mathcal{R}_t (\lambda - \mathcal{L}_t^{(0)})^{-1} + \dots \quad (\text{finite sum}).$$

Moreover, by the third estimate of (2.68), we know that $\|\mathcal{R}_t\|_t^{1,-1} \leq C_4$. Thus, (2.71) follows from (2.71) for $\mathcal{L}_t^{(0)}$ and (2.72). $\square$

Proposition 2.16. *Take $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Then there exists a constant $C_m > 0$ such that for any $t > 0$, $Q_1, \dots, Q_m \in \left\{ \nabla_{t,e_i}^{(0)}, Z_i \right\}_{i=1}^{2n}$ and $s, s' \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X, \mathbb{E}_{x_0})$,*

$$(2.73) \quad \left| \left\langle [Q_1, [Q_2, \dots [Q_m, \mathcal{L}_t] \dots]] s, s' \right\rangle_{t,0} \right| \leq C_m \|s\|_{t,1} \|s'\|_{t,1}.$$

Proof. First, note that $[\nabla_{t,e_i}^{(0)}, Z_j] = \delta_{ij}$. Thus by (2.61) and (2.62), $[Z_j, \mathcal{L}_t]$ satisfies (2.73).

Let $R_{1,\rho}$ and R_ρ^L be the curvatures of the connections $\nabla + \rho(|Z|/\varepsilon)\Gamma_1$ and $\nabla + \rho(|Z|/\varepsilon)\Gamma^L$. Then by (2.47) and (2.56), we have

$$(2.74) \quad [\nabla_{t,e_i}^{(0)}, \nabla_{t,e_j}^{(0)}] = (R_\rho^L + t^2 R_{1,\rho})_{tZ}^{(0)}(e_i, e_j).$$

By (2.61), (2.62) and (2.74), we find that $[\nabla_{t,e_i}^{(0)}, \mathcal{L}_t]$ has the same structure as $\mathcal{L}_t$ for $t \in]0, 1]$, by which we mean that it is of the form

$$(2.75) \quad \sum_{i,j} a_{ij}(t, tZ) \nabla_{t,e_i}^{(0)} \nabla_{t,e_j}^{(0)} + \sum_i b_i(t, tZ) \nabla_{t,e_i}^{(0)} + c(t, tZ),$$

where a_{ij}, b_i, c are polynomials in the first variable, and have all their derivatives in the second variable uniformly bounded for $Z \in T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

The adjoint connection $(\nabla_t^{(0)})^*$ of $\nabla_t^{(0)}$ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{t,0}$ is given by

$$(2.76) \quad (\nabla_t^{(0)})^* = -\nabla_t^{(0)} - t(\kappa^{-1} \nabla \kappa)(tZ).$$

Note that the last term of (2.76) and all its derivative in Z are uniformly bounded for $Z \in T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Thus, by (2.75) and (2.76), we find that (2.73) holds when $m = 1$.

Finally, we can prove by induction that $[Q_1, [Q_2, \dots [Q_m, \mathcal{L}_t] \dots]]$ has also the same structure as in (2.75), and thus satisfies (2.73) thanks to (2.76). $\square$

Proposition 2.17. *For any $t > 0$, $\lambda \in \Gamma$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$(2.77) \quad (\lambda - \mathcal{L}_t)^{-1}(\mathbf{H}_t^m) \subset \mathbf{H}_t^{m+1}.$$

Moreover, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2n}$, there exist $K \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C_{\alpha,m} > 0$ such that for any $t \in]0, 1]$, $\lambda \in \Gamma$ and $s \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X, \mathbb{E}_{x_0})$,

$$(2.78) \quad \|Z^\alpha (\lambda - \mathcal{L}_t)^{-1} s\|_{t,m+1} \leq C_{\alpha,m} (1 + |\lambda|^2)^K \sum_{\alpha' \leq \alpha} \|Z^{\alpha'} s\|_{t,m}.$$

Proof. Proposition 2.17 follows from Propositions 2.15 and 2.16 exactly as [28, Thm. 1.6.10] follows from [28, Thm. 1.6.8 and Prop. 1.6.9], the horizontal part of $\mathcal{L}_t$ making no difference. $\square$

Let $e^{-\mathcal{L}_t}(Z, Z')$ be the smooth kernel of the operator $e^{-\mathcal{L}_t}$ with respect to $dv_{TX}(Z')$. Let $\text{pr}_M: T_{\mathbb{R}}X \times_M T_{\mathbb{R}}X \rightarrow M$ be the projection from the fiberwise product $T_{\mathbb{R}}X \times_M T_{\mathbb{R}}X$ onto M , then $e^{-\mathcal{L}_t}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a section of $\text{pr}_M^*(\text{End}(\mathbb{E}))$ over $T_{\mathbb{R}}X \times_M T_{\mathbb{R}}X$. Recall that $\nabla^{\text{End}(\mathbb{E})}$ and $h^{\text{End}(\mathbb{E})}$ have been defined below (2.53), and let $\nabla^{\text{pr}_M^* \text{End}(\mathbb{E})}$ (resp. $h^{\text{pr}_M^* \text{End}(\mathbb{E})}$) be the induced connection (resp. metric) on $\text{pr}_M^* \text{End}(\mathbb{E})$.

Theorem 2.18. *Let $u > 0$ be fixed. For any $m, m' \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $C > 0$ such that for any $t > 0$, $Z, Z' \in T_{x_0}X$ with $|Z|, |Z'| \leq 1$,*

$$(2.79) \quad \sup_{|\alpha|, |\alpha'| \leq m} \left| \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+|\alpha'|}}{\partial Z^\alpha \partial Z'^{\alpha'}} e^{-u\mathcal{L}_t}(Z, Z') \right|_{\mathcal{C}^{m'}(M)} \leq C,$$

where $|\cdot|_{\mathcal{C}^{m'}(M)}$ denotes the $\mathcal{C}^{m'}$ norm with respect to the parameters b_0 and $x_0 \in X_{b_0}$ induced by $\nabla^{\text{pr}_M^* \text{End}(\mathbb{E})}$ and $h^{\text{pr}_M^* \text{End}(\mathbb{E})}$.

Proof. By (2.71), we know that for $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$,

$$(2.80) \quad e^{-u\mathcal{L}_t} = \frac{(-1)^{k-1}(k-1)!}{2i\pi u^{k-1}} \int_{\Gamma} e^{-u\lambda} (\lambda - \mathcal{L}_t)^{-k} d\lambda.$$

Thus, Theorem 2.18 can be proved from Proposition 2.17 exactly as [28, Thm. 1.6.11] is proved from [28, Thm. 1.6.10]. $\square$

Theorem 2.19. *There are constants $C > 0$ and $M \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that for $t > 0$,*

$$(2.81) \quad \|((\lambda - \mathcal{L}_t)^{-1} - (\lambda - \mathcal{L}_0)^{-1})s\|_{0,0} \leq Ct(1 + |\lambda|^2)^M \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 3} \|Z^\alpha s\|_{0,0}.$$

Proof. This is proved from (2.58), (2.61), (2.62) and (2.65) using a Taylor expansion as done in [28, Thm. 1.6.12]. $\square$

Theorem 2.20. *For $u > 0$ fixed, there exists $C > 0$ such that for $t > 0$ and $Z, Z' \in T_{x_0}X$ with $|Z|, |Z'| \leq 1$,*

$$(2.82) \quad |(e^{-u\mathcal{L}_t} - e^{-u\mathcal{L}_0})(Z, Z')| \leq Ct^{1/(2n+1)}.$$

Proof. This theorem follows from Theorems 2.18 and 2.19 exactly as [28, Thm. 1.6.13] follows from [28, Thms. 1.6.11, 1.6.12]. $\square$

We can now prove Theorem 2.10.

By (2.49) and (2.56), we have

$$(2.83) \quad e^{-u\mathcal{L}_t}(Z, Z') = p^{-n} e^{-\frac{u}{p}M_{p,x_0}}(tZ, tZ') \kappa^{1/2}(tZ) \kappa^{-1/2}(tZ').$$

Define

$$(2.84) \quad \mathcal{L}_{0,u} = u\psi_{1/\sqrt{u}} \mathcal{L}_0 \psi_{\sqrt{u}}.$$

Then by the last line of (2.2), Lemmas 2.7 and 2.13, Theorem 2.18 and 2.20 and (2.83) we get that for every fixed $u > 0$ and for the $\mathcal{C}^k$ -norm on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \text{End}(\mathbb{E}))$,

$$(2.85) \quad p^{-n} \psi_{1/\sqrt{p}} e^{-B_{p,u/p}^2}(x_0, x_0) = p^{-n} \psi_{1/\sqrt{u}} e^{-\frac{u}{p}M_{p,x_0}}(0, 0) = e^{-\mathcal{L}_{0,u}}(0, 0) + O(p^{-\epsilon}),$$

with $\epsilon = \frac{1}{4n+2}$.

Finally, using the fact that

$$(2.86) \quad \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j} c(e_i) c(e_j) R^L(e_i, e_j) = \sum_{l,m} R^L(w_l, \bar{w}_m) \bar{w}^m \wedge i_{\bar{w}_l} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_j R^L(w_j, \bar{w}_j)$$

and (0.2), (2.56), (2.63) and (2.84) we find

$$(2.87) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{0,u} &= -\frac{u}{2} \sum_i \left(\nabla + \frac{1}{2} R_{x_0}^L(Z, e_i) \right)^2 + u \left(\sum_{l,m} R_{x_0}^L(w_l, \bar{w}_m) \bar{w}^m \wedge i_{\bar{w}_l} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_j R_{x_0}^L(w_j, \bar{w}_j) \right) \\ &\quad + \sqrt{\frac{u}{2}} c(e_i) f^\alpha R_{i,\alpha}^L(x_0) + \frac{f^\alpha f^\beta}{2} R_{\alpha,\beta}^L(x_0) \\ &= -\frac{u}{2} \sum_i \left(\nabla + \frac{1}{2} \langle \dot{R}_{x_0}^{X,L} Z, e_i \rangle \right)^2 + \Omega_u(x_0) - \frac{u}{2} \text{Tr}(\dot{R}_{x_0}^{X,L}). \end{aligned}$$

The formula for the heat kernel of a harmonic oscillator (see [28, (E.2.4)] for instance) gives

$$(2.88) \quad e^{-\mathcal{L}_{0,u}}(0, 0) = (2\pi)^{-n} \exp(-\Omega_{u,x_0}) \frac{\det(\dot{R}_{x_0}^{X,L})}{\det(1 - \exp(-u\dot{R}_{x_0}^{X,L}))} \otimes \text{Id}_\xi,$$

which implies Theorem 2.10 by (2.85).

2.4. Asymptotic of the torsion forms. Let $b_0 \in B$ be fixed. Again we denote X_{b_0} by X . Recall that ω^H and N_u are defined respectively in (1.6) and (1.33). let $d = \dim M$.

For $x \in X$, set

$$(2.89) \quad \begin{aligned} \Lambda_u(x) &= (2\pi)^{-n} \exp(-\Omega_{u,x}) \frac{\det(\dot{R}_x^{X,L})}{\det(\text{Id} - \exp(-u\dot{R}_x^{X,L}))}, \\ R_u(x) &= \text{Tr}_s [N_u \Lambda_u(x)]. \end{aligned}$$

Let $A_j \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X, \text{End}(\Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R},b_0}^* B) \otimes \Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^* X)))$ be such that $A_{-d-1} = 0$ and as $u \rightarrow 0$

$$(2.90) \quad \Lambda_u(x) = \sum_{j=-d}^k A_j(x) u^j + O(u^{k+1}).$$

Theorem 2.21. *There exist $A_{p,j} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X, \Lambda(T_{\mathbb{R}}^* B) \otimes \text{End}(\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^* X) \otimes \xi))$ such that for any $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $C > 0$ such that for any $u \in]0, 1]$ and $p \geq 1$,*

$$(2.91) \quad \left| p^{-n} \psi_{1/\sqrt{p}} \exp\left(-B_{p,u/p}^2\right)(x, x) - \sum_{j=-d}^k A_{p,j}(x) u^j \right|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell(M)} \leq C u^{k+1}.$$

Here, $\mathcal{C}^\ell(M)$ denotes the $\mathcal{C}^\ell$ -norm in the parameter $(b, x) \in M$.

Moreover, as $p \rightarrow +\infty$, we have for any $j \geq -d$

$$(2.92) \quad A_{p,j}(x) = A_j(x) \otimes \text{Id}_\xi + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}\right),$$

where the convergence is in the $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ topology on M .

Proof. Theorem 2.21 is proved using the same techniques as [28, Thm. 5.5.9]. Let us give the mains ideas of the proof, in which it is clear that the part in positive degree of $\mathcal{L}_t$ has no incidence.

First, we localize the problem near $x_0 \in X$ with the same method as in Section 2.1, in particular Proposition 2.2. Then we rescale the superconnection as in Section 2.2 to get an operator, denoted here by $\mathcal{L}_{t,x_0}$ to make the dependance in x_0 clearer.

By the finite propagation speed of the wave operator [28, Thm D.2.1], for t small, $\tilde{F}_u(u\mathcal{L}_{t,x_0}(0, \cdot))$ only depend on the restriction of $\mathcal{L}_{t,x_0}$ on $B^{T_{\mathbb{R},x_0} X}(0, 2\varepsilon)$ and is supported in $B^{T_{\mathbb{R},x_0} X}(0, 2\varepsilon)$.

Now consider a sphere bundle $V = \{(z, c) \in T_{\mathbb{R}} X \times \mathbb{R} : |z|^2 + c^2 = 1\}$ over X . We embed $B^{T_{\mathbb{R},x_0} X}(0, 2\varepsilon)$ in V_{x_0} by sending z to $(z, \sqrt{1-|z|^2})$ and we extend $\mathcal{L}_{t,x_0}$ to a generalized Laplacian $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{t,x_0}$ on V_{x_0} with values in $\text{pr}_M^*(\text{End}(\mathbb{E}))$. Then, similarly as Lemma 2.7, we have for $0 < u \leq 1$

$$(2.93) \quad \left| e^{-u\mathcal{L}_{t,x_0}}(0, 0) - e^{-u\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{t,x_0}}(0, 0) \right|_{\mathcal{C}^m(M \times [0,1])} \leq C \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{32u}\right).$$

Finally, as the total space of V is compact, the heat kernel $\exp(-u\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}_{t,x_0})(0, 0)$ has an asymptotic expansion (starting with u^{-n}) when $u \rightarrow 0$ which depends smoothly on the parameters x_0 and t (see for instance [28, (D.1.24)]). Thus, thanks to Lemma 2.7, (2.85) and (2.93) we find (2.91) and $A_{p,j} = A_{\infty,j} + O(1/\sqrt{p})$. Moreover, we get $A_{\infty,j} = A_j \otimes \text{Id}_\xi$ from (2.88). $\square$

For $j \geq -d-1$, set

$$(2.94) \quad \tilde{A}_j(x) = \text{Tr}_s [N_V A_j(x) + i\omega^H A_{j+1}(x)].$$

Then by (1.33), (2.89) and (2.90), we have

$$(2.95) \quad R_u(x) = \sum_{j=-d-1}^k \tilde{A}_j(x) u^j + O(u^{k+1}).$$

Set also

$$(2.96) \quad \begin{aligned} B_{p,j} &= \int_X \text{Tr}_s [N_V A_{p,j}(x) + i\omega^H A_{p,j+1}(x)] dv_X(x), \\ B_j &= \int_X \tilde{A}_j(x) dv_X(x). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2.22. *For any $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $u \in]0, 1]$ and $p \geq 1$,*

$$(2.97) \quad \left| p^{-n} \psi_{1/\sqrt{p}} \text{Tr}_s [N_{u/p} \exp(-B_{p,u/p}^2)] - \sum_{j=-d-1}^k B_{p,j} u^j \right|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell(B)} \leq C u^{k+1}.$$

Moreover, as $p \rightarrow +\infty$, we have for any $j \geq -d-1$

$$(2.98) \quad B_{p,j} = \text{rk}(\xi) B_j + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}\right),$$

where the convergence is in the $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ topology on B .

Proof. This is a consequence of Theorem 2.21, using (2.94)-(2.96) and $\psi_{1/\sqrt{p}} N_{u/p} = N_u$. $\square$

Theorem 2.23. *There exists $C > 0$ such that for $u \geq 1$ and $p \geq 1$,*

$$(2.99) \quad \left| p^{-n} \psi_{1/\sqrt{p}} \text{Tr}_s [N_{u/p} \exp(-B_{p,u/p}^2)] \right|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell(B)} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{u}}.$$

Theorem 2.23 will be proved in Section 2.5.

Recall that we assumed in the introduction that there is a $p_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the direct image $R^i \pi_*(\xi \otimes L^p)$ is locally free for all $p \geq p_0$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, and vanishes for $i > 0$. In particular, for $p \geq p_0$,

$$(2.100) \quad H^i(X, (\xi \otimes L^p)|_X) = 0 \quad \text{for } i > 0.$$

For $p \geq p_0$, set

$$(2.101) \quad \tilde{\zeta}_p(s) = -\frac{p^{-n}}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{+\infty} u^{s-1} \psi_{1/\sqrt{p}} \Phi \left\{ \text{Tr}_s [N_{u/p} \exp(-B_{p,u/p}^2)] \right\} du.$$

Here we make an abuse of notation: we should split the integral in two part as in (1.41). Clearly, if ζ_p denotes the zeta function (1.41) associated with $B_{p,u}$, we have

$$(2.102) \quad p^{-n} \psi_{1/\sqrt{p}} \zeta_p(s) = p^{-s} \tilde{\zeta}_p(s).$$

We deduce that

$$(2.103) \quad p^{-n} \psi_{1/\sqrt{p}} \zeta_p'(0) = \log(p) B_{p,0} + \tilde{\zeta}_p'(0).$$

On the other hand, we have for $p \geq p_0$,

$$(2.104) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\zeta}_p'(0) &= - \int_0^1 p^{-n} \Phi \left\{ \psi_{1/\sqrt{p}} \text{Tr}_s [N_{u/p} \exp(-B_{p,u/p}^2)] - \sum_{j=-d-1}^0 B_{p,j} u^j \right\} \frac{du}{u} \\ &\quad - \int_1^{+\infty} p^{-n} \Phi \psi_{1/\sqrt{p}} \text{Tr}_s [N_{u/p} \exp(-B_{p,u/p}^2)] \frac{du}{u} - \sum_{j=-d-1}^{-1} \frac{B_{p,j}}{j} + \Gamma'(1) B_{p,0}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tilde{\zeta}(s)$ be the Mellin transform of $u \mapsto -\int_X R_u(x) dv_X(x)$, i.e., for $\text{Re}(s) > n$:

$$(2.105) \quad \tilde{\zeta}(s) = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_X R_u(x) dv_X(x) u^{s-1} du.$$

Then $\tilde{\zeta}$ has a holomorphic extension near 0.

By Theorem 2.21 and Theorem 2.23, we can apply the dominated convergence theorem to (2.104), and with Theorem 2.10 we find

$$(2.106) \quad \tilde{\zeta}'_p(0) \xrightarrow{p \rightarrow +\infty} \text{rk}(\xi) \Phi \tilde{\zeta}'(0).$$

Theorem 2.24. *Let $T^{H'}M$ be the orthonormal complement of TX with respect to R^L and let $R^{L,H'} = R^L|_{T_{\mathbb{R}}^{H'}M \times T_{\mathbb{R}}^{H'}M}$. Then*

$$(2.107) \quad \tilde{\zeta}'(0) = \frac{1}{2} \int_X \det \left(\frac{\dot{R}^{X,L}}{2\pi} \right) \log \left[\det \left(\frac{\dot{R}^{X,L}}{2\pi} \right) \right] e^{-R^{L,H'}} dv_X.$$

Proof. This Theorem is the analogue of [15, (53)] (see also [28, (5.5.60)]) in the family setting. The main new feature here is the presence in the exponential of terms $c(e_i)f^\alpha$ coupling horizontal and vertical variables. This terms make the computations of the super-traces much more complicated. To deal with them, we draw our inspiration from [32].

We first compute

$$(2.108) \quad R_u = (2\pi)^{-n} \text{Tr}_s (N_u e^{-\Omega_u}) \frac{\det(\dot{R}^{X,L})}{\det(\text{Id} - \exp(-u\dot{R}^{X,L}))}.$$

Let

$$(2.109) \quad \tilde{\Omega}_u = \frac{u}{4} c(e_i) c(e_j) R_{ij}^L + \sqrt{\frac{u}{2}} c(e_i) f^\alpha R_{i\alpha}^L.$$

Then by (2.63) and (2.86), we have

$$(2.110) \quad \begin{aligned} \Omega_u &= \tilde{\Omega}_u + \frac{f^\alpha f^\beta}{2} R_{\alpha\beta}^L + \frac{u}{2} \text{Tr}(\dot{R}^{X,L}), \\ \text{Tr}_s(N_u e^{-\Omega_u}) &= \text{Tr}_s(N_u e^{-\tilde{\Omega}_u}) e^{-\frac{f^\alpha f^\beta}{2} R_{\alpha\beta}^L - \frac{u}{2} \text{Tr}(\dot{R}^{X,L})}. \end{aligned}$$

As $c(e_i)c(e_j)\omega_{ij} = 2\sqrt{-1}(\bar{w}^j \wedge i\bar{w}_j - i\bar{w}_j \bar{w}^j)$, we have (see [9, (2.15)])

$$(2.111) \quad N_V = \frac{n}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{4} c(e_i) c(e_j) \omega_{ij}$$

Recall that ω^X is defined in (1.1). Set

$$(2.112) \quad \begin{aligned} R_u(b) &= -\frac{1}{2} u R^L - \frac{\sqrt{-1}b}{2} \omega^X, \\ \omega_u(b) &= -\tilde{\Omega}_u - \frac{ib}{2} \omega^X = \frac{1}{2} c(e_i) c(e_j) R_{ij}^L(b) - \sqrt{\frac{u}{2}} c(e_i) f^\alpha R_{i\alpha}^L. \end{aligned}$$

Then by (1.33), (2.111) and (2.112) we have

$$(2.113) \quad \text{Tr}_s(N_u e^{-\tilde{\Omega}_u}) = \left(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{-1}\omega^H}{u} \right) \text{Tr}_s(e^{\omega_u(0)}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial b} \Big|_{b=0} \text{Tr}_s(e^{\omega_u(b)}).$$

Note that the matrix $(R_u(b)_{ij})_{ij}$ is invertible for b small enough. We denote the coefficients of its inverse by $R_u(b)^{ij}$. Let

$$(2.114) \quad \begin{aligned} V_i &= \sum_{\alpha} f^{\alpha} R_{i\alpha}^L, & V_{u,i} &= \sqrt{\frac{u}{2}} V_i, \\ \tilde{V}_{u,i} &= \sum_k R_u(b)^{ik} V_{u,k}. \end{aligned}$$

A computation shows that

$$(2.115) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega_u(b) &= \frac{1}{2} c(e_i) c(e_j) R_u(b)_{ij} + V_{u,i} c(e_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} (c(e_i) - \tilde{V}_{u,i}) R_u(b)_{ij} (c(e_j) - \tilde{V}_{u,j}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} V_{u,i} V_{u,j} R_u(b)^{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(2.116) \quad \text{Tr}_s(e^{\omega_u(b)}) = \text{Tr}_s \left(e^{\frac{1}{2} (c(e_i) - \tilde{V}_{u,i}) R_u(b)_{ij} (c(e_j) - \tilde{V}_{u,j})} \right) e^{\frac{1}{2} V_{u,i} V_{u,j} R_u(b)^{ij}}.$$

Using this equation and [32, Lem. 2.12], we find

$$(2.117) \quad \text{Tr}_s(e^{\omega_u(b)}) = \text{Tr}_s \left(e^{\frac{1}{2} c(e_i) c(e_j) R_u(b)_{ij}} \right) e^{\frac{1}{2} V_{u,i} V_{u,j} R_u(b)^{ij}}.$$

We now compute the term $\text{Tr}_s \left(e^{\frac{1}{2} c(e_i) c(e_j) R_u(b)_{ij}} \right)$. We may assume that $\dot{R}^{X,L}$ (see (0.2)) is the diagonal matrix $\text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ in the basis $\{w_j\}_j$. Then

$$(2.118) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Tr}_s \left(e^{\frac{1}{2} c(e_i) c(e_j) R_u(b)_{ij}} \right) &= \text{Tr}_s \left(\exp \left(-\frac{u}{4} c(e_i) c(e_j) R_{ij}^L - \frac{\sqrt{-1}b}{4} c(e_i) c(e_j) \omega_{ij} \right) \right) \\ &= \text{Tr}_s \left(e^{-u \sum_j a_j \bar{w}^j \wedge i \bar{\pi}_j + b N_V} \right) e^{\frac{u}{2} \text{Tr}(\dot{R}^{X,L}) - \frac{nb}{2}} \\ &= \text{Tr}_s \left(e^{\sum_j (b-u) a_j \bar{w}^j \wedge i \bar{\pi}_j} \right) e^{\frac{u}{2} \text{Tr}(\dot{R}^{X,L}) - \frac{nb}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$(2.119) \quad \text{Tr}_s \left(e^{\sum_j (b-u) a_j \bar{w}^j \wedge i \bar{\pi}_j} \right) = \sum_{I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}} (-1)^{|I|} e^{\sum_{i \in I} (b-u) a_i} = \det \left(\text{Id} - e^{b \text{Id} - u \dot{R}^{X,L}} \right),$$

hence (2.117) and (2.118) give

$$(2.120) \quad \text{Tr}_s(e^{\omega_u(b)}) = \det \left(\text{Id} - e^{b \text{Id} - u \dot{R}^{X,L}} \right) e^{\frac{u}{2} \text{Tr}(\dot{R}^{X,L}) - \frac{nb}{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2} V_{u,i} V_{u,j} R_u(b)^{ij}}.$$

We now turn to the computation of the derivative at $b = 0$ of (2.120). Set

$$(2.121) \quad \begin{aligned} T_I &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial b} \Big|_{b=0} \det \left(\text{Id} - e^{b \text{Id} - u \dot{R}^{X,L}} \right) \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2} V_i V_j (R^L)^{ij}}, \\ T_{II} &= \det \left(\text{Id} - e^{-u \dot{R}^{X,L}} \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial b} \Big|_{b=0} e^{\frac{1}{2} V_{u,i} V_{u,j} R_u(b)^{ij}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here $(R^L)^{ij}$ denotes the coefficients of the inverse of the matrix $(R_{ij}^L)_{ij}$.

By (2.120) we have

$$(2.122) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial b} \Big|_{b=0} \text{Tr}_s(e^{\omega_u(b)}) = -\frac{n}{2} \text{Tr}_s(e^{\omega_u(0)}) + (T_I + T_{II}) e^{\frac{u}{2} \text{Tr}(\dot{R}^{X,L})}.$$

First, we get easily

$$(2.123) \quad T_I = \det \left(\text{Id} - e^{-u \dot{R}^{X,L}} \right) \text{Tr} \left[\left(\text{Id} - e^{u \dot{R}^{X,L}} \right)^{-1} \right] e^{-\frac{1}{2} V_i V_j (R^L)^{ij}}.$$

Secondly, if we define

$$(2.124) \quad (\omega_{R^L}^X)_{ij} = \sum_{kl} (R^L)^{ik} \omega_{kl} (R^L)^{kj} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_{R^L}^X(V, V) = V_i V_j (\omega_{R^L}^X)_{ij},$$

then we have

$$(2.125) \quad T_{II} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2u} \det \left(\text{Id} - e^{-u \dot{R}^{X,L}} \right) \omega_{R^L}^X(V, V) e^{-\frac{1}{2} V_i V_j (R^L)^{ij}}.$$

Finally, using (2.108), (2.110), (2.113), (2.120), (2.122), (2.123) and (2.125), and defining

$$(2.126) \quad \mathcal{F}^H = e^{-\frac{1}{2} (f^\alpha f^\beta R_{\alpha\beta}^L + V_i V_j (R^L)^{ij})},$$

we find

$$(2.127) \quad R_u = \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{u} \left(\omega^H + \frac{1}{2} \omega_{R^L}^X(V, V) \right) + \text{Tr} \left[\left(\text{Id} - e^{u \dot{R}^{X,L}} \right)^{-1} \right] \right\} \det \left(\frac{\dot{R}^{X,L}}{2\pi} \right) \mathcal{F}^H.$$

In the sequel, we will denote with a subscript $\{*\}$ the objects corresponding to the objects defined above in the case where B is a point (e.g. $R_u^{\{*\}}$, $\tilde{A}_j^{\{*\}}$, ...). This objects are in fact the ones appearing in [15] and [28, Sect. 5.5.4], and are the part of degree 0 of our objects. By (2.95), (2.127) and [28, (5.5.37)-(5.5.40)] we have

$$(2.128) \quad \begin{aligned} R_u &= \left\{ \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{u} \left(\omega^H + \frac{1}{2} \omega_{R^L}^X(V, V) \right) \det \left(\frac{\dot{R}^{X,L}}{2\pi} \right) + R_u^{\{*\}} \right\} \mathcal{F}^H \\ \tilde{A}_j &= \tilde{A}_j^{\{*\}} \mathcal{F}^H \text{ for } j \neq -1, \\ \tilde{A}_{-1} &= \left\{ \tilde{A}_{-1}^{\{*\}} + \sqrt{-1} \left(\omega^H + \frac{1}{2} \omega_{R^L}^X(V, V) \right) \det \left(\frac{\dot{R}^{X,L}}{2\pi} \right) \right\} \mathcal{F}^H. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$(2.129) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_j &= 0 \text{ for } j \leq -2, \\ R_u - \frac{\tilde{A}_{-1}}{u} - \tilde{A}_0 &= \left\{ R_u^{\{*\}} - \frac{\tilde{A}_{-1}^{\{*\}}}{u} - \tilde{A}_0^{\{*\}} \right\} \mathcal{F}^H. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\dot{R}^{X,L} \in \text{End}(T^{(1,0)}X)$ has positive eigenvalues, we find using (2.128), (2.129) and $R_u^{\{*\}} = \text{Tr} \left[\left(\text{Id} - e^{u \dot{R}^{X,L}} \right)^{-1} \right] \det \left(\frac{\dot{R}^{X,L}}{2\pi} \right)$ that for $\text{Re}(z) > 1$,

$$(2.130) \quad \tilde{\zeta}(z) = \left(\int_X \det \left(\frac{\dot{R}^{X,L}}{2\pi} \right) \text{Tr} \left[(\dot{R}^{X,L})^{-z} \right] \mathcal{F}^H dv_X \right) \frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} \int_0^{+\infty} u^{z-1} \frac{e^{-u}}{1 - e^{-u}} du.$$

Let $\zeta(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n^z}$ be the Riemann zeta function. Then classically, we have

$$(2.131) \quad \begin{aligned} \zeta(z) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} \int_0^{+\infty} u^{z-1} \frac{e^{-u}}{1 - e^{-u}} du, \\ \zeta(0) &= -\frac{1}{2}, \quad \zeta'(0) = -\frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, (2.130) and (2.131) yields to
(2.132)

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\zeta}'(0) &= -\zeta(0) \int_X \det \left(\frac{\dot{R}^{X,L}}{2\pi} \right) \text{Tr} \left[\log (\dot{R}^{X,L}) \right] \mathcal{F}^H dv_X + n\zeta'(0) \int_X \det \left(\frac{\dot{R}^{X,L}}{2\pi} \right) \mathcal{F}^H dv_X \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_X \det \left(\frac{\dot{R}^{X,L}}{2\pi} \right) \log \left[\det \left(\frac{\dot{R}^{X,L}}{2\pi} \right) \right] \mathcal{F}^H dv_X.\end{aligned}$$

To prove (2.107), we now have to prove that $\mathcal{F}^H = e^{-R^{L,H'}}$, i.e.

$$(2.133) \quad f^\alpha f^\beta R_{\alpha\beta}^L + V_i V_j (R^L)^{ij} = f'^\alpha f'^\beta R^L(f'_\alpha, f'_\beta)$$

for some basis $\{f'_\alpha\}_\alpha$ of $T_{\mathbb{R}}^{H'} M$ (the right hand side does not depend on the choice of $\{f'_\alpha\}_\alpha$).

We choose f'_α so that $f'_\alpha - f_\alpha = u_\alpha \in T_{\mathbb{R}} X$. Recall that $f^\alpha \in T_{\mathbb{R}}^* M$ is in fact $f^{\alpha,H}$ with $(\cdot)^H : T_{\mathbb{R}}^* B \xrightarrow{\sim} T_{\mathbb{R}}^{H,*} M$. On the other hand, if we extend $f'^\alpha \in T_{\mathbb{R}}^{H',*} M$ to $T_{\mathbb{R}}^* M = T_{\mathbb{R}}^* X \oplus T_{\mathbb{R}}^{H',*} M$ in the obvious way. Then we obtain easily

$$(2.134) \quad f'^\alpha = f^\alpha \in T_{\mathbb{R}}^* M.$$

Write $u_\alpha = \sum_i u_\alpha^i e_i$. By (2.134), we have on the one hand

$$(2.135) \quad \begin{aligned}R^L(f'_\alpha, f'_\beta) f'^\alpha f'^\beta &= R^L(f'_\alpha, f'_\beta + u_\beta^j e_j) f^\alpha f^\beta \\ &= R^L(f'_\alpha, f'_\beta) f^\alpha f^\beta = (R_{\alpha\beta}^L + u_\alpha^i R_{i\beta}^L) f^\alpha f^\beta.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$(2.136) \quad R_{i,\beta}^L = R^L(e_i, f'_\beta - u_\beta^k e_k) = -u_\beta^k R_{ik}^L,$$

so we have by (2.114)

$$(2.137) \quad \begin{aligned}V_i V_j (R^L)^{ij} &= R_{i\alpha}^L R_{j\beta}^L (R^L)^{ij} f^\alpha f^\beta \\ &= u_\alpha^k R_{ik}^L R_{j\beta}^L (R^L)^{ij} f^\alpha f^\beta = u_\alpha^j R_{j\beta}^L f^\alpha f^\beta.\end{aligned}$$

By (2.135) and (2.137), we get (2.133). Theorem 2.24 is proved. $\square$

We can now finish the proof of Theorem 0.3. Recall that Θ^X is defined in (2.1). Then

$$(2.138) \quad \det \left(\frac{\dot{R}^{X,L}}{2\pi} \right) dv_X = \frac{\Theta^{X,n}}{n!}.$$

By (2.127) we have

$$(2.139) \quad \tilde{A}_0 = \frac{n}{2} \det \left(\frac{\dot{R}^{X,L}}{2\pi} \right) \mathcal{F}^H.$$

Now by Corollary 2.22, (2.103), (2.106), Theorem 2.24, (2.138) and (2.139), we have in the smooth topology on B as $p \rightarrow +\infty$

$$(2.140) \quad \begin{aligned}\psi_{1/\sqrt{p}} \tilde{\zeta}'_p(0) &= \log(p) p^n B_0 + p^n \Phi \tilde{\zeta}'(0) + o(p^n) \\ &= \frac{\text{rk}(\xi)}{2} \Phi \left\{ \int_X \log \left[\det \left(\frac{p \dot{R}^{X,L}}{2\pi} \right) \right] e^{-R^{L,H'}} \frac{(p \Theta^X)^n}{n!} \right\} + o(p^n) \\ &= \frac{\text{rk}(\xi)}{2} \int_X \log \left[\det \left(\frac{p \dot{R}^{X,L}}{2\pi} \right) \right] \exp \left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} R^{L,H'} + p \Theta^X \right) + o(p^n),\end{aligned}$$

which is (0.3). Thanks to Corollary 2.22, Theorem 2.23, (2.103) and (2.104), we can apply Lemma 2.13 to get Theorem 0.3.

2.5. Proof of Theorem 2.23. We will use here the notations of Section 2.1 and in particular of (2.21). Let

$$(2.141) \quad C_p = \frac{1}{p}B_p^2 = \frac{1}{p}(D_p^2 + R_p).$$

By the last line of (2.2), we have

$$(2.142) \quad p^{-n}\psi_{1/\sqrt{p}} \operatorname{Tr}_s \left[N_{u/p} e^{-B_{p,u/p}^2} \right] = p^{-n} \operatorname{Tr}_s \left[N_u \psi_{1/\sqrt{u}} e^{-u C_p} \psi_{\sqrt{u}} \right].$$

By (2.22) and (2.24), there exists $\nu > 0$ such that for p large

$$(2.143) \quad \begin{aligned} \operatorname{Sp}(D_p/\sqrt{p}) &\subset]-\infty, -\sqrt{\nu}] \cup \{0\} \cup [\sqrt{\nu}, +\infty[, \\ \operatorname{Sp}(C_p) &\subset \{0\} \cup [\nu, +\infty[. \end{aligned}$$

In the sequel, we will assume that (2.143) holds for $p \geq 1$. Let δ be the counterclockwise oriented circle in $\mathbb{C}$ centered at 0 and of radius $\nu/2$, and let Δ be the contour in $\mathbb{C}$ defined in Figure 3.




FIGURE 3.

Set

$$(2.144) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{p,u} &= \frac{1}{2i\pi} \psi_{1/\sqrt{u}} \int_{\delta} e^{-u\lambda} (\lambda - C_p)^{-1} d\lambda, \\ \mathbb{K}_{p,u} &= \frac{1}{2i\pi} \psi_{1/\sqrt{u}} \int_{\Delta} e^{-u\lambda} (\lambda - C_p)^{-1} d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(2.145) \quad p^{-n}\psi_{1/\sqrt{p}} \operatorname{Tr}_s \left[N_{u/p} e^{-B_{p,u/p}^2} \right] = p^{-n} \operatorname{Tr}_s \left[N_u (\mathbb{P}_{p,u} + \mathbb{K}_{p,u}) \right].$$

We will deal separately with the terms $\mathbb{P}_{p,u}$ and $\mathbb{K}_{p,u}$.

In the rest of this section, we will work on a subset of B small enough so that we can assume that $M = B \times X$.

The term involving $\mathbb{K}_{u,p}$.

Definition 2.25. For $A \in \Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^*B) \otimes \operatorname{End}(\Omega^{0,\bullet}(X, \xi \otimes L^p))$, let $\|A\|_\infty$ be the norm of operator of A viewed as an endomorphism of $L^2(X, \mathbb{E}_p)$ and for $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$, let

$$(2.146) \quad \|A\|_q = \left(\operatorname{Tr} \left[(A^* A)^{q/2} \right] \right)^{1/q}.$$

Note that if $\|A\|_q$ and $\|A'\|_\infty$ exist, then

$$(2.147) \quad \|AA'\|_q \leq \|A\|_q \|A'\|_\infty.$$

Remark 2.26. We do not specify the dependance in $b \in B$ or $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ of the norm $\|\cdot\|_q$ to make the notations lighter.

Lemma 2.27. *Let $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$. Then there exists q_0 such that for $q \geq q_0$, for $U \in T_{\mathbb{R}}B$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a $C > 0$ such that for $p \geq 1$*

$$(2.148) \quad p^{-n} \left\| (\nabla_U^{\text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)})^\ell (\lambda_0 - C_p)^{-q} \right\|_1 \leq C.$$

Proof. Set

$$(2.149) \quad H_p = D_p^2/p - \lambda_0.$$

Then H_p is a self-adjoint positive generalized Laplacian on X . By [2, Thm. 2.38], we know that for $k > 1 + \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{R}} X + r}{2}$, the operator H_p^{-k} has a $\mathcal{C}^r$ kernel given for $(x, x') \in X \times X$ by

$$(2.150) \quad H_p^{-k}(x, x') = \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-tH_p}(x, x') t^{k-1} dt.$$

Thus,

$$(2.151) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Tr} [H_p^{-k}] &= \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \int_X \int_0^{+\infty} \text{Tr} [e^{-tH_p}(x, x)] t^{k-1} dt dv_X(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \int_0^{+\infty} \text{Tr} [e^{-tH_p}] t^{k-1} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using the degree 0 of Theorem 2.10 we find that $p^{-n} \text{Tr} [e^{-\frac{1}{p}D_p^2}]$ converges (along with its derivatives) when $p \rightarrow +\infty$. In particular, $p^{-n} \text{Tr} [e^{-\frac{1}{p}D_p^2}]$ and its derivative are bounded. Moreover, D_p^2 is positive. Thus, for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $C > 0$ such that for $t \geq 1$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$,

$$(2.152) \quad \begin{aligned} p^{-n} |\text{Tr} [e^{-tH_p}]|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell(B)} &= p^{-n} \left| \text{Tr} \left[e^{-\frac{t}{p}D_p^2} \right] \right|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell(B)} e^{\lambda_0 t} \\ &= p^{-n} \left| \text{Tr} \left[e^{-\frac{t-1}{p}D_p^2} e^{-\frac{1}{p}D_p^2} \right] \right|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell(B)} e^{\lambda_0 t} \\ &\leq p^{-n} \left| \text{Tr} \left[e^{-\frac{1}{p}D_p^2} \right] \right|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell(B)} e^{\lambda_0 t} \leq C e^{\lambda_0 t}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using the part of degree 0 in Theorem 2.21, we find that for any $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $a_{p,j} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $C > 0$ such that for any $t \in]0, 1]$ and $p \geq 1$,

$$(2.153) \quad \left| p^{-n} \text{Tr} \left[\exp \left(-\frac{t}{p} D_p^2 \right) \right] - \sum_{j=-n-1}^k a_{p,j} t^j \right|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell(B)} \leq C t^{k+1}.$$

To remove the N_V operator in the trace in the above equation, we used that D_p^2 preserves the vertical degree.

Splitting the integral in (2.151) at $t = 1$ and using (2.152) and (2.153), we find that for k large enough,

$$(2.154) \quad p^{-n} |\text{Tr} [H_p^{-k}]|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell(B)} \leq C.$$

Thus, there exists $q_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $q \geq q_0$ there is $C > 0$ such that

$$(2.155) \quad p^{-n} \|(\lambda_0 - D_p^2/p)^{-q}\|_1 = p^{-n} \text{Tr} [H_p^{-q}] \leq C.$$

Moreover, by (2.143) there is a $C' > 0$ such that for $p \geq 1$,

$$(2.156) \quad \|(\lambda_0 - D_p^2/p)^{-1}\|_\infty \leq C'.$$

A closer look at Bismut's Lichnerowicz formula (1.29) and (1.30) enables us to sharpen (2.21): locally, under the trivialization on U_{x_k} (see Section 2.1), we have

$$(2.157) \quad \frac{1}{p}R_p = \frac{1}{p}\mathcal{O}_1 + \mathcal{O}_0,$$

where $\mathcal{O}_k$ is a differential operator of order k (which does not depend on p). Moreover, in the same way as in Lemma 2.1, we can easily prove from (2.6) (when B is a point) that

$$(2.158) \quad \|s\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(p)} \leq C(\|D_p s\|_{L^2} + p\|s\|_{L^2}).$$

Consequently, if s is an eigenfunction of $D_p/\sqrt{p}$ for the eigenvalue μ ,

$$(2.159) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p}\|R_p s\|_{L^2} &\leq \frac{1}{p}\|s\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(p)} + \|s\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\frac{1}{p}\|D_p s\|_{L^2} + C'\|s\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\left(1 + \frac{|\mu|}{\sqrt{p}}\right)\|s\|_{L^2} \leq C(1 + |\mu|)\|s\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

This estimate yields to

$$(2.160) \quad \frac{1}{p}\|R_p(\lambda_0 - D_p^2/p)^{-1}\|_{\infty} \leq C \sup_{\mu \in [\sqrt{p}, +\infty[} \frac{1 + \mu}{|\lambda_0 - \mu^2|} \leq C'.$$

As in (2.23), we have

$$(2.161) \quad (\lambda_0 - C_p)^{-1} = (\lambda_0 - D_p^2/p)^{-1} + (\lambda_0 - D_p^2/p)^{-1}(R_p/p)(\lambda_0 - D_p^2/p)^{-1} + \dots,$$

with only finitely many terms (as R_p is sum of elements of positive degree in $\Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^*B)$). Thus, for $q \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $(\lambda_0 - C_p)^{-q}$ is a sum of terms of the form

$$(2.162) \quad (\lambda_0 - D_p^2/p)^{-k_0} R_p/p \cdots R_p/p (\lambda_0 - D_p^2/p)^{-k_i},$$

with $0 \leq i \leq \dim_{\mathbb{R}} B$, $k_j \geq 1$ and $\sum_j k_j = q + i$. In particular, there exist j_0 such that $k_{j_0} \geq \frac{q}{\dim_{\mathbb{R}} B + 1}$. Thus, if q is large enough, then $(\lambda_0 - C_p)^{-q}$ is a sum of product of terms of the form (2.162) – which are bounded for $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ by (2.156) and (2.160) – and of $(\lambda_0 - D_p^2/p)^{-q_0}$. Thus, from (2.147) and (2.155), we get Lemma 2.27 for $\ell = 0$.

Using (2.161), we find that $\nabla_U^{\text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)}(\lambda_0 - C_p)^{-q}$ is a sum of terms

$$(2.163) \quad (\lambda_0 - D_p^2/p)^{-k_0} A_{k_1}(p) \cdots A_{k_i}(p) (\lambda_0 - D_p^2/p)^{-k_i},$$

with $0 \leq i \leq \dim_{\mathbb{R}} B + 1$, $k_j \geq 1$, $\sum_j k_j = q + i$ and

$$(2.164) \quad A_{k_j}(p) \in \left\{ R_p/p, \nabla_U^{\text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)} R_p/p, \nabla_U^{\text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)} D_p^2/p \right\}.$$

Thus, using the same reasoning as above with R_p/p replaced by $A_{k_j}(p)$, to prove Lemma 2.27 for $\ell = 1$, we only have to show that there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$

$$(2.165) \quad \|A_{k_j}(p)(\lambda_0 - D_p^2/p)^{-1}s\|_{L^2} \leq C\|s\|_{L^2}.$$

By (2.160), estimation (2.165) holds if $A_{k_j}(p) = R_p/p$. Also, as $\nabla_U^{\text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)} R_p/p$ has the same structure as R_p/p in (2.157), we can show that (2.165) holds if $A_{k_j}(p) = \nabla_U^{\text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)} R_p/p$. We only have the case $A_{k_j}(p) = \nabla_U^{\text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)} D_p^2/p$ left to treat.

First, observe that for any operator A , it is equivalent to show that $\|As\|_{L^2} \leq C\|s\|_{L^2}$ for any section or for any section supported in a ball of radius $\varepsilon > 0$. We fix $x_0 \in X$, and $\varepsilon > 0$ as in Section 2.2, and we consider a section s supported in $B^X(x_0, \varepsilon)$. We will use here all the notations, identifications and trivializations of Section 2.2. We extend s by 0 to get an element

of $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X, \mathbb{E}_{x_0})$. To simplify, let us denote $\nabla_U^{\text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)} D_p^2/p(\lambda_0 - D_p^2/p)^{-1}$ by $A_p(\lambda_0)$. Let $\sigma_t = S_t^{-1} \kappa^{1/2} s$ and $\mathcal{A}_t(\lambda_0) = S_t^{-1} \kappa^{1/2} A_p(\lambda_0) \kappa^{-1/2} S_t$. We have

$$(2.166) \quad \|A_p(\lambda_0)s\|_{L^2}^2 = t^{2n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \left| \kappa^{1/2}(A_p(\lambda_0)s) \right|^2 (tZ) dv_{TX}(Z) = t^{2n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} |\mathcal{A}_t(\lambda_0)\sigma_t|^2(Z) dv_{TX}(Z).$$

Thus, if we prove that

$$(2.167) \quad \|\mathcal{A}_t(\lambda_0)\|_t^{0,0} \leq C,$$

we will find

$$(2.168) \quad \|A_p(\lambda_0)s\|_{L^2}^2 \leq Ct^{2n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} |\sigma_t|^2(Z) dv_{TX}(Z) = C \int_X |s|^2(x) dv_X(x) = C\|s\|_{L^2}^2,$$

which is the estimate we needed. To prove (2.167), observe that over $B^{T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X}(0, \varepsilon)$ and under the identification $\mathbb{E}_p \simeq \mathbb{E}$, we have

$$(2.169) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla^{\text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)} &= \nabla^{\text{End}(\mathbb{E})} = \nabla + [\Gamma_1, \cdot], \\ \nabla^{\text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)}(\nabla_{e_i}^p) &= p(\nabla_U \Gamma^L)(e_i) + R^{\mathbb{E}}(U, e_i). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\nabla^{\text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)} D_p^2/p$ has the form

$$(2.170) \quad \nabla^{\text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)} D_p^2/p = a_{i,j}(Z) \frac{1}{p} \nabla_{e_i}^{p,(0)} \nabla_{e_j}^{p,(0)} + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} b_j(Z) + \sqrt{p} c_j(Z) \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \nabla_{e_j}^{p,(0)} + \frac{1}{p} d(Z) + e(Z),$$

where $a_{i,j}$, b_j , c_j , d and e are bounded (along with their derivatives). Moreover, observe that $(\nabla_U \Gamma^L)(e_i)(Z) = O(|Z|)$ (apply [28, (1.2.30)] and observe that ∇_U only differentiate the parameter of the basis B), and that $c_j(Z)$ comes from the terms $(\nabla_U \Gamma^L)(e_i)$, so we have $c_j(0) = 0$. Using this fact and (2.170), we find that $t^{-1} c_j(tZ)$ is bounded as $t \rightarrow 0$ and that

$$(2.171) \quad S_t^{-1} \kappa^{1/2} \left(\nabla^{\text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)} D_p^2/p \right) \kappa^{-1/2} S_t = a_{i,j}(tZ) \nabla_{t,e_i}^{(0)} \nabla_{t,e_j}^{(0)} + (b_j(tZ) + t^{-1} c_j(tZ)) \nabla_{t,e_j}^{(0)} + t^2 d(tZ) + e(tZ).$$

Using this structure, the fact that $\mathcal{A}_t(\lambda_0) = S_t^{-1} \kappa^{1/2} (\nabla^{\text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)} D_p^2/p) \kappa^{-1/2} S_t (\lambda_0 - \mathcal{L}_t^{(0)})^{-1}$ and arguments similar to those in the proof of Propositions 2.15-2.17 (see [28, Thms. 1.6.8-1.6.10]), we find (2.167).

We have proved Lemma 2.27 for $\ell = 1$. The case $\ell \geq 1$ is similar. $\square$

Proposition 2.28. *For any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $a, C > 0$ such that for $p \geq 1$ and $u \geq 1$,*

$$(2.172) \quad p^{-n} \left| \text{Tr}_s [N_u \mathbb{K}_{p,u}] \right|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell(B)} \leq C e^{-au}.$$

Proof. First, note that (2.156) is still true if we replace λ_0 by $\lambda \in \delta \cup \Delta$, and that the constant in the right hand side can be chosen independently of $\lambda_0 \in \Delta$, that is: there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$(2.173) \quad \|(\lambda - D_p^2/p)^{-1}\|_\infty \leq C, \quad \forall \lambda \in \delta \cup \Delta.$$

In the same way, (2.160) is also true if we replace λ_0 by $\lambda \in \Delta$ and we have $\sup_{\mu \geq \sqrt{c}} \frac{1+\mu}{|\lambda-\mu^2|} \leq C|\lambda|$, hence there exists $C > 0$ such that for $\lambda \in \delta \cup \Delta$,

$$(2.174) \quad \frac{1}{p} \|R_p(\lambda - D_p^2/p)^{-1}\|_\infty \leq C|\lambda|.$$

Thus by (2.161), (2.173) and (2.174), there exists $C > 0$ such that for $p \geq 1$ and $\lambda \in \delta \cup \Delta$,

$$(2.175) \quad \|(\lambda - C_p)^{-1}\|_\infty \leq C|\lambda|.$$

For $\lambda \in \Delta$ and $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}_-^*$, we have

$$(2.176) \quad \begin{aligned} (\lambda - C_p)^{-1} &= (\lambda_0 - C_p)^{-1} - (\lambda - \lambda_0)(\lambda_0 - C_p)^{-1}(\lambda - C_p)^{-1}, \\ (\lambda - C_p)^{-q} &= (\lambda_0 - C_p)^{-q} (1 - (\lambda - \lambda_0)(\lambda - C_p)^{-1})^q. \end{aligned}$$

From (2.147), (2.148) (2.175) and (2.176) we find that for $\lambda \in \delta \cup \Delta$,

$$(2.177) \quad \begin{aligned} \|(\lambda - C_p)^{-q}\|_1 &\leq \|(\lambda_0 - C_p)^{-q}\|_1 \left\| (1 - (\lambda - \lambda_0)(\lambda - C_p)^{-1})^q \right\|_\infty \\ &\leq C|\lambda|^{2q} \|(\lambda_0 - C_p)^{-1}\|_q \leq C|\lambda|^{2q} p^n. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(2.178) \quad \mathbb{K}_{p,u} = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \psi_{1/\sqrt{u}} \int_{\Delta} \frac{(q-1)!}{(-u)^{q-1}} e^{-u\lambda} (\lambda - C_p)^{-q} d\lambda,$$

and there exist $\kappa, K > 0$ such that for $\lambda \in \delta \cup \Delta$,

$$(2.179) \quad \operatorname{Re}(\lambda) \geq K|\lambda| \geq \kappa.$$

From (2.177), (2.178) and (2.179) we deduce that there exist $a, C > 0$ such that for $p \in \mathbb{N}^*, u \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} p^{-n} |\operatorname{Tr}_s [N_u \mathbb{K}_{p,u}]| &\leq p^{-n} C (1 + \sqrt{u}^{-n/2}) \left\| \int_{\Delta} \frac{(q-1)!}{(-u)^{q-1}} e^{-u\lambda} (\lambda - C_p)^{-q} d\lambda \right\|_1 \\ &\leq p^{-n} C \int_{\Delta} |\lambda|^{2q} e^{-uK|\lambda|} \|(\lambda - C_p)^{-q}\|_1 d\lambda \leq C e^{-au}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.28 is proved in the case where $\ell = 0$.

We now turn to the case $\ell = 1$. Equation (2.176) implies

$$(2.180) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_U^{\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)} (\lambda - C_p)^{-q} &= \left[\nabla_U^{\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)} (\lambda_0 - C_p)^{-q} \right] (1 - (\lambda - \lambda_0)(\lambda - C_p)^{-1})^q \\ &\quad + (\lambda_0 - C_p)^{-q} \left[\nabla_U^{\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)} (1 - (\lambda - \lambda_0)(\lambda - C_p)^{-1})^q \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that there is $C, N > 0$ such that for $\lambda \in \delta \cup \Delta$

$$(2.181) \quad \left\| \nabla_U^{\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)} (1 - (\lambda - \lambda_0)(\lambda - C_p)^{-1})^q \right\|_\infty \leq C|\lambda|^N.$$

Indeed, the arguments of Propositions 2.15-2.17 that enables us to prove (2.167) from (2.171) also shows that (2.167) is still true if we replace therein λ_0 by $\lambda \in \delta \cup \Delta$ and that moreover there exists $N > 0$ such that $\|\mathcal{A}_t(\lambda)\|_t^{0,0} \leq C|\lambda|^N$. Hence, as in (2.168), we have $\|A_p(\lambda)\|_\infty \leq C|\lambda|^N$, i.e.,

$$(2.182) \quad \left\| \nabla_U^{\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)} D_p^2/p (\lambda_0 - D_p^2/p)^{-1} \right\|_\infty \leq C|\lambda|^N.$$

Thus, decomposing $\nabla_U^{\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)} (1 - (\lambda - \lambda_0)(\lambda - C_p)^{-1})^q$ as a polynomial in λ whose coefficients have the form (2.163), and using (2.173), (2.174) and (2.182), we find (2.181).

Then, by (2.147), (2.148), (2.180) and (2.181), we find that there is $N' > 0$ such that

$$(2.183) \quad p^{-n} \left\| \nabla_U^{\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)} (\lambda - C_p)^{-q} \right\|_1 \leq C|\lambda|^{N'}.$$

Hence,

$$(2.184) \quad \begin{aligned} p^{-n} \left| \nabla^{\Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^* B)} \operatorname{Tr}_s [N_u \mathbb{K}_{p,u}] \right| &= p^{-n} \left| \operatorname{Tr}_s \left[\nabla_U^{\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)} (N_u \mathbb{K}_{p,u}) \right] \right| \\ &\leq p^{-n} C \int_{\Delta} e^{-uK|\lambda|} \left\| \nabla_U^{\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)} (\lambda - C_p)^{-q} \right\|_1 d\lambda \\ &\leq C e^{-au}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (2.172) for $\ell = 1$.

The proof of Proposition 2.28 for $\ell \geq 1$ relies on similar arguments. $\square$

The term involving $\mathbb{P}_{p,u}$.

Proposition 2.29. *For any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a $C > 0$ such that for any $p \geq 1$ and $u \geq 1$,*

$$(2.185) \quad p^{-n} |\mathrm{Tr}_s [N_u \mathbb{P}_{p,u}]|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell(B)} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{u}}.$$

Proof. We first rewrite $\mathbb{P}_{p,u}$. As C_p has no eigenvalues between the two circles δ and δ/u , we have

$$(2.186) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_{p,u} &= \frac{1}{2i\pi} \psi_{1/\sqrt{u}} \int_{\delta/u} e^{-u\lambda} (\lambda - C_p)^{-1} d\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{2i\pi} \psi_{1/\sqrt{u}} \int_{\delta} e^{-\lambda} (\lambda - uC_p)^{-1} d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

We now use the technique of [7, Sect. 9.13]. Let $C_p^{(0)} = \frac{1}{p} D_p^2$ be the part of C_p of degree 0 in $\Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^* B)$. We denote by P_p the orthogonal projection from $\Omega^{0,\bullet}(X, \xi \otimes L^p)$ to the kernel of D_p^2 , and $P_p^\perp = 1 - P_p$. We will make the abuse of notation $(C_p^{(0)})^{-1} = P_p^\perp (C_p^{(0)})^{-1} P_p^\perp$. Finally, we denote R_p/p by $\tilde{R}_p$. Then for $\lambda \in \delta$,

$$(2.187) \quad \begin{aligned} e^{-\lambda} (\lambda - uC_p)^{-1} &= \left(\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \lambda^k \right) \left(\sum_{\ell \geq 0} (\lambda - uC_p^{(0)})^{-1} (u\tilde{R}_p) \dots (u\tilde{R}_p) (\lambda - uC_p^{(0)})^{-1} \right), \\ (\lambda - uC_p^{(0)})^{-1} &= \frac{1}{\lambda} P_p + (\lambda - uC_p^{(0)})^{-1} P_p^\perp. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $\lambda \mapsto (\lambda - uC_p^{(0)})^{-1} P_p^\perp$ is an holomorphic function on the interior of δ , so (2.187) yields to

$$(2.188) \quad \mathbb{P}_{p,u} = \psi_{1/\sqrt{u}} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\dim_{\mathbb{R}} B} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_0 \leq \ell+1 \\ j_1, \dots, j_{\ell+1-i_0} \geq 0 \\ \sum_{m=1}^{\ell+1-i_0} j_m \leq i_0-1}} \frac{(-1)^{\ell - \sum_m j_m}}{(i_0 - 1 - \sum_m j_m)!} T_{p,1}(u\tilde{R}_p) T_{p,2} \dots (u\tilde{R}_p) T_{p,\ell+1},$$

where P_p appears i_0 times among the $T_{p,j}$'s and the other terms are given respectively by $(uC_p^{(0)})^{-(1+j_1)}, \dots, (uC_p^{(0)})^{-(1+j_{\ell+1-i_0})}$.

As R_p is the part of positive degree of B_p^2 and $B_p^{(0)} = D_p$ (see (1.25)), we can decompose R_p with respect to the degree in $\Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^* B)$:

$$(2.189) \quad R_p = R_p^{(1)} + R_p^{(\geq 2)} \quad \text{with} \quad R_p^{(1)} = [B_p^{(1)}, D_p].$$

We can rewrite the sum (2.188) as a sum of products of terms

$$(2.190) \quad \begin{aligned} &A_1(u\psi_{1/\sqrt{u}} \tilde{R}_p^{(1)}) A_2 \quad \text{or} \quad A_1(u\psi_{1/\sqrt{u}} \tilde{R}_p^{(\geq 2)}) A_2, \\ &A_i \in \{P_p, (uC_p^{(0)})^{-(1+j)}, (uC_p^{(0)})^{-(1+j)/2}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, observe that

$$(2.191) \quad P_p [B_p^{(1)}, D_p] P_p = 0.$$

As a consequence, the possible degrees in u of a term $A_1(u\psi_{1/\sqrt{u}}\tilde{R}_p^{(1)})A_2 = A_1(\sqrt{u}\tilde{R}_p^{(1)})A_2$ are:

$$(2.192) \quad \begin{cases} \deg_u P_p \sqrt{u} \tilde{R}_p^{(1)} P_p = -\infty, \\ \deg_u P_p \sqrt{u} \tilde{R}_p^{(1)} (uC_p^{(0)})^{-r} = \deg_u (uC_p^{(0)})^{-r} \sqrt{u} \tilde{R}_p^{(1)} P_p = \frac{1}{2} - r, \\ \deg_u (uC_p^{(0)})^{-r} \sqrt{u} \tilde{R}_p^{(1)} (uC_p^{(0)})^{-r'} = \frac{1}{2} - r - r'. \end{cases}$$

In any case, by (2.190), these terms are polynomials in $1/\sqrt{u}$.

Concerning the terms $A_1(u\psi_{1/\sqrt{u}}\tilde{R}_p^{(\geq 2)})A_2$, as $\tilde{R}_p^{(\geq 2)}$ is a sum of terms of degree greater than 2 in $\Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^*B)$ we find that the powers of u appearing are:

$$(2.193) \quad \begin{cases} u^{-j/2} & \text{in } P_p(u\psi_{1/\sqrt{u}}\tilde{R}_p^{(\geq 2)})P_p, \\ u^{-r-j/2} & \text{in } P_p(u\psi_{1/\sqrt{u}}\tilde{R}_p^{(\geq 2)})(uC_p^{(0)})^{-r} \text{ or } (uC_p^{(0)})^{-r}(u\psi_{1/\sqrt{u}}\tilde{R}_p^{(\geq 2)})P_p, \\ u^{-r-r'-j/2} & \text{in } (uC_p^{(0)})^{-r}(u\psi_{1/\sqrt{u}}\tilde{R}_p^{(\geq 2)})(uC_p^{(0)})^{-r'}, \end{cases}$$

where $r, r' \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}^*$ and $2 \leq j \leq \dim_{\mathbb{R}} B$. This shows that $\mathbb{P}_{p,u}$ is in $\mathbb{C}_N\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{u}}\right]$ for some uniform $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, in each term of the sum (2.188) $i_0 \geq 1$ so P_p —which is a projector on a finite dimensional space—appears at least one time. Hence there exist $c_k(p) \in \Omega^\bullet(B)$ such that

$$(2.194) \quad p^{-n} \text{Tr}_s [N_u \mathbb{P}_{p,u}] = \sum_{k=0}^K c_k(p) u^{-k/2}.$$

Moreover, by (2.159), we have for $r, r' \geq \frac{1}{2}$

$$(2.195) \quad \begin{cases} \|P_p \tilde{R}_p P_p\|_\infty \leq C, \\ \|P_p \tilde{R}_p (C_p^{(0)})^{-r}\|_\infty, \|(C_p^{(0)})^{-r} \tilde{R}_p P_p\|_\infty \leq C \sup_{\mu \geq \sqrt{v}} \left((1+\mu)\mu^{-2r} \right) \leq C', \\ \|(C_p^{(0)})^{-r} \tilde{R}_p (C_p^{(0)})^{-r'}\|_\infty \leq C''. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, each term in the sum (2.188) is a product of uniformly bounded terms, in which P_p appears at least once (because $i_0 \geq 1$). Thus,

$$(2.196) \quad |c_k(p)| \leq p^{-n} C \dim \ker(D_p^2) = p^{-n} C \dim H^0(X, \xi \otimes L^p) \leq C.$$

For the last inequality we have used Riemann-Roch-Hirzebruch theorem (see e.g. [28, Thm. 1.4.6]) and Kodaira vanishing theorem.

Finally, using Theorem 1.15, (2.145) and Proposition 2.28 we have for p large fixed

$$(2.197) \quad p^{-n} \text{Tr}_s [N_u \mathbb{P}_{p,u}] \xrightarrow{u \rightarrow +\infty} p^{-n} \psi_{1/\sqrt{p}} \text{Tr}_s \left[N_V \exp(-(\nabla^{H(X, \xi \otimes L^p|_X)})^2) \right] = 0.$$

Thus $c_0(p) = 0$ and by (2.194) and (2.196) we find (2.185) in the case $\ell = 0$.

We now turn to the case $\ell = 1$. By decomposing as above $\mathbb{P}_{p,u}$ in a sum of product of polynomial in $1/\sqrt{u}$, and then differentiating in the direction $U \in T_{\mathbb{R}}B$, we find that $\nabla_U^{\text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)} \mathbb{P}_{p,u}$ is also a sum of product of polynomial in $1/\sqrt{u}$. Thus, here again there exist $c'_k(p) \in \Omega^\bullet(B)$ such that

$$(2.198) \quad p^{-n} \nabla_U^{\Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^*B)} \text{Tr}_s [N_u \mathbb{P}_{p,u}] = p^{-n} \text{Tr}_s \left[\nabla_U^{\text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)} N_u \mathbb{P}_{p,u} \right] = \sum_{k=0}^K c'_k(p) u^{-k/2}.$$

To conclude the proof as above, we need not only the uniform bounds given in (2.195), but also of the derivative of the terms appearing therein. To obtain these bounds, we use similar reasonings

that those undertaken in Propositions 2.27 and 2.28 (in particular the proof of (2.182)) to handle the derivatives.

For $\ell \geq 1$, the reasoning is similar. $\square$

With (2.145) and Propositions 2.28 and 2.29, we have proved Theorem 2.23.

3. TORSION FORMS ASSOCIATED WITH A DIRECT IMAGE.

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 0.7.

We recall some notations. Let N , M and B be three complex manifolds. Let $\pi_1: N \rightarrow M$ and $\pi_2: M \rightarrow B$ be holomorphic fibrations with compact fiber Y and X respectively. Then $\pi_3 := \pi_2 \circ \pi_1: N \rightarrow B$ is a holomorphic fibration, whose compact fiber is denoted by Z . We denote by n_X (resp. n_Y, n_Z) the complex dimension of X (resp. Y, Z). Note that $\pi_1|_Z: Z \rightarrow X$ is a holomorphic fibration with fiber Y . This is summarized in the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y & \longrightarrow & Z & \longrightarrow & N \\ & & \downarrow \pi_1 & & \downarrow \pi_1 \quad \searrow \pi_3 \\ & & X & \longrightarrow & M \xrightarrow{\pi_2} B \end{array}$$

Let (π_2, ω^M) be a structure of Hermitian fibration (see Section 1.1). We denote by $T_B^H M$ the corresponding horizontal space.

Let (ξ, h^ξ) be a holomorphic Hermitian vector bundle on M , and let (η, h^η) be a holomorphic Hermitian vector bundle on N . Let (L, h^L) be a holomorphic Hermitian line bundle on N . We denotes its Chern connection by ∇^L , and the corresponding curvature by R^L . By Assumption 0.4, L is positive along the fibers of π_3 . In particular, $\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} R^L$ defines metric $g^{T_{\mathbb{R}}Z}$ on $T_{\mathbb{R}}Z$, by the formula

$$(3.1) \quad g^{T_{\mathbb{R}}Z}(U, V) = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} R^L(U, J^{T_{\mathbb{R}}Z} V), \quad U, V \in T_{\mathbb{R}}Z.$$

Similarly, we get a metric $g^{T_{\mathbb{R}}Y}$ on $T_{\mathbb{R}}Y$.

Recall that

$$(3.2) \quad T_B^H N = (TZ)^\perp, \quad T_M^H N = (TY)^\perp, \quad T_X^H Z = T_M^H N \cap TZ,$$

where the orthogonal complements are taken with respect to R^L . Also, $\dot{R}^{X,L} \in \pi_3^* \text{End}(TX)$ is the Hermitian matrix such that for any $U, V \in TX$, if we denote their horizontal lifts by $U^H, V^H \in T_X^H Z$, then

$$(3.3) \quad R^L(U^H, \overline{V}^H) = \langle \dot{R}^{X,L} U, V \rangle_{h^{TX}}.$$

By Assumption 0.4, $\dot{R}^{X,L}$ is positive definite. Finally, set

$$(3.4) \quad \Theta^N = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} R^L \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta^Z = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} R^L|_{T_{\mathbb{R}}Z \times T_{\mathbb{R}}Z}.$$

We extend Θ^Z to $T_{\mathbb{R}}N = T_{\mathbb{R}}Z \oplus (T_{\mathbb{R}}Z)^\perp$ by zero.

Recall that we have assumed that (for p large) the direct image both $R^\bullet \pi_{1*}(\eta \otimes L^p)$ is locally free. Let $F_p := H^\bullet(Y, (\eta \otimes L^p)|_Y)$ the corresponding bundle, endowed with the L^2 metric h^{F_p} induced by h^η, h^L and $g^{T_{\mathbb{R}}Y}$.

We have also assumed that (for p large) $R^\bullet \pi_{2*}(\xi \otimes F_p)$ of is locally free and that we have $R^\bullet \pi_{2*}(\xi \otimes F_p) \simeq R^\bullet \pi_{3*}(\pi_1^* \xi \otimes \eta \otimes L^p)$.

The objects corresponding to this situation will be denoted by

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_{p,b}^k &= \mathcal{C}^\infty(X_b, (\Lambda^{0,k}(T^*X) \otimes \xi \otimes F_p)|_{X_b}), \\
 \nabla^p &= \nabla^{E_p, LC}, \\
 \bar{\partial}^p &= \text{Dolbeault operator of } E_p, \\
 (3.5) \quad D_p &= \bar{\partial}^p + \bar{\partial}^{p,*}, \\
 B_p, B_{p,u} &= \text{associated superconnections as in (1.23),} \\
 \nabla_u^p &= \text{connection corresponding to (1.28) associated with } \xi \otimes F_p = \nabla_u \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \nabla^{F_p}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then we can construct as in Section 1 the holomorphic analytic torsion forms $\mathcal{T}(\omega^M, h^{\xi \otimes F_p})$ associated with ω^M and $(\xi \otimes F_p, h^{\xi \otimes F_p})$.

The strategy of proof of Theorem 0.7 will be formally the same as for Theorem 0.3. However, the main difficulty is that in the case of a line bundle (that is $Y = \{*\}$), $F_p = L^p$ is of constant dimension 1 so locally the operators have their coefficients in a fixed space (see Remark 2.6), whereas it is not the case here. To overcome this issue, we will use an approach inspired by [14, 13], that is we will consider all the operators depending on p at once with the formalism of Toeplitz operators of [28]. More precisely, we will consider the family $\{B_{p,u}^2, p \in \mathbb{N}\}$ as a differential operators with coefficient in the Toeplitz algebra (see (3.23)). A crucial point is to use the operator norm on matrices to have boundedness properties of Toeplitz operators. Here, the first difficulty is that there is no longer a limiting operator (as the space changes), but we can show that instead there is an asymptotic operator with Toeplitz coefficients. The problem is then that we cannot compute its heat kernel explicitly (with comparison to (2.88)), but using the properties of operator with Toeplitz coefficients developed in Section 3.3, we can nonetheless give an asymptotic formula. An other difficulty comes from the fact that we cannot use the same method to prove the uniform development of the heat kernel as $u \rightarrow 0$ as we did before (see the proofs of Theorems 2.21 and 3.22), and we cannot hope to prove that the coefficients converges. Instead, we prove that the coefficients are asymptotic to certain Toeplitz operators.

Once again, to simplify the statements in the following, we will assume that B is compact. However, the reader should be aware of the fact that the constants appearing in the sequel depends on the compact subset of B we are working on.

This section is organized as follows. In Subsections 3.1 and 3.2, we recall the formalism of Toeplitz operators. In Subsection 3.3, we introduce operators with Toeplitz coefficients and show some properties of their Schwartz kernels. In Subsection 3.4, we show that our problem is local. In Subsection 3.5, we rescale the Bismut superconnection and compute the limit operator, then we obtain the convergence of the heat kernel in Theorem 0.10. Then, in Subsection 3.6, we prove our main theorem, using two results which are proved in Subsections 3.7 and 3.8.

3.1. The algebra of Toeplitz operators. In this subsection, we describe the formalism of Toeplitz operators introduced by Berezin [1] and Boutet de Monvel-Guillemin [18], and developed by Bordemann-Meinrenken-Schlichenmaier [17], Schlichenmaier [36] and Ma-Marinescu [28], [29].

We fix $m \in M$ for this subsection, and we denote Y_m simply by Y .

Thus, we are given a complex manifold Y of dimension n_Y , endowed with an Hermitian vector bundle $(\eta, h^\eta)|_Y$ and with a positive line bundle $(L, h^L)|_Y$. Recall that R^L is the Chern curvature of L and that

$$(3.6) \quad \Theta^Y = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} R^L|_{T_{\mathbb{R}}Y \times T_{\mathbb{R}}Y},$$

and $g^{T_{\mathbb{R}}Y} = \Theta^Y(\cdot, J\cdot)$ is the associated metric.

Let

$$(3.7) \quad \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{C}^\infty(Y, \text{End}(\eta)),$$

which we endow $\mathcal{A}$ with the L^2 -metric induced by $g^{T_{\mathbb{R}}Y}$, h^L and h^η .

For $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A \in \text{End}(L^2(Y, \eta \otimes L^p))$, we will use the same notations as in Definition 2.25, i.e., $\|A\|_\infty$ denotes the operator norm of A and $\|A\|_1$ its trace norm (if A is trace class).

Let P_p be the orthogonal projection from $L^2(Y, \eta \otimes L^p)$ onto $H^0(Y, \eta \otimes L^p)$. By Riemann-Roch-Hirzebruch theorem and Kodaira vanishing theorem, we now that $\dim F_p \leq Cp^{n_Y}$, thus if $A \in \text{End}(L^2(Y, \eta \otimes L^p))$ is such that $P_p A P_p = A$, we have

$$(3.8) \quad \|A\|_1 \leq C\|A\|_\infty p^{n_Y}.$$

If (V, h^V) is any finite dimensional Hermitian vector space and if $u \in \text{End}(V)$, we denote by $\|u\|$ the operator norm of u .

For $f \in \mathcal{A}$, set

$$(3.9) \quad \|f\|_{\mathcal{C}^0} = \sup_{y \in Y} \|f(y)\|.$$

This defines a metric on $\mathcal{A}$.

For $f \in \mathcal{A}$, we denote by $T_{f,p}$ the *Berezin-Toeplitz quantization* of f , that is

$$(3.10) \quad T_{f,p} = P_p f P_p.$$

Observe that

$$(3.11) \quad \|T_{f,p}\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{C}^0}.$$

Moreover, by [28, (4.1.84), Lem. 7.2.4], as $p \rightarrow +\infty$, we have

$$(3.12) \quad \text{Tr}^{F_p}[T_{f,p}] = p^{n_Y} \int_Y \text{Tr}^\eta[f] e^{\Theta^Y} + O(p^{n_Y-1}).$$

Recall that Toeplitz operators are defined in Definition 0.9. As in [28], for a Toeplitz operator T_p with corresponding sections f_r , we will use the notation

$$(3.13) \quad T_p = \sum_{r=0}^{+\infty} p^{-r} T_{f_r,p} + O(p^{-\infty}).$$

We denote by $\mathcal{T}$ the space of Toeplitz operators on Y .

It follows from the above references that $\mathcal{T}$ is an algebra. More precisely, it is proved in [30, Thm. 0.3 Rem. 0.5] that there are bidifferential operators C_r such that for $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} T_{f,p} \circ T_{g,p} &= \sum_{r=0}^{+\infty} p^{-r} T_{C_r(f,g),p} + O(p^{-\infty}), \\ C_0(f,g) &= fg. \end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} T_{f,p} \circ T_{g,p} &= T_{fg,p} + O(p^{-1}), \\ [T_{f,p}, T_{g,p}] &= T_{[f,g],p} + O(p^{-1}), \\ [T_{f,p}, T_{g,p}]_+ &= T_{[f,g]_+,p} + O(p^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]_+$ denotes the anti-commutator.

3.2. Infinite dimensional bundles. From now on, we will consider $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ as infinite dimensional bundles of algebra on M : for $m \in M$,

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_m &= \mathcal{C}^\infty(Y_m, \text{End}(\eta|_{Y_m})), \\ \mathcal{T}_m &= \{\text{Toeplitz operators on the fiber } Y_m\}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, an element of $\mathcal{T}$ define a family of elements of $\text{End}(F_p)$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}^0}$ defines a metric on the bundle $\mathcal{A}$, and $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ and $\|\cdot\|_1$ define two metrics on the bundle $\mathcal{T}$.

In the sequel, for any hermitian bundle $(\mathcal{V}, h^\mathcal{V})$ on M , we will still denote by $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ and $\|\cdot\|_1$ the induced metrics on $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{T}$.

We define a connection on $\mathcal{A}$ as follows: if $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{C}^\infty(N, \text{End}(\eta))$ and $U \in T_{\mathbb{R}}M$, then

$$(3.17) \quad \nabla_U^{\mathcal{A}} f = \nabla_{U^H}^\eta f,$$

where U^H is the horizontal lift of U in $T_{M, \mathbb{R}}^H N$ (see (3.2)).

Define also $\mathcal{F}_p$ as the infinite dimensional bundle:

$$(3.18) \quad \mathcal{F}_{p,m} = \mathcal{C}^\infty(Y_m, (\eta \otimes L^p)|_{Y_m}).$$

Then F_p is a sub-bundle of $\mathcal{F}_p$ and $\mathcal{F}_p$ is endowed with the connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}_p}$ defined by

$$(3.19) \quad \nabla_U^{\mathcal{F}_p} s = \nabla_{U^H}^{\eta \otimes L^p} s,$$

where U^H is the horizontal lift of $U \in T_{\mathbb{R}}M$ in $T_{M, \mathbb{R}}^H N$.

Finally, $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{F}_p$ are equipped with the L^2 metrics $h^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $h^{\mathcal{F}_p}$ associated to $g^{T_{\mathbb{R}}Y}$, h^η and h^L . By Remark 0.6 and [9, Thm. 1.5], we know that $\nabla^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}_p}$ preserve the metrics $h^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $h^{\mathcal{F}_p}$. Furthermore, if ∇^{F_p} is the Chern connection on (F_p, h^{F_p}) , then by (1.9) and (1.48), we have

$$(3.20) \quad \nabla^{F_p} = P_p \nabla^{\mathcal{F}_p} P_p.$$

Let R^{F_p} be the curvature of ∇^{F_p} . We denote again by P_p the projection from $\Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^*M) \otimes \mathcal{F}_p$ onto $\Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^*M) \otimes F_p$. The following theorem of Ma-Zhang [31, Thm 2.1] is the cornerstone of our approach.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathcal{A})$. The forms $\nabla^{F_p} T_{f,p}$ and $\frac{1}{p} R^{F_p}$ are Toeplitz operators valued form, which means that there are $\varphi_r(f) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, T_{\mathbb{R}}^*M \otimes \mathcal{A})$ and $R_r \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \Lambda^2(T_{\mathbb{R}}^*M) \otimes \mathcal{A})$ such that*

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla^{F_p} T_{f,p} &= \sum_{r=0}^{+\infty} T_{\varphi_r(f), p} p^{-r} + O(p^{-\infty}), \\ \frac{1}{p} R^{F_p} &= \sum_{r=0}^{+\infty} T_{R_r, p} p^{-r} + O(p^{-\infty}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, For $U, V \in T_{\mathbb{R}}M$, we have

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi_0(f)(U) &= \nabla_{U^H}^\eta f, \\ R_0(U, V) &= R^L(U^H, V^H). \end{aligned}$$

Using the Lichnerowicz formula (1.29) and Theorem 3.1, we deduce that for $b \in B$,

$$(3.23) \quad B_{p,u}^2|_{X_b} \in \text{Op}(X_b) \otimes \Lambda^\bullet(T_b^*B) \otimes \text{End}(\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^*X_b) \otimes \xi|_{X_b}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[p] \otimes \mathcal{T}|_{X_b},$$

where $\text{Op}(X_b)$ is the algebra of scalar differential operators on X_b .

3.3. Operators with Toeplitz coefficients. In this section, we extend the results of [28, Sects. 7.2-7.4] to the case of Toeplitz operators with value in the algebra of bounded operator on a fixed Hilbert space. We use the notations of Sections 3.1 and 3.2, and we work on a single fiber Y_m , which will be simply denoted by Y .

Let $(\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}})$ be a Hilbert space and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the algebra of bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$. We denote again by P_p the orthogonal projection

$$(3.24) \quad P_p \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathcal{H}}: L^2(Y, L^p \otimes \eta) \otimes \mathcal{H} = L^2(Y, L^p \otimes \eta \otimes \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow H^0(Y, L^p \otimes \eta) \otimes \mathcal{H},$$

and for every smooth family $A(y) \in \text{End}(\eta_y) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $y \in Y$, we can define the operator

$$(3.25) \quad T_{A,p} = P_p A(\cdot) P_p: L^2(Y, L^p \otimes \eta \otimes \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow L^2(Y, L^p \otimes \eta \otimes \mathcal{H}).$$

Here again, we denote by $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ the operator norm for bounded operators acting on the Hilbert space $L^2(Y, L^p \otimes \eta \otimes \mathcal{H})$.

We extend the definition of Toeplitz operators to this situation: here again we call Toeplitz operator a family of operators $T_p \in \text{End}(L^2(Y, L^p \otimes \eta \otimes \mathcal{H}))$ satisfying the two properties of Definition 0.9, with $f_r \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(Y, \text{End}(\eta) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$.

The results of [28, Sects. 7.2-7.4] can be easily extended to the present situation, and the proofs of results below proceed as of the proofs of [28], replacing therein $\text{End}(E_{x_0})$ by $\text{End}(\eta_{y_0}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ endowed with the operator norm. The important point is that we use the operator norm here, which has similar properties in finite and infinite dimensions. We will thus not give details of the proofs in the rest of this section.

Lemma 3.2. *The operator $T_{A,p}$ has a smooth Schwartz kernel*

$$(3.26) \quad T_{A,p}(y, y') \in (L^p \otimes \eta)_y \otimes (L^p \otimes \eta)_{y'}^* \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$$

with respect to $dv_Y(y')$.

For $\varepsilon > 0$, $\ell, m \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $C_{\ell,m,\varepsilon} > 0$ such that for all $p \geq 1$ and $y, y' \in Y$ with $d(y, y') > \varepsilon$,

$$(3.27) \quad \|T_{A,p}(y, y')\|_{\mathcal{C}^m(Y \times Y)} \leq C'_{\ell,m,\varepsilon} p^{-\ell},$$

where the $\mathcal{C}^m$ -norm is induced by ∇^L , ∇^{η} , the usual derivation on $\mathcal{H}$ and h^L , h^{η} , $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Recall that TY is endowed with the Hermitian structure induced by $R^L|_{TY \times TY}$. For $y_0 \in Y$, we choose $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^{n_Y}$ an orthonormal basis of $T_{y_0}Y$. Then $u_{2j-1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v_j + \bar{v}_j)$ and $u_{2j} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\sqrt{2}}(v_j - \bar{v}_j)$, $j = 1, \dots, n_Y$, forms an orthonormal basis of $T_{\mathbb{R}, y_0}Y$, which gives use an isomorphism $T_{\mathbb{R}, y_0}Y \simeq \mathbb{R}^{2n_Y}$. We denote the dependence on the base point y_0 by adding a superscript y_0 .

On $\mathbb{R}^{2n_Y} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{n_Y}$, we denote the coordinates by $(W_1, \dots, W_{2n_Y})$ or $(w_1, \dots, w_{n_Y})$, with $w_j = W_{2j-1} + \sqrt{-1}W_{2j}$. Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n_Y})$ defined by its kernel with respect to dW :

$$(3.28) \quad \mathcal{P}(W, W') = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^m} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}(|w|^2 + |w'|^2 - 2w \cdot w')\right).$$

Then $\mathcal{P}$ is the usual Bergman kernel on $\mathbb{C}^{n_Y}$.

We fix $y_0 \in Y$. As usually, for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, we identify the geodesic ball $B^Y(y_0, 4\varepsilon)$ with the ball $B^{T_{\mathbb{R}, y_0}Y}(0, 4\varepsilon)$ in $T_{\mathbb{R}, y_0}Y$ via the exponential map. The various bundles appearing here on $B^{T_{\mathbb{R}, y_0}Y}(0, 4\varepsilon)$ are trivialized by mean of orthonormal frames at y_0 and of parallel transport for the corresponding connections along the rays $u \in [0, 1] \mapsto uW$. Let $dv_{T_{\mathbb{R}}Y}$ be the volume form on $(T_{\mathbb{R}, y_0}Y, g^{T_{\mathbb{R}, y_0}Y})$, we denote by τ_{y_0} the function satisfying

$$(3.29) \quad dv_Y(W) = \tau_{y_0}(W) dv_{T_{\mathbb{R}}Y}(W), \quad \tau_{y_0}(0) = 1.$$

Let pr_Y be the natural projection from the fiberwise product $T_{\mathbb{R}}Y \times_Y T_{\mathbb{R}}Y$ to Y . Consider an operator $\Xi_p: L^2(Y, L^p \otimes \eta) \otimes \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L^2(Y, L^p \otimes \eta) \otimes \mathcal{H}$ which as a smooth kernel $\Xi_p(y, y')$ with

respect to $dv_Y(y')$. Under our trivialization, this kernel induces a smooth section $\Xi_p^{y_0}(W, W')$ of $\text{pr}_Y^*(\text{End}(\eta) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$ over $\{(y, W, W') : |W|, |W'| \leq 4\varepsilon\} \subset T_{\mathbb{R}}Y \times_Y T_{\mathbb{R}}Y$.

Let $Q_{r,y_0} \in \text{End}(\eta_{y_0}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})[W, W']$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$, be polynomials in W, W' with values in $\text{End}(\eta_{y_0})$ which depends smoothly on $y_0 \in Y$. In the sequel, we denote

$$(3.30) \quad p^{-n_Y} \Xi_p^{y_0}(W, W') \cong \sum_{r=0}^k (Q_{r,y_0} \mathcal{P})(\sqrt{p}W, \sqrt{p}W') p^{-\frac{r}{2}} + O(p^{-\frac{k+1}{2}})$$

if there exist $0 < \varepsilon' < 4\varepsilon$ and $C_0 > 0$ such that for any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $C_{k,\ell}, M > 0$ such that for any $W, W' \in T_{\mathbb{R},y_0}Y$, $|W|, |W'| < \varepsilon'$ and any p , we have

$$(3.31) \quad \left\| p^{-n_Y} \Xi_p^{y_0}(W, W') \tau_{y_0}^{1/2}(W) \tau_{y_0}^{1/2}(W') - \sum_{r=0}^k (Q_{r,y_0} \mathcal{P})(\sqrt{p}W, \sqrt{p}W') p^{-\frac{r}{2}} \right\|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell(Y)} \leq C_{k,\ell} p^{-\frac{k+1}{2}} (1 + \sqrt{p}|W| + \sqrt{p}|W'|)^M e^{-\sqrt{C_0 p}|W-W'|} + O(p^{-\infty}).$$

Here, $\mathcal{C}^\ell(Y)$ denotes the $\mathcal{C}^\ell$ -norm for the parameter $y_0 \in Y$ induced by the operator norms on $\text{End}(\eta_{y_0})$ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, and by $O(p^{-\infty})$ we mean a term such that for any $\ell, \ell_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_{\ell,\ell_1} > 0$ such that its $\mathcal{C}^{\ell_1}$ -norm is dominated by $C_{\ell,\ell_1} p^{-\ell}$.

Recall that by [28, Lem. 7.2.3], there exist $J_{r,y_0} \in \text{End}(\eta_{y_0})[W, W']$ polynomials in W, W' with values in $\text{End}(\eta_{y_0})$ with the same parity as r and with $J_{0,y_0} = \text{Id}_{\eta_{y_0}}$, such that

$$(3.32) \quad p^{-n_Y} P_p^{y_0}(W, W') \cong \sum_{r=0}^k (J_{r,y_0} \mathcal{P})(\sqrt{p}W, \sqrt{p}W') p^{-\frac{r}{2}} + O(p^{-\frac{k+1}{2}})$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let $A \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(Y, \text{End}(\eta) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$. Then there exist a family of $\text{End}(\eta_{y_0}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ -valued polynomials $\{Q_{r,y_0}(A)\}_{r \in \mathbb{N}, y_0 \in Y}$ with the same parity as r and smooth in $y_0 \in Y$ such that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $|Z|, |Z'| < \varepsilon/2$,*

$$(3.33) \quad p^{-n_Y} T_{A,p}^{y_0}(W, W') \cong \sum_{r=0}^k (Q_{r,y_0}(A) \mathcal{P})(\sqrt{p}W, \sqrt{p}W') p^{-\frac{r}{2}} + O(p^{-\frac{k+1}{2}}),$$

and moreover,

$$(3.34) \quad Q_{0,y_0}(A) = A(y_0).$$

We now state the analogue of [28, Thm 7.3.1], which gives a criterion for being a Toeplitz operator.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $T_p : L^2(Y, L^p \otimes \eta \otimes \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow L^2(Y, L^p \otimes \eta \otimes \mathcal{H})$ be a family of bounded linear operators which satisfies the following three conditions:*

- (i) *for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $P_p T_p P_p = T_p$;*
- (ii) *for any $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $\ell, m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_{\ell,m} > 0$ such that for all $p \geq 1$ and all $y, y' \in Y$ with $d(y, y') > \varepsilon_0$,*

$$(3.35) \quad \|T_p(y, y')\|_{\mathcal{C}^m(Y \times Y)} \leq C_{\ell,m} p^{-\ell};$$

- (iii) *there exists a family of polynomial $Q_{r,y_0} \in \text{End}(\eta_{y_0}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})[W, W']$ with the same parity as r and depending smoothly in y_0 such that in the sense of (3.30) and (3.31),*

$$(3.36) \quad p^{-n_Y} T_p^{y_0}(W, W') \cong \sum_{r=0}^k (Q_{r,y_0} \mathcal{P})(\sqrt{p}W, \sqrt{p}W') p^{-\frac{r}{2}} + O(p^{-\frac{k+1}{2}}).$$

Then $\{T_p\}_{p \geq 1}$ is a Toeplitz operator.

The main result of this section (at least as far as the rest of this paper is concerned) provides an analogue of (3.14). It is proved in the same way as [28, Thm. 7.4.1], using Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 3.4.

Theorem 3.5. *For any $A, B \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(Y, \text{End}(\eta) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}))$, the product of $T_{A,p}$ and $T_{B,p}$ is a Toeplitz operator. More precisely, there are bidifferential operators C_r such that in the sense of (3.13),*

$$(3.37) \quad T_{A,p} T_{B,p} = \sum_{r=0}^{+\infty} p^{-r} T_{C_r(A,B),p} + O(p^{-\infty}),$$

and we have

$$(3.38) \quad C_0(A, B) = AB.$$

3.4. Localization. Fix $b_0 \in B$. We use the same notations and trivializations that in Section 2.1, except that we change therein L^p by F_p , so that now

$$(3.39) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_p &= \Lambda_{b_0}^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^* B) \otimes (\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^* X) \otimes \xi \otimes F_p), \\ \mathbb{E} &= \Lambda_{b_0}^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^* B) \otimes (\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^* X) \otimes \xi). \end{aligned}$$

Once again, we want to emphasize that the curtail difference with Section 2 is that the dimension of $\mathbb{E}_p$ is not constant but grows to infinity. This is why we have to use the operator norm on $\text{End}(F_p)$ and Toeplitz operators (notably their boundedness and the properties of their derivatives).

We first prove that Lemma 2.1 still holds in the present situation.

Lemma 3.6. *For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C_k > 0$ such that for any $p \geq 1$, $u > 0$ and $s \in H^{2k+2}(X, \mathbb{E}_p)$,*

$$(3.40) \quad \|s\|_{H^{2k+2}(p)}^2 \leq C_k p^{4k+4} \sum_{j=0}^{k+1} p^{-4j} \|B_p^{2j} s\|_{L^2}.$$

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 2.1, we work locally on one of the U_{x_j} 's and trivialize $\mathbb{E}_p$ in the way indicated at the beginning of Section 2.1.

Let $\tilde{e}_i(Z)$ be the parallel transport of e_i with respect to $\nabla^{T_{\mathbb{R}} X}$ along the curve $t \in [0, 1] \mapsto tZ$. Let Γ^ξ , Γ^{F_p} and $\Gamma^{\Lambda^{0,\bullet}, LC}$ be the connection form of ∇^ξ , ∇^{F_p} and $\nabla^{\Lambda^{0,\bullet}, LC}$ with respect to any fixed frame for ξ , F_p and $\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^* X)$ which is parallel along the curve $t \in [0, 1] \mapsto tZ$ under the trivialization on U_{x_k} .

Then

$$(3.41) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_{1, \tilde{e}_i}^p &= \nabla_{\tilde{e}_i} + (\Gamma^{\Lambda^{0,\bullet}, LC} + \Gamma^\xi + \Gamma^{F_p})(\tilde{e}_i) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} S(\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{e}_j, f_\alpha) c(\tilde{e}_j) f^\alpha \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} S(\tilde{e}_i, f_\alpha, f_\beta) f^\alpha f^\beta + \frac{1}{2} \left(i_{\tilde{e}_i} (\bar{\partial}^M - \partial^M) i\omega \right)^c. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we know that the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_Z \Gamma^{F_p}$ of Γ^{F_p} is given by $\mathcal{L}_Z \Gamma^{F_p} = i_Z R^{F_p}$ (see [28, (1.2.32)] for instance). Similarly, $\mathcal{L}_Z \Gamma^L = i_Z R^L$. This, together with Theorem 3.1, implies that Γ^{F_p} is a Toeplitz operator and that there is a $\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(Z_{b_0}, T_{\mathbb{R}}^* N \otimes \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$(3.42) \quad \Gamma^{F_p}(U) = p T_{\Gamma(U^H), p} + O(1).$$

Hence, (3.41) become

$$(3.43) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_{u, e_i}^p &= \nabla_{e_i} + \Gamma^{\Lambda^{0,\bullet}, LC} + \Gamma^\xi + p T_{\Gamma(e_i^H), p} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2u}} S_{i,j,\alpha} c(e_j) f^\alpha + \frac{1}{2u} S_{i,\alpha,\beta} f^\alpha f^\beta \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \psi_{1/\sqrt{u}} \left(i_{e_i} (\bar{\partial}^M - \partial^M) i\omega \right)^c \psi_{\sqrt{u}} + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

We now prove that B_p^2 has a similar structure as in (2.7). By (3.11), we know that for $s \in \mathbf{H}^1(U_{x_j}, \mathbb{E}_{p,x_j})$,

$$(3.44) \quad \|T_{\Gamma(e_i^H),p}s\|_{L^2} \leq C\|s\|_{L^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \|T_{\Gamma^H,p}\nabla_U s\|_{L^2} \leq C\|s\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(p)}.$$

Moreover, using (3.15), Theorem 3.1 and (3.42), we find

$$(3.45) \quad \nabla_U T_{\Gamma(e_i^H),p} = T_{\Gamma(e_i^H),p}\nabla_U + T_{U^H(\Gamma(e_i^H)),p} + O_0(1),$$

where $O_0(1)$ denotes a bounded family of operators of degree 0 acting on F_p . As a consequence, we have for $s \in \mathbf{H}^1(U_{x_j}, \mathbb{E}_{p,x_0})$,

$$(3.46) \quad \|\nabla_U T_{\Gamma^H,p}s\|_{L^2} \leq C\|s\|_{\mathbf{H}^1(p)}.$$

Let $D^X = \bar{\partial}^X + \bar{\partial}^{X,*}$ be the Dirac operator on $\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^*X) \otimes \xi$. Using (1.29), [28, Thm. 1.4.7], (3.43), (3.44) and (3.46), we find as in (2.7):

$$(3.47) \quad B_p^2 = D^{X,2} + R + p\mathcal{O}_{p,1} + p\mathcal{O}_{p,0}^1 + p^2\mathcal{O}_{p,0}^2$$

where R is a differential operators acting on $\Lambda_{b_0}^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^*B) \otimes (\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^*X) \otimes \xi)_{x_j}$, and $\mathcal{O}_{p,1}$, $\mathcal{O}_{p,0}^1$ and $\mathcal{O}_{p,0}^2$ are differential operators acting on $\mathbb{E}_{p,x_j}$ such that there is $C > 0$ such that for $p \geq 1$ and $s \in \mathbf{H}^{k+1}(U_{x_j}, \mathbb{E}_{p,x_0})$:

$$(3.48) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{O}_{p,1}s\|_{\mathbf{H}^k(p)} &\leq C\|s\|_{\mathbf{H}^{k+1}(p)}, \\ \|\mathcal{O}_{p,0}^i s\|_{\mathbf{H}^k(p)} &\leq C\|s\|_{\mathbf{H}^k(p)}, \quad i = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Lemma 3.41 follows from (3.47) and (3.48) exactly in the same way as Lemma 2.1 follows from (2.7). $\square$

Now, we want to prove an analogue of Proposition 2.2. The main ingredient in the proof of this proposition is the spectral gap of the Dirac operator. Thus, we begin with the following lemma. Recall that D_p is the Dirac operator on $\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^*X) \otimes \xi \otimes F_p$.

Lemma 3.7. *There exist $C_0, C_L > 0$ and $\mu_0 > 0$ such that*

$$(3.49) \quad \text{Sp}(D_p^2) \subset \{0\} \cup [C_0 p - C_L, +\infty[.$$

Proof. As done in [28, Cor. 1.4.17], we can apply Nakano's inequality to the bundle $F_p \otimes \det(TX)^*$ and obtain that for $s \in \Omega^{(0,\bullet)}(X, F_p)$,

$$(3.50) \quad \frac{3}{2} \langle D_p^2 s, s \rangle \geq \langle R^{F_p \otimes \det(TX)^*} (w_j, \bar{w}_k) \bar{w}^k \wedge i \bar{w}_j s, s \rangle - C\|s\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Here C is independent on p as it comes from the norm of the so-called *Hermitian torsion operator* of X (see [28, (1.4.10)]). From Theorem 3.1 and (3.11), (3.50) we deduce that there are $C_0, C_L > 0$ such that for $p \geq 1$ and $s \in \Omega^{(0,>0)}(X, F_p)$,

$$(3.51) \quad \|D_p s\|_{L^2}^2 \geq (C_0 p - C_L) \|s\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Finally, if $s \in \Omega^{(0,0)}(X, F_p)$ satisfies $D_p^2 s = \lambda s$ for some $\lambda \neq 0$, then $0 \neq D_p s \in \Omega^{(0,1)}(X, F_p)$ is still an eigenvector of D_p^2 for the eigenvalue λ , hence $\lambda \geq C_0 p - C_L$. The proof of Lemma 3.7 is completed. $\square$

Recall that the functions F_u , G_u and H_u and their tilded versions have been defined in (2.11) and (2.12).

We still denote by π the projection $\pi: X \times_B X \rightarrow B$ be the projection from the fiberwise product $X \times_B X$ to B . Then $\tilde{G}_u(vB_p^2)(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a section of $\mathbb{E}_p \boxtimes \mathbb{E}_p^*$ over $X \times_B X$. Let $\nabla^{\mathbb{E}_p}$ be the connection on $\mathbb{E}_p$ induced by $\nabla^{\Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^*B)}$, $\nabla^{\Lambda^{0,\bullet}, LC}$, ∇^{F_p} and ∇^ξ , and let $\nabla^{\mathbb{E}_p \boxtimes \mathbb{E}_p^*}$ be the induced connection on $\mathbb{E}_p \boxtimes \mathbb{E}_p^*$. In the same way, let $h^{\mathbb{E}_p}$ be the metric on $\mathbb{E}_p$ induced by $h^{\Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^*B)}$,

$h^{\Lambda^{0,\bullet},LC}$, h^L and h^ξ , and let $h^{\mathbb{E}_p \boxtimes \mathbb{E}_p^*}$ be the induced metric on $\mathbb{E}_p \boxtimes \mathbb{E}_p^*$. Note that this metric restricts to the operator norm on the bundle $\text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)$ over $M \simeq \{(b, x, x') \in X \times_B X : x = x'\}$. We can now prove the analogue of Proposition 2.2:

Proposition 3.8. *For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $C > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $u > 0$ and any $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$,*

$$(3.52) \quad \left\| \tilde{G}_{\frac{u}{p}} \left(\frac{u}{p} B_p^2 \right) (\cdot, \cdot) \right\|_{\mathcal{C}^m} \leq C p^N \exp \left(-\frac{\varepsilon^2 p}{16u} \right).$$

Where the $\mathcal{C}^m$ -norm is induced by $\nabla^{\mathbb{E}_p \boxtimes \mathbb{E}_p^*}$ and $h^{\mathbb{E}_p \boxtimes \mathbb{E}_p^*}$.

Proof. This proposition follows from Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7 exactly as Proposition 2.2 follows from Lemma 2.1 and (2.24). The only difference is that here we decompose B_p^2 as

$$(3.53) \quad \begin{aligned} B_p^2 &= D_p^2 + R_p, \\ R_p &\in \Lambda^{\geq 1}(T_{\mathbb{R}}^* B) \otimes \text{Op}_X^{\leq 1}(\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^* X) \otimes \xi) \otimes \mathbb{C}[p] \otimes \mathcal{T}, \end{aligned}$$

and thus to obtain the analogues of (2.27) and (2.40), we also have to use the fact that Toeplitz operators are uniformly bounded for the operator norm (see (3.11)). $\square$

Corollary 3.9. *For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $C(u) > 0$ a rational fraction in $\sqrt{u}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $u > 0$ and any $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$,*

$$(3.54) \quad \left\| \psi_{1/\sqrt{p}} \tilde{G}_{\frac{u}{p}} (B_{p,u/p}^2) (\cdot, \cdot) \right\|_{\mathcal{C}^m} \leq C(u) p^N \exp \left(-\frac{\varepsilon^2 p}{16u} \right).$$

3.5. Convergence of the heat kernel. Here, we get the analogue of the results of Sections 2.2 and 2.3, and we prove Theorem 0.10. By comparison to Section 2, the difficulty is twofold. Firstly, as above in Section 3.4, we have to take into account the fact that the dimension of F_p grows to infinity, which is done thanks to Toeplitz operators. Secondly, if we can prove the convergence of the heat kernel of the rescaled operator to the heat kernel of some asymptotic operators in the vein Section 2.3, we can no longer compute the “limiting” heat kernel explicitly. However, using the results of Section 3.3, we can give the asymptotic of this heat kernel, which will enable us to conclude.

Fix $u > 0$, $b_0 \in B$ and $x_0 \in X_{b_0}$. We use the same notations and trivializations that in Section 2.2, changing therein L^p by F_p , and thus $p\Gamma^L$ by Γ^{F_p} . We get a connexion

$$(3.55) \quad \nabla^{\mathbb{E}_{p,x_0}} = \nabla + \rho(|Z|/\varepsilon) (\Gamma^{F_p} + \Gamma_1),$$

on the trivial bundle

$$(3.56) \quad \mathbb{E}_{p,x_0} = \Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R},b_0}^* B) \otimes (\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^* X) \otimes \xi \otimes F_p)_{x_0}$$

over $T_{x_0} X$, as well as a Laplacian $\Delta^{\mathbb{E}_{p,x_0}}$.

Recall that $\{f_\alpha\}$ denotes a frame of $T_{\mathbb{R}} B$, with dual frame $\{f^\alpha\}$. Let $\tilde{e}_i(Z)$ be the parallel transport of e_i with respect to $\nabla^{T_{\mathbb{R}} X_0, LC}$ along the curve $t \in [0, 1] \mapsto tZ$. Then $\{\tilde{e}_i\}_i$ is an orthonormal frame of $T_{\mathbb{R}} X_0$.

Set

$$(3.57) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi &= \frac{K^X}{8} + \frac{1}{4} c(\tilde{e}_i) c(\tilde{e}_j) L'^\xi(\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{e}_j) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} c(\tilde{e}_i) f^\alpha L'^\xi(\tilde{e}_i, f_\alpha) + \frac{f^\alpha f^\beta}{2} L'^\xi(f_\alpha, f_\beta) \\ &\quad - \left(\bar{\partial}^M \partial^M i\omega \right)^c - \frac{1}{16} \left\| (\bar{\partial}^X - \partial^X) i\omega^X \right\|_{\Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^* X)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.58) \quad M_{p,x_0} = \frac{1}{2} \Delta^{\mathbb{E}_{p,x_0}} + \rho(|Z|/\varepsilon) \Phi \\ + \rho(|Z|/\varepsilon) \left(\frac{1}{4} c(\tilde{e}_i) c(\tilde{e}_j) R^{F_p}(\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{e}_j) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} c(\tilde{e}_i) f^\alpha R^{F_p}(\tilde{e}_i, f_\alpha) + \frac{f^\alpha f^\beta}{2} R^{F_p}(f_\alpha, f_\beta) \right).$$

Then M_{p,x_0} is a second order elliptic differential operator acting on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X, \mathbb{E}_{p,x_0})$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{B}_{x_0}$ is the algebra:

$$(3.59) \quad \mathcal{B}_{x_0} = \text{Op}(T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X) \otimes \Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R},b_0}^*B) \otimes \text{End}(\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T_{x_0}^*X) \otimes \xi_{x_0}) \otimes \mathbb{C}(\sqrt{p}) \otimes \mathcal{T}_{x_0},$$

then Theorem 3.1, $\{(M_p)_Z\}_{p \geq 1}$ is in $\mathcal{B}_{x_0}$. Finally, near 0, $\nabla^{\mathbb{E}_{p,x_0}} = \nabla^p$ and $M_{p,x_0} = B_p^2$.

Remark 3.10. Working on $\mathbb{E}_{p,x_0}$ amount to replace the fibration $Z \xrightarrow{Y} X$ by the trivial fibration $T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X \times Y \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X$. However, as pointed out earlier, we cannot substitute $\mathbb{E}_{p,x_0}$ here by some fixed $\mathbb{E}_{x_0}$ as in Section 2.2.

Let $\exp(-B_p^2)(Z, Z')$ and $\exp(-M_{p,x_0})(Z, Z')$ be the smooth heat kernel of B_p^2 and M_{p,x_0} with respect to $dv_{X_0}(Z')$.

Lemma 3.11. *For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $C > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$,*

$$(3.60) \quad \left\| \exp\left(-\frac{u}{p} B_p^2\right)(x_0, x_0) - \exp\left(-\frac{u}{p} M_{p,x_0}\right)(0, 0) \right\|_{\mathcal{C}^m(M)} \leq C p^N \exp\left(-\frac{\varepsilon^2 p}{16u}\right),$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}^m(M)}$ denotes the $\mathcal{C}^m$ -norm in the parameters $b_0 \in B$ and $x_0 \in X$ induced by $\nabla^{\text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)}$ and the operator norm $h^{\text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)}$.

Proof. As explain in the proof of Lemma 2.7, we can prove Lemma 3.11 by proving analogs of Lemma 3.6 and Porposition 3.8 for M_{p,x_0} , and using the finite propagation speed of the wave equation. $\square$

In the sequel, if $U \in T_{\mathbb{R}}M$, we denote by U^H its lift to $T_{M,\mathbb{R}}^H N$. Moreover, the basis $\{f_\alpha\}$ of $T_{\mathbb{R}}B$ has already been identified with a basis of $T_{\mathbb{R}}^H M$, and **when we write f_α^H we mean the lift in $T_{M,\mathbb{R}}^H N$ of f_α viewed as an element of $T_{\mathbb{R}}^H M$** (which is not necessarily the same as the lift of $f_\alpha \in T_{\mathbb{R}}B$ in $T_{B,\mathbb{R}}^H N$). If e_{a_1}, e_{a_2} are some vectors among the e_i and the f_α we set

$$(3.61) \quad R_{a_1, a_2}^L = R^L(e_{a_1}^H, e_{a_2}^H).$$

To simplify the notations, we also write c^i for $c(e_i^H)$.

Similarly to what is done in (2.56), we define for $t = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}$, $s \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(T_{x_0}X, \mathbb{E}_{p,x_0})$ and $Z \in T_{x_0}X$:

$$(3.62) \quad (S_t s)(Z) = s(Z/t), \\ \nabla_t = t S_t^{-1} \kappa^{1/2} \nabla^{\mathbb{E}_{p,x_0}} \kappa^{-1/2} S_t, \\ \mathcal{L}_t = t^2 S_t^{-1} \kappa^{1/2} M_{p,x_0} \kappa^{-1/2} S_t,$$

Recall that $[\cdot, \cdot]_+$ is our notation for the anti-commutator. We define for $U \in T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X$:

$$(3.63) \quad \underline{\nabla}_{t,U} = \nabla_U + T_{\frac{1}{2}R^L(Z^H, U^H), p}(x_0), \\ \underline{\mathcal{L}}_t = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_i \left\{ \nabla_{e_i}^2 + [\nabla_{e_i}, T_{\frac{1}{2}R^L(Z^H, e_i^H), p}(x_0)]_+ + T_{(\frac{1}{2}R^L(Z^H, e_i^H))^2, p}(x_0) \right\} \\ + T_{\frac{1}{4}c^i c^j R_{i,j}^L + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}c^i f^\alpha R_{i,\alpha}^L + \frac{f^\alpha f^\beta}{2} R_{\alpha,\beta}^L, p}(x_0).$$

Proposition 3.12. *When $t \rightarrow 0$, we have the following asymptotic in $\mathcal{B}_{x_0}$*

$$(3.64) \quad \nabla_{t,e_i} = \underline{\nabla}_{t,e_i} + O(t) \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_t = \underline{\mathcal{L}}_t + O(t).$$

Proof. First, by Theorem 3.1, if f is a smooth section of $\mathcal{A}$ over a compact subset of M , there is a $C > 0$ such that

$$(3.65) \quad \|\nabla^{F_p} T_{f,p}\|_\infty \leq C.$$

By (3.55) and (3.62), we have

$$(3.66) \quad \nabla_{t,e_i}(Z) = \kappa^{1/2}(tZ) \left\{ \nabla_{e_i} + \rho(t|Z|/\varepsilon) \left(t\Gamma_{tZ}^{F_p}(e_i) + t\Gamma_{1,tZ}(e_i) \right) \right\} \kappa^{-1/2}(tZ).$$

Moreover, observe that in (2.59), the term $O(|Z|^2)$ is given by the norm of the derivatives of the curvature, thus, by (2.59) and (3.65) and Theorem 3.1, we know that

$$(3.67) \quad t\Gamma_{tZ}^{F_p}(U) = \frac{t^2}{2} R_{x_0}^{F_p}(Z, U) + O(t^3) = T_{\frac{1}{2}R_{x_0}^L(Z^H, U^H), p}(x_0) + O(t^2).$$

Hence, by (2.60) and (3.66), and the fact that $\rho(0) = \kappa(0) = 1$, we find the first asymptotic development of Proposition 3.12.

As in (2.61) and (2.62), we have

$$(3.68) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_t = & -g^{ij}(tZ) \left(\nabla_{t,e_i} \nabla_{t,e_j} - t \nabla_{t, \nabla_{e_i}^{T_{X_0}} e_j} \right) \\ & + t^2 \rho(t|Z|/\varepsilon) \left\{ \kappa^{1/2} \left(\Phi + \frac{1}{4} c(\tilde{e}_i) c(\tilde{e}_j) R^{F_p}(\tilde{e}_i, \tilde{e}_j) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} c(\tilde{e}_i) f^\alpha R^{F_p}(\tilde{e}_i, f_\alpha) + \frac{f^\alpha f^\beta}{2} R^{F_p}(f_\alpha, f_\beta) \right) \kappa^{-1/2} \right\}_{tZ}. \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 3.1, the first development in (3.64) and (3.68), we find

$$(3.69) \quad \mathcal{L}_t = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_i (\underline{\nabla}_{t,e_i})^2 + T_{\frac{1}{4}c^i c^j R_{i,j}^L + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}c^i f^\alpha R_{i,\alpha}^L + \frac{f^\alpha f^\beta}{2} R_{\alpha,\beta}^L, p}(x_0) + O(t).$$

Using the first equation of (3.15) and (3.69), we get the second identity of (3.64). The proof of Proposition 3.12 is completed. $\square$

The next step is to prove an analogue of Theorem 2.20.

Let $e^{-\mathcal{L}_t}(Z, Z')$, $e^{-\underline{\mathcal{L}}_t}(Z, Z')$ be the smooth kernels of the operators $e^{-\mathcal{L}_t}$, $e^{-\underline{\mathcal{L}}_t}$ with respect to $dv_{TX}(Z')$. Let pr_X be the projection from the fiberwise product $T_{\mathbb{R}}X \times_X T_{\mathbb{R}}X$ to X , then these kernels are sections of $\text{pr}_X^*(\text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p))$ over $T_{\mathbb{R}}X \times_X T_{\mathbb{R}}X$.

Theorem 3.13. *For $u > 0$ fixed, there exists $C > 0$ such that for $t > 0$ and $Z, Z' \in T_{\mathbb{R}, x_0}X$ with $|Z|, |Z'| \leq 1$, we have the following estimates from the operator norm:*

$$(3.70) \quad \left\| (e^{-u\mathcal{L}_t} - e^{-u\underline{\mathcal{L}}_t})(Z, Z') \right\| \leq Ct^{1/(2n_X+1)}.$$

The proof of Theorem 3.13 follows the same strategy as the proof of Theorem 2.20 in Section 2.3. Here again, the difficulties coming from the fact that the dimension on F_p tend to infinity are dealt with the properties of Toeplitz operators.

Recall that we add a superscript (0) to the objects introduced above to denote their part of degree 0 in $\Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}, b_0}^* B)$.

Let $\|\cdot\|_{t,0}$ be the L^2 norm on $\mathcal{C}^\infty(T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X, \mathbb{E}_{p,x_0})$ induced by $h_{x_0}^{\Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^*B)}$, $h_{x_0}^{\Lambda^{0,\bullet}}$, $h_{x_0}^\xi$, $h_{x_0}^{F_p}$ and the volume form $dv_{TX}(Z)$. For $s \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X_0, \mathbb{E}_{p,x_0})$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, set

$$(3.71) \quad \begin{aligned} \|s\|_{t,m}^2 &= \sum_{\ell \leq m} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_\ell} \|\nabla_{t,e_{i_1}}^{(0)} \cdots \nabla_{t,e_{i_\ell}}^{(0)} s\|_{t,0}^2, \\ \|s\|_{\underline{t},m}^2 &= \sum_{\ell \leq m} \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_\ell} \|\underline{\nabla}_{t,e_{i_1}} \cdots \underline{\nabla}_{t,e_{i_\ell}} s\|_{t,0}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $\mathbf{H}_t^m$ the Sobolev space $\mathbf{H}^m(X_0, \mathbb{E}_{p,x_0})$ endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{t,m}$, and by $\mathbf{H}_t^{-1}$ the Sobolev space of order -1 endowed with the norm

$$(3.72) \quad \|s\|_{t,-1} = \sup_{s' \in \mathbf{H}_p^1 \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\langle s, s' \rangle_{p,0}}{\|s'\|_{t,1}}.$$

Finally, if $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{H}_t^k, \mathbf{H}_t^m)$, we denote by $\|A\|_t^{k,m}$ the operator norm of A associated with $\|\cdot\|_{t,k}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{t,m}$.

Let

$$(3.73) \quad \mathcal{R}_t = \mathcal{L}_t - \mathcal{L}_t^{(0)}.$$

Proposition 3.14. *There exist constants $C_1, C_2, C_3 > 0$ such that for any $t > 0$ and any $s, s' \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X_0, \mathbb{E}_{p,x_0})$,*

$$(3.74) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{L}_t^{(0)} s, s \rangle_{t,0} &\geq C_1 \|s\|_{t,1}^2 - C_2 \|s\|_{t,0}^2, \\ \left| \langle \mathcal{L}_t^{(0)} s, s' \rangle_{t,0} \right| &\leq C_3 \|s\|_{t,1} \|s'\|_{t,1}, \\ \|\mathcal{R}_t s\|_{t,0} &\leq C_4 \|s\|_{t,1}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By (3.68), we have

$$(3.75) \quad \langle \mathcal{L}_t^{(0)} s, s \rangle_{t,0} = \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla_t^{(0)} s\|_{t,0}^2 + \left\langle T_{\frac{1}{4}c^i c^j R_{i,j}^L(x_0), p} s, s \right\rangle_{t,0} + O(t) \|s\|_{t,0}^2.$$

Together with (3.11), this gives the first two estimates of (3.74).

By (2.60), (3.66) and (3.68), we see that (2.69) and (2.70) are still true, hence the last estimate of (3.74) holds. $\square$

We define a contour Γ in $\mathbb{C}$ as in Figure 2 in Section 2.3, but using the C_2 of Theorem 3.14.

Proposition 3.15. *There exist $C > 0$, $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $t > 0$ and any $\lambda \in \Gamma$, the resolvent $(\lambda - \mathcal{L}_t)^{-1}$ exists and*

$$(3.76) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| (\lambda - \mathcal{L}_t)^{-1} \right\|_t^{0,0} &\leq C(1 + |\lambda|^2)^a, \\ \left\| (\lambda - \mathcal{L}_t)^{-1} \right\|_t^{-1,1} &\leq C(1 + |\lambda|^2)^b. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Proposition 3.15 follows from Proposition 3.14 exactly as Proposition 2.15 follows from Proposition 2.14. $\square$

Proposition 3.16. *Take $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Then there exists a constant $C_m > 0$ such that for any $t > 0$,*

$$Q_1, \dots, Q_m \in \left\{ \nabla_{t,e_i}^{(0)}, Z_i \right\}_{i=1}^{2n_X} \text{ and } s, s' \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X, \mathbb{E}_{p,x_0}),$$

$$(3.77) \quad \left| \left\langle [Q_1, [Q_2, \dots [Q_m, \mathcal{L}_t] \dots]] s, s' \right\rangle_{t,0} \right| \leq C_m \|s\|_{t,1} \|s'\|_{t,1}.$$

Proof. This Proposition is proved in the same way as Proposition 2.16. $\square$

From Proposition 3.16, we can deduce the following result as done for Proposition 2.17.

Proposition 3.17. *For any $t > 0$, $\lambda \in \Gamma$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$(3.78) \quad (\lambda - \mathcal{L}_t)^{-1}(\mathbf{H}_t^m) \subset \mathbf{H}_t^{m+1}.$$

Moreover, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2n_X}$, there exist $K \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C_{\alpha,m} > 0$ such that for any $t > 0$, $\lambda \in \Gamma$ and $s \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X, \mathbb{E}_{p,x_0})$,

$$(3.79) \quad \|Z^\alpha(\lambda - \mathcal{L}_t)^{-1}s\|_{t,m+1} \leq C_{\alpha,m}(1 + |\lambda|^2)^K \sum_{\alpha' \leq \alpha} \|Z^{\alpha'}s\|_{t,m}.$$

Let $e^{-\mathcal{L}_t}(Z, Z')$ be the smooth kernel of the operator $e^{-\mathcal{L}_t}$ with respect to $dv_{TX}(Z')$. Let $\text{pr}_X: T_{\mathbb{R}}X \times_X T_{\mathbb{R}}X \rightarrow X$ be the projection from the fiberwise product $T_{\mathbb{R}}X \times_M T_{\mathbb{R}}X$ to M , then $e^{-\mathcal{L}_t}(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a section of $\text{pr}_X^*(\text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p))$ over $T_{\mathbb{R}}X \times_M T_{\mathbb{R}}X$. Let $\nabla^{\text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)}$ be the connection on the bundle $\text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)$ over M induced by $\nabla^{\Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R}}^*B)}$, $\nabla^{\Lambda^{0,\bullet}, LC}$, ∇^ξ and ∇^{F_p} , and let $\nabla^{\text{pr}_X^*\text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)}$ be the induced connection on $\text{pr}_X^*\text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)$. Then $\nabla^{\text{pr}_X^*\text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)}$ and the operator norm on $\text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)$ induce naturally a $\mathcal{C}^m$ -norm for the parameters $b_0 \in B$ and $x_0 \in X_{b_0}$.

Theorem 3.18. *For any $m, m' \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $C > 0$ such that for any $t > 0$, $Z, Z' \in T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X$ with $|Z|, |Z'| \leq 1$,*

$$(3.80) \quad \sup_{|\alpha|, |\alpha'| \leq m} \left\| \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+|\alpha'|}}{\partial Z^\alpha \partial Z'^{\alpha'}} e^{-\mathcal{L}_t}(Z, Z') \right\|_{\mathcal{C}^{m'}(M, \text{pr}_X^*\text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p))} \leq C,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}^{m'}(M, \text{pr}_X^*\text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p))}$ denotes the $\mathcal{C}^{m'}$ norm with respect to the parameters b_0 in a compact subset of B and $x_0 \in X_{b_0}$.

Proof. For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$, let

$$(3.81) \quad \mathcal{Q}^m = \left\{ \nabla_{t, e_{i_1}}^{(0)} \cdots \nabla_{t, e_{i_j}}^{(0)} \right\}_{j \leq m}.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 2.18 (see [28, (1.6.48)-(1.6.52)]), it follows from Proposition 3.17 that there exists $C_m > 0$ such that for $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $Q, Q' \in \mathcal{Q}^m$,

$$(3.82) \quad \|Qe^{-\mathcal{L}_t}Q'\|_t^{0,0} \leq C_m.$$

Here, we *a priori* cannot conclude with a Sobolev inequality for a fixed Sobolev norm as in the proof of Theorem 2.18, because the space is changing. However, we will show a uniformity result in the Sobolev inequality for the “standard” Sobolev norm.

Lemma 3.19. *For every $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we endow $M_d(\mathbb{C})$ (the space of $d \times d$ matrices with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}$) with the operator norm $\|\cdot\|$. This induces a Sobolev norm on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N, M_d(\mathbb{C}))$. We denote the corresponding Sobolev space by $H^k(\mathbb{R}^N, M_d(\mathbb{C}))$.*

Then for every $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k - \ell > N/2$, there exists $C_{k,\ell,N} > 0$ such that for every $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\varphi \in H^k(\mathbb{R}^N, M_d(\mathbb{C}))$,

$$(3.83) \quad \varphi \text{ is } \mathcal{C}^\ell \text{ and } \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell} \leq C_{k,\ell,N} \|\varphi\|_k,$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell}$ denotes the $\mathcal{C}^\ell$ -norm on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N, M_d(\mathbb{C}))$.

Proof. Suppose first that $\ell = 0$. For $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N, M_d(\mathbb{C}))$, we denote by $\widehat{\varphi}$ the Fourier transform of φ . By the Fourier inversion formula, to show that φ is continuous, it suffices to prove that $\widehat{\varphi}(\xi)$ is in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N, M_d(\mathbb{C}))$.

Set $\langle \xi \rangle = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{1/2}$. Then $\varphi \in H^k(\mathbb{R}^N, M_d(\mathbb{C}))$ if and only if $\langle \xi \rangle^k \widehat{\varphi} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N, M_d(\mathbb{C}))$. Moreover, there exists $c_{k,N} > 0$ independent of d such that for $\varphi \in H^k(\mathbb{R}^N, M_d(\mathbb{C}))$,

$$(3.84) \quad \frac{1}{c_{k,N}} \|\langle \xi \rangle^k \widehat{\varphi}\|_{L^2} \leq \|\varphi\|_k \leq c_{k,N} \|\langle \xi \rangle^k \widehat{\varphi}\|_{L^2}.$$

Now, we use Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:

$$(3.85) \quad \begin{aligned} \int \|\widehat{\varphi}(\xi)\| d\xi &\leq \int \|\langle \xi \rangle^k \widehat{\varphi}(\xi)\| \times \|\langle \xi \rangle^{-k} \text{Id}\| d\xi \\ &\leq \|\langle \xi \rangle^k \widehat{\varphi}\|_{L^2} \int \langle \xi \rangle^{-2k} d\xi \leq C_{k,0,N} \|\varphi\|_k. \end{aligned}$$

The case $\ell \geq 1$ follows from the case $\ell = 0$ applied to the derivatives of φ . $\square$

We can now finish the proof of Theorem 3.18, applying Lemma 3.19 to our situation. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, as $e^{-\mathcal{L}_t}(\cdot, \cdot) \in \mathcal{C}^\infty((T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X)^2, \text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p))$, there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and a constant $C > 0$ independent on p such that for $|\alpha|, |\alpha'| \leq m$ and $|Z|, |Z'| \leq 1$,

$$(3.86) \quad \left\| \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+|\alpha'|}}{\partial Z^\alpha \partial Z'^{\alpha'}} e^{-\mathcal{L}_t}(Z, Z') \right\| \leq C \|e^{-\mathcal{L}_t}(\cdot, \cdot)\|_{B(0,1)^2} \|_k.$$

Now, by (3.66) and (3.71), for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $C'_m > 0$ independent on t such that for $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty((T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X)^2, \text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p))$ with support in $B^{T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}}(0,1)^2$,

$$(3.87) \quad \frac{1}{C'_m} \|\varphi\|_{t,m} \leq \|\varphi\|_m \leq C'_m \|\varphi\|_{t,m}.$$

With (3.82), (3.86) and (3.87), we see that (2.79) holds when $m' = 0$.

For $m' \geq 1$, we use the same arguments as in Theorem 2.18 (see [28, (1.6.55)]). $\square$

Theorem 3.20. *There are constants $C > 0$ and $M \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that for $t > 0$,*

$$(3.88) \quad \|((\lambda - \mathcal{L}_t)^{-1} - (\lambda - \underline{\mathcal{L}}_t)^{-1})s\|_{t,0} \leq Ct(1 + |\lambda|^2)^M \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 3} \|Z^\alpha s\|_{t,0}.$$

Proof. From (3.66) and (3.71), for $p \geq 1$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we find

$$(3.89) \quad \|s\|_{t,m} \leq C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|Z^\alpha s\|_{\underline{t},m}.$$

Moreover, for s, s' with compact support, using Theorem 3.1 and a Taylor expansion of (3.68), we find

$$(3.90) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \langle (\mathcal{L}_t - \underline{\mathcal{L}}_t)s, s' \rangle_{t,0} \right| &\leq Ct \|s'\|_{t,1} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 3} \|Z^\alpha s\|_{\underline{t},1}, \\ \|(\mathcal{L}_t - \underline{\mathcal{L}}_t)s\|_{t,-1} &\leq C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 3} \|Z^\alpha s\|_{\underline{t},1}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$(3.91) \quad (\lambda - \mathcal{L}_t)^{-1} - (\lambda - \underline{\mathcal{L}}_t)^{-1} = (\lambda - \mathcal{L}_t)^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_t - \underline{\mathcal{L}}_t)(\lambda - \underline{\mathcal{L}}_t)^{-1}.$$

Moreover, Propositions 3.15, 3.16 and 3.17 still hold for the operator $\underline{\mathcal{L}}_t$, the norms $\|\cdot\|_{\underline{t},m}$ and the family of test operators for commutators $\{\nabla_{t,e_i}, Z_i\}_{i=1}^{2n_X}$. Thus, Proposition 3.17, (3.90) and (3.91) yields to (3.88). $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3.13. By Theorems 3.18 and 3.20, we can prove Theorem 3.13 exactly as Theorem 2.20. $\square$

Define

$$(3.92) \quad \underline{\mathcal{L}}_{t,u} = u\psi_{1/\sqrt{u}}\underline{\mathcal{L}}_t\psi_{\sqrt{u}}.$$

Whereas in Section 2.3 we could use a closed formula for the heat kernel of $\mathcal{L}_{0,u}$ to derive Theorem 2.10 from Theorem 2.20, here we cannot compute $e^{-\underline{\mathcal{L}}_{t,u}}(0,0)$ exactly to get the asymptotic of $\psi_{1/\sqrt{p}}\exp(-B_{p,u/p}^2)(x_0, x_0)$. The difficulty is that here, the harmonic oscillator $\underline{\mathcal{L}}_t$ has its coefficients in the non-commutative algebra $\mathcal{T}_{x_0}$. However, by (3.15), the coefficients of $\underline{\mathcal{L}}_t$ tends to commute increasingly, so we can expect to have at least a equivalent of $e^{-\underline{\mathcal{L}}_{t,u}}(0,0)$.

For $y \in Y_{x_0}$, we define the operator $\mathcal{H}_{x_0}(y)$ acting on the space

$$(3.93) \quad \mathcal{C}^\infty\left(T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X, \Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R},b_0}^*B) \otimes (\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^*X) \otimes \xi)_{x_0}\right)$$

by

$$(3.94) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{x_0}(y) = & -\frac{1}{2} \sum_i \left(\nabla_{e_i} + \frac{1}{2} R_{(x_0,y)}^L(Z^H, e_i^H) \right)^2 \\ & + \frac{1}{4} c^i c^j R_{i,j}^L(x_0, y) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} c^i f^\alpha R_{i,\alpha}^L(x_0, y) + \frac{f^\alpha f^\beta}{2} R_{\alpha,\beta}^L(x_0, y). \end{aligned}$$

Set also

$$(3.95) \quad \mathcal{H}_{x_0,u}(y) = u\psi_{1/\sqrt{u}}\mathcal{H}_{x_0}(y)\psi_{\sqrt{u}}.$$

Then $y \mapsto \mathcal{H}_{x_0}(y)$ is a smooth function from Y_{x_0} to the space of differential operators acting on the space given in (3.93). As a consequence, the family $\{P_{p,x_0}\mathcal{H}_{x_0}(y)P_{p,x_0}\}_p$ is a family of differential operators that belongs to the algebra $\mathcal{B}_{x_0}$. Now, as ∇_{e_i} and P_{p,x_0} commute, it is easy to see that for any $p \in \mathbb{N}^*$,

$$(3.96) \quad \underline{\mathcal{L}}_t = P_{p,x_0}\mathcal{H}_{x_0}(\cdot)P_{p,x_0}.$$

We denote by $e^{-\underline{\mathcal{L}}_t}(Z, Z')$ and $e^{-\mathcal{H}_{x_0}(y)}(Z, Z')$ the smooth kernels of the operators $e^{-\underline{\mathcal{L}}_t}$ and $e^{-\mathcal{H}_{x_0}(y)}$ with respect to $dv_{TX}(Z')$. Then for $Z, Z' \in T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X$,

$$(3.97) \quad \left\{ y \mapsto e^{-\mathcal{H}_{x_0}(y)}(Z, Z') \right\} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty\left(Y_{x_0}, \Lambda^\bullet(T_{b_0}^*B) \otimes \text{End}(\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T_{x_0}^*X) \otimes \xi_{x_0})\right).$$

Theorem 3.21. *For $u > 0$ fixed and for all $Z, Z' \in T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X$ we have as $t \rightarrow 0$*

$$(3.98) \quad e^{-u\underline{\mathcal{L}}_t}(Z, Z') = T_{e^{-u\mathcal{H}_{x_0}(\cdot)}(Z, Z'), p} + o(1),$$

where $o(1)$ denotes a term converging to 0 for the operator norm.

Proof. For $\lambda \in \Gamma$ (see Figure 2), both $\lambda - P_{p,x_0}\mathcal{H}_{x_0}(y)P_{p,x_0}$ and $\lambda - \mathcal{H}_{x_0}(y)$ are invertible, so we can use a contour integral to get

$$(3.99) \quad e^{-uP_{p,x_0}\mathcal{H}_{x_0}(y)P_{p,x_0}} - P_{p,x_0}e^{-u\mathcal{H}_{x_0}(\cdot)}P_{p,x_0} = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{\Gamma} e^{-u\lambda} [(\lambda - P_{p,x_0}\mathcal{H}_{x_0}P_{p,x_0})^{-1} - P_{p,x_0}(\lambda - \mathcal{H}_{x_0})^{-1}P_{p,x_0}] d\lambda.$$

Moreover, setting $P_{p,x_0}^\perp = 1 - P_{p,x_0}$, we have

$$(3.100) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\lambda - P_{p,x_0}\mathcal{H}_{x_0}P_{p,x_0})^{-1} - P_{p,x_0}(\lambda - \mathcal{H}_{x_0})^{-1}P_{p,x_0} \\ &= (\lambda - P_{p,x_0}\mathcal{H}_{x_0}P_{p,x_0})^{-1}(P_{p,x_0}\mathcal{H}_{x_0}P_{p,x_0} - \mathcal{H}_{x_0})P_{p,x_0}(\lambda - \mathcal{H}_{x_0})^{-1}P_{p,x_0} \\ &= (\lambda - P_{p,x_0}\mathcal{H}_{x_0}P_{p,x_0})^{-1}P_{p,x_0}\mathcal{H}_{x_0}P_{p,x_0}^\perp(\lambda - \mathcal{H}_{x_0})^{-1}P_{p,x_0}. \end{aligned}$$

By Propositions 3.15 for $\underline{\mathcal{L}}_t$, there are constants $C > 0$ and $a \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $\lambda \in \Gamma$,

$$(3.101) \quad \|(\lambda - P_{p,x_0}\mathcal{H}_{x_0}P_{p,x_0})^{-1}\|_t^{0,0} \leq C(1 + |\lambda|^2)^a.$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X \times Y_{x_0}, \mathbb{C})$. Note that $y \mapsto (\lambda - \mathcal{H}_{x_0}(y))^{-1}$ is a smooth function on Y_{x_0} with values in the algebra of bounded operator acting on the Hilbert space

$$(3.102) \quad L^2(T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X, \Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R},b_0}^*B) \otimes (\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^*X) \otimes \xi)_{x_0}).$$

Thus, we can apply Theorem 3.5 to

$$(3.103) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= L^2(T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X, \Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R},b_0}^*B) \otimes (\Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^*X) \otimes \xi)_{x_0}), \\ A(y) &= f(\cdot, y), \quad B(y) = (\lambda - \mathcal{H}_{x_0}(y))^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We then get

$$(3.104) \quad P_{p,x_0} f P_{p,x_0} (\lambda - \mathcal{H}_{x_0})^{-1} P_{p,x_0} - P_{p,x_0} f (\lambda - \mathcal{H}_{x_0})^{-1} P_{p,x_0} = O(p^{-1}).$$

Here, the term $O(p^{-1})$ depends of course on λ . To get the expansion (3.33), we used the Taylor expansion of A . Thus, in (3.33), we can bound the error term $O(p^{-\frac{k+1}{2}})$ using the derivatives of A of order less than $k+1$. Applying this argument to $(\lambda - \mathcal{H}_{x_0})^{-1}$ and using Proposition 3.15, we find that there exists $M \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that

$$(3.105) \quad \|P_{p,x_0} f P_{p,x_0} (\lambda - \mathcal{H}_{x_0})^{-1} P_{p,x_0} - P_{p,x_0} f (\lambda - \mathcal{H}_{x_0})^{-1} P_{p,x_0}\|_t^{0,0} \leq Cp^{-1}(1 + |\lambda|^2)^M.$$

Hence, as ∇_{e_i} commutes with P_{p,x_0} , using (3.94) we find

$$(3.106) \quad \|P_{p,x_0} \mathcal{H}_{x_0} P_{p,x_0}^\perp (\lambda - \mathcal{H}_{x_0})^{-1} P_{p,x_0}\|_t^{0,0} \leq Cp^{-1}(1 + |\lambda|^2)^M.$$

With (3.99), (3.100), (3.101) and (3.106) we infer that

$$(3.107) \quad \|e^{-P_{p,x_0} \mathcal{H}_{x_0}(y) P_{p,x_0}} - P_{p,x_0} e^{-\mathcal{H}_{x_0}(\cdot)} P_{p,x_0}\|_t^{0,0} \leq Cp^{-1}.$$

Note that $P_{p,x_0} e^{-\mathcal{H}_{x_0}(\cdot)} P_{p,x_0}$ satisfies a estimate analogous to (3.80). Indeed, we have

$$(3.108) \quad P_{p,x_0} e^{-\mathcal{H}_{x_0}(\cdot)} P_{p,x_0}(Z, Z') = P_{p,x_0} e^{-\mathcal{H}_{x_0}(\cdot)}(Z, Z') P_{p,x_0},$$

and we can apply (2.79) to $\mathcal{H}_{x_0}(y)$ (which correspond for y fixed to $\mathcal{L}_0$ in Section 2.2) and (3.11) to conclude. Thus, by (3.80) applied to $e^{-P_{p,x_0} \mathcal{H}_{x_0}(y) P_{p,x_0}}$ and $P_{p,x_0} e^{-\mathcal{H}_{x_0}(\cdot)} P_{p,x_0}$, and by (3.107), we can apply the method of Theorem 2.20 to complete the proof of Theorem 3.21. $\square$

Using the analogue of Lemma 3.11, Theorems 3.13 and 3.21, and (2.85) we get that

$$(3.109) \quad \psi_{1/\sqrt{p}} e^{-B_{p,u/p}^2}(x_0, x_0) = p^{n_X} T_{e^{-\mathcal{H}_{x_0,u}(\cdot)}(0,0),p} + o(p^{n_X})$$

for the operator norm and the operator norm of the derivatives.

Recall that $\dot{R}^{X,L}$ is define in (3.3), that $\{w_j\}$ is an orthonormal frame of (TX, h^{TX}) , with dual frame $\{w^j\}$ and that $\{f_\alpha\}$ is a frame of $T_{\mathbb{R}}^H B \simeq T_{B,\mathbb{R}}^H M$ with dual basis $\{f^\alpha\}$. Define

$$(3.110) \quad \Omega_u = u R^L(w_k^H, \overline{w}_\ell^H) \overline{w}^\ell \wedge i \overline{w}_k + \sqrt{\frac{u}{2}} c(e_i) f^\alpha R^L(e_i^H, f_\alpha^H) + \frac{f^\alpha f^\beta}{2} R^L(f_\alpha^H, f_\beta^H).$$

By comparing the definitions of $\mathcal{H}_{x_0,u}$ in (3.94) and (3.95) and of $\mathcal{L}_{0,u}$ in (2.56), and using (2.88), we find that

$$(3.111) \quad T_{e^{-\mathcal{H}_{x_0,u}(\cdot)}(0,0),p} = (2\pi)^{-n_X} P_{p,x_0} \exp(-\Omega_{u,(x_0,\cdot)}) \frac{\det(\dot{R}_{(x_0,\cdot)}^{X,L})}{\det(1 - \exp(-u \dot{R}_{(x_0,\cdot)}^{X,L}))} \otimes \text{Id}_\xi P_{p,x_0},$$

Finally, we have proved that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, as $p \rightarrow +\infty$, uniformly as u varies in a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}_+^*$, we have the following asymptotic for for the operator norm on $\text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)$ and the

operator norm of the derivatives up to order k :

$$(3.112) \quad \psi_{1/\sqrt{p}} \exp(-B_{p,u/p}^2)(x_0, x_0) \\ = \frac{p^{n_X}}{(2\pi)^{n_X}} P_{p,x_0} e^{-\Omega_{u,(x_0,\cdot)}} \frac{\det(\dot{R}_{(x_0,\cdot)}^{X,L})}{\det(1 - \exp(-u\dot{R}_{(x_0,\cdot)}^{X,L}))} \otimes \text{Id}_{\xi_{x_0}} P_{p,x_0} + o(p^{n_X}).$$

Theorem 0.10 is proved.

3.6. Asymptotic of the torsion forms. The method is the same as in Section 2.4. Let $b_0 \in B$, we denote X_{b_0} and Z_{b_0} simply by X and Z . Recall that $n_M = \dim M$.

Let $\Lambda \in \mathcal{C}^\infty\left(Z, \pi_1^* \text{End}(\Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R},b_0}^* B) \otimes \Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^* X))\right)$ be defined by

$$(3.113) \quad \Lambda_u(z) = e^{-\mathcal{H}_u(z)}(0, 0) = (2\pi)^{-n_X} \exp(-\Omega_{u,z}) \frac{\det(\dot{R}_z^{X,L})}{\det(\text{Id} - \exp(-u\dot{R}_z^{X,L}))},$$

and let $R_u \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(Z, \mathbb{C})$ be defined by

$$(3.114) \quad R_u(z) = \text{Tr}_s [N_u \Lambda_u(z)].$$

Let $A_j \in \mathcal{C}^\infty\left(Z, \pi_1^* \text{End}(\Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R},b_0}^* B) \otimes \Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^* X))\right)$ be such that as $u \rightarrow 0$

$$(3.115) \quad \Lambda_u(z) = \sum_{j=-n_M}^k A_j(z) u^j + O(u^{k+1}),$$

and here again we set $A_{-n_M-1} = 0$.

Theorem 3.22. *There exist $\{A_{p,j}\} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(X, \text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p))$ such that for any $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $C > 0$ such that for any $u \in]0, 1]$ and $p \geq 1$,*

$$(3.116) \quad \left\| p^{-n_X} \psi_{1/\sqrt{p}} \exp\left(-B_{p,u/p}^2\right)(x, x) - \sum_{j=-n_M}^k A_{p,j}(x) u^j \right\|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell(M)} \leq C u^{k+1}.$$

Moreover, as $p \rightarrow +\infty$, we have for any $j \geq -n_M$

$$(3.117) \quad A_{p,j}(x) = P_{p,x} A_j(x, \cdot) \otimes \text{Id}_{\xi_x} P_{p,x} + o(1),$$

for the operator norm on $\text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)$ and the operator norm of the derivatives up to order ℓ .

Theorem 3.22 will be proved in Section 3.7.

For $j \geq -n_M - 1$, set

$$(3.118) \quad \tilde{A}_j(z) = \text{Tr}_s [N_V A_j(z) + i\omega^H A_{j+1}(z)].$$

Then by (1.33), (3.114) and (3.115), we have

$$(3.119) \quad R_u(z) = \sum_{j=-n_M-1}^k \tilde{A}_j(z) u^j + O(u^{k+1}).$$

Set also

$$(3.120) \quad B_{p,j} = \int_Z \text{Tr}_s [N_V A_{p,j}(z) + i\omega^H A_{p,j+1}(z)] \frac{\Theta^{Y,n_Y}}{n_Y!} dv_X, \\ B_j = \int_Z \tilde{A}_j(z) \frac{\Theta^{Y,n_Y}}{n_Y!} dv_X.$$

Remark 3.23. The operator convergences in Theorems 0.10 and 3.22 implies the convergence of the corresponding supertraces divided by $p^{\dim Z}$. Indeed, it is classical that $\dim F_p \leq Cp^{\dim Y}$ for some constant C , and thus for $D \in \text{End}(\Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R},b}^*B) \otimes \Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^*X_b) \otimes F_p)$ and $f \in \text{End}(\Lambda^\bullet(T_{\mathbb{R},b}^*B) \otimes \Lambda^{0,\bullet}(T^*X_b))$, we know that

$$(3.121) \quad \|D - p^{\dim X} T_{f,p}\| = o(p^{\dim X}) \implies |p^{-\dim Z} \text{Tr}_s(D_1) - p^{-\dim Y} \text{Tr}_s(T_{f,p})| = o(1).$$

Thus, we can conclude using (3.12). In particular, we have the following result.

Recall that $n_Z = n_X + n_Y$.

Corollary 3.24. *For any $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $C > 0$ such that for any $u \in]0, 1]$ and $p \geq 1$,*

$$(3.122) \quad \left| p^{-n_Z} \psi_{1/\sqrt{p}} \text{Tr}_s \left[N_{u/p} \exp \left(-B_{p,u/p}^2 \right) \right] - \sum_{j=-n_M-1}^k B_{p,j} u^j \right|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell(M)} \leq C u^{k+1}.$$

Moreover, as $p \rightarrow +\infty$, we have for any $j \geq -d-1$

$$(3.123) \quad B_{p,j} = \text{rk}(\xi) \text{rk}(\eta) B_j + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{p}}\right).$$

Theorem 3.25. *There exists $C > 0$ such that for $u \geq 1$ and $p \geq 1$,*

$$(3.124) \quad \left| p^{-n_Z} \psi_{1/\sqrt{p}} \text{Tr}_s \left[N_{u/p} \exp \left(-B_{p,u/p}^2 \right) \right] \right|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell(B)} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{u}}.$$

Theorem 3.25 will be proved in Section 3.8.

Let p_0 be such that for all $p \geq p_0$, the direct images $R^\bullet \pi_{1*}(\eta \otimes L^p)$ is locally free, $R^i \pi_{1*}(\eta \otimes L^p) = 0$ for $i > 0$ and the direct images $R^\bullet \pi_{2*}(\xi \otimes F_p)$ and $R^\bullet \pi_{3*}(\pi_1^* \xi \otimes \eta \otimes L^p)$ are locally free.

As in Section 2.4, we define for $p \geq p_0$

$$(3.125) \quad \tilde{\zeta}_p(s) = -\frac{p^{-n_Z}}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{+\infty} u^{s-1} \psi_{1/\sqrt{p}} \Phi \left\{ \text{Tr}_s \left[N_{u/p} \exp(-B_{p,u/p}^2) \right] \right\} du.$$

Then if ζ_p denotes the zeta function (1.41) associated with $B_{p,u}$, we have

$$(3.126) \quad p^{-n_Z} \psi_{1/\sqrt{p}} \zeta_p'(0) = \log(p) B_{p,0} + \tilde{\zeta}_p'(0).$$

Let

$$(3.127) \quad \tilde{\zeta}(s) = -\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_Z R_u(z) dv_Z(z) u^{s-1} du.$$

As in Section 2.4, by (3.12) and Theorem 0.10, and by dominated convergence (justified by Corollary 3.24 and Theorem 3.25) we find that

$$(3.128) \quad \tilde{\zeta}_p'(0) \xrightarrow{p \rightarrow +\infty} \text{rk}(\xi) \text{rk}(\eta) \Phi \tilde{\zeta}'(0).$$

Let $T_B^{H'} N \subset T_M^H N$ be the space obtained by lifting in TN the subspace $T_B^H M$ of TM . In particular, $T_B^{H'} N$ is orthogonal to TY . Let $\{f'_\alpha\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $T_B^{H'} N$ with dual basis $\{f'^\alpha\}$. Set

$$(3.129) \quad \mathcal{F}^H = \exp \left(-f'^\alpha f'^\beta R^L(f'_\alpha, f'_\beta) \right).$$

Repeating the computations done in the proof of Theorem 2.24 which yield to (2.129) and (2.133), we find here again that

$$(3.130) \quad \tilde{A}_j = 0 \text{ for } j \leq -2, \\ R_u - \frac{\tilde{A}_{-1}}{u} - \tilde{A}_0 = \left\{ R_u^{\{*\}} - \frac{\tilde{A}_{-1}^{\{*\}}}{u} - \tilde{A}_0^{\{*\}} \right\} \mathcal{F}^H.$$

Thus, we have

$$(3.131) \quad \tilde{\zeta}'(0) = \frac{1}{2} \int_Z \det \left(\frac{\dot{R}^{X,L}}{2\pi} \right) \log \left[\det \left(\frac{\dot{R}^{X,L}}{2\pi} \right) \right] \mathcal{F}^H \frac{\Theta^{Y,n_Y}}{n_Y!} dv_X.$$

Moreover, by (3.129), we know that

$$(3.132) \quad \det \left(\frac{\dot{R}^{X,L}}{2\pi} \right) \frac{\Theta^{Y,n_Y}}{n_Y!} dv_X = \frac{\Theta^{Z,n_Z}}{n_Z!},$$

$$\Phi_* \mathcal{F}^H e^{\Theta^Z} = e^{\Theta^N}.$$

Thus, by Corollary 3.24, (3.126), (3.128), (3.131) and as in (2.140), we have as $p \rightarrow +\infty$

$$(3.133) \quad \begin{aligned} \psi_{1/\sqrt{p}} \zeta'_p(0) &= \log(p) p^{n_Z} B_0 + p^{n_Z} \Phi \tilde{\zeta}'(0) + o(p^{n_Z}) \\ &= \frac{\text{rk}(\xi) \text{rk}(\eta)}{2} \int_Z \log \left[\det \left(\frac{p \dot{R}^{X,L}}{2\pi} \right) \right] e^{\Theta^N + (p-1)\Theta^Z} + o(p^{n_Z}). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 0.7 is proved.

3.7. Proof of Theorem 3.22. First, we would like to point out that we cannot use the same method to prove Theorem 2.21 and Theorem 3.22. Indeed, the point was to see t as a parameter, in the same way as x_0 , and to use the fact that the development of the heat kernel on a compact space acting on a *fixed* bundle is smooth in the parameters. However, here we cannot fix the bundle, so we have to reprove directly the uniform development of the heat kernel. The techniques in this section are inspired by [28, Sect. 4.1].

Let ∇ be the usual derivation and let $\Delta^{T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X}$ be the usual Bochner Laplacian on $T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X$. Recall that ρ is defined in (2.45), and define

$$(3.134) \quad \mathcal{L}_{2,t} = \rho(|Z|/\varepsilon) \mathcal{L}_t + (1 - \rho(|Z|/\varepsilon)) \Delta^{T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X}.$$

Then using the fact that

$$(3.135) \quad \sup_{a \in \Gamma} \left| a^m \tilde{G}_u(\sqrt{u}a) \right| \leq C_m \exp \left(-\frac{\varepsilon^2}{16u} \right),$$

as in Proposition 3.8 and Lemma 3.11, we find

$$(3.136) \quad \left\| e^{-u\mathcal{L}_t}(0,0) - e^{-u\mathcal{L}_{2,t}}(0,0) \right\|_{\mathcal{C}^m(M)} \leq C \exp \left(-\frac{\varepsilon^2 p}{32u} \right).$$

For $v = \sqrt{u}$, set (with S_v in (2.56))

$$(3.137) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v &= v^2 S_v^{-1} \mathcal{L}_{2,t} S_v, \\ \mathcal{L}_{3,t}^0 &= \Delta^{T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X}. \end{aligned}$$

Then as in (2.83), we have

$$(3.138) \quad e^{-u\mathcal{L}_{2,t}}(0,0) = u^{-n_X} e^{-\mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v}(0,0).$$

We will use the usual Sobolev norm $\|\cdot\|_k$ (see Lemma 3.19) on $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n_X}, \mathbb{E}_{p,x_0})$.

Using the fact that uniformly in t we have

$$(3.139) \quad \mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v = \Delta^{T_{\mathbb{R},x_0}X} + O(v),$$

we can prove results analogous to Propositions 3.14 to 3.17, replacing $\nabla_t^{(0)}$, $\mathcal{L}_t$ and $\|\cdot\|_{t,k}$ by ∇ , $\mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v$ and $\|\cdot\|_k$. **In the rest of this section, we will use these propositions for $\mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v$ without further notice.**

For $k, q \in \mathbb{N}^*$, set

$$(3.140) \quad I_{k,r} = \left\{ (\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r}) = (k_i, r_i) \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^{j+1} \times (\mathbb{N}^*)^j : \sum_{i=0}^j k_i = k + j, \sum_{i=1}^j r_i = r \right\}.$$

For $(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r}) \in I_{k,r}$, $\lambda \in \Gamma$ (see Figure 2 in Section 2.3), $t > 0$ and $v \geq 0$ set

$$(3.141) \quad A_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\lambda, t, v) = (\lambda - \mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v)^{-k_0} \frac{\partial^{r_1} \mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v}{\partial v^{r_1}} (\lambda - \mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v)^{-k_1} \dots \frac{\partial^{r_j} \mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v}{\partial v^{r_j}} (\lambda - \mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v)^{-k_j}.$$

Then there exist $a_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(3.142) \quad \frac{\partial^r}{\partial v^r} (\lambda - \mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v)^{-k} = \sum_{(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r}) \in I_{k,q}} a_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}} A_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\lambda, t, v).$$

For $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{Q}^\ell$ be the set of operators

$$(3.143) \quad \mathcal{Q}^\ell = \{\nabla_{e_{i_1}} \dots \nabla_{e_{i_j}}\}_{j \leq \ell}.$$

Theorem 3.26. *For any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, $k > 2(\ell + r + 1)$ and $(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r}) \in I_{k,r}$, there are $C_m > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for any $\lambda \in \Gamma$, $t > 0$, $v \geq 0$ and $Q, Q' \in \mathcal{Q}^\ell$,*

$$(3.144) \quad \|Q A_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\lambda, t, v) Q' s\|_0 \leq C(1 + |\lambda|)^N \sum_{|\beta| \leq 2r} \|Z^\beta s\|_0.$$

Proof. First, note that as in the proof of Theorem 2.18 (see [28, (1.6.49), (1.6.51)]), Proposition 3.17 leads to

$$(3.145) \quad \|Q(\lambda - \mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v)^{-m}\|^{0,0} \leq C(1 + |\lambda|)^N, \quad \|(\lambda - \mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v)^{-m} Q'\|^{0,0} \leq C(1 + |\lambda|)^N.$$

With this estimate and Proposition 3.15, we get (3.144) for $r = 0$.

Assume now $r > 0$. By (3.66), (3.68), (3.134), (3.137) and Theorem 3.1, we know that $\frac{\partial^r}{\partial v^r} \mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v$ is a combination of

$$(3.146) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\partial^{r_1}}{\partial v^{r_1}} a_{ij}(t, vZ) \right) \left(\frac{\partial^{r_2}}{\partial v^{r_2}} \nabla_{3,t,e_i}^v \right) \left(\frac{\partial^{r_3}}{\partial v^{r_3}} \nabla_{3,t,e_j}^v \right), & \frac{\partial^{r_1}}{\partial v^{r_1}} b(t, vZ), \\ & \left(\frac{\partial^{r_1}}{\partial v^{r_1}} c_i(t, vZ) \right) \left(\frac{\partial^{r_2}}{\partial v^{r_2}} \nabla_{3,t,e_i}^v \right), & \left(\frac{\partial^{r_1}}{\partial v^{r_1}} d(t, vZ) \right) \Delta^{T_{\mathbb{R}, x_0} X}, \end{aligned}$$

where a_{ij} , b , c_i and d are of the form $f(Z)g(tZ)$ with $f(Z)$ and $g(Z)$ and their derivatives in Z uniformly bounded for $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{2n_x}$ (recall that for Toeplitz operators, we take the operator norm).

From this decomposition and Proposition 3.17, we can prove Theorem 3.26 using a similar reasoning as in [28, Thm. 4.1.13]: we write the derivatives in (3.146) in the form $f(vZ)g(tvZ)Z^\beta$ with $f(Z)$ and $g(Z)$ and their derivatives in Z uniformly bounded for $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{2n_x}$ and then we move all the terms Z^β of $A_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\lambda, t, v)Q'$ to the right-hand side of the operator, using the commutator trick of [28], i.e., commuting only the factors Z_j each at a time. Finally, we move all the terms ∇_{3,t,e_i}^v in $\frac{\partial^r}{\partial v^r} \mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v$ to the right-hand side and we obtain (3.144) using Proposition 3.17 for $\mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v$. $\square$

Theorem 3.27. *For any $r \geq 0$ and $k > 0$, there exist $C > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $\lambda \in \Gamma$, $t > 0$ and $v \geq 0$,*

$$(3.147) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(\frac{\partial^r \mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v}{\partial v^r} - \frac{\partial^r \mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v}{\partial v^r} \Big|_{v=0} \right) s \right\|_{-1} \leq Cv \sum_{|\alpha| \leq r+3} \|Z^\alpha s\|_1, \\ & \left\| \left(\frac{\partial^r}{\partial v^r} (\lambda - \mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v)^{-k} - \sum_{(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r}) \in I_{k,r}} a_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}} A_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\lambda, t, 0) \right) s \right\|_0 \leq Cv(1 + |\lambda|)^N \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 4r+3} \|Z^\alpha s\|_0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 2.19, the first line of (3.147) just follows from a Taylor expansion in v of $\mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v$ and the fact that this expansion is uniform in $t > 0$. We also get an analogue of (2.81):

$$(3.148) \quad \left\| ((\lambda - \mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v)^{-1} - (\lambda - \mathcal{L}_{3,t}^0)^{-1})s \right\|_0 \leq Cv(1 + |\lambda|^2)^M \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 3} \|Z^\alpha s\|_0.$$

Moreover, using Propositions 3.15 and 3.17, and (3.148), we have for any $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$

$$(3.149) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| ((\lambda - \mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v)^{-m} - (\lambda - \mathcal{L}_{3,t}^0)^{-m})s \right\|_0 \\ &= \left\| \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (\lambda - \mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v)^{-i} ((\lambda - \mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v)^{-1} - (\lambda - \mathcal{L}_{3,t}^0)^{-1}) (\lambda - \mathcal{L}_{3,t}^0)^{-(m-i-1)} s \right\|_0 \\ &\leq Cv(1 + |\lambda|^2)^M \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 3} \|Z^\alpha s\|_0. \end{aligned}$$

For $(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r}) \in I_{k,r}$, set $a_i = (\lambda - \mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v)^{-k_i}$, $b_i = \frac{\partial^{r_i} \mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v}{\partial v^{r_i}}$, $a'_i = (\lambda - \mathcal{L}_{3,t}^0)^{-k_i}$ and $b'_i = \frac{\partial^{r_i} \mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v}{\partial v^{r_i}} \Big|_{v=0}$. Then

$$(3.150) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\lambda, t, v) - A_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\lambda, t, 0) &= a_0 b_1 a_1 \cdots b_j a_j - a'_0 b'_1 a'_1 \cdots b'_j a'_j \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^j a_0 b_1 \cdots a_{i-1} (b_i - b'_i) a'_i \cdots b'_j a'_j + \sum_{i=0}^j a_0 b_1 \cdots b_i (a_i - a'_i) b'_{i+1} \cdots b'_j a'_j. \end{aligned}$$

Using this and (3.142), the first inequality of (3.147) and (3.149), we find the second inequality of (3.147). $\square$

Theorem 3.28. *For any $\ell, \ell', r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q > 0$, there is $C > 0$ such that for $t > 0$, $v \geq 0$ and $Z, Z' \in T_{\mathbb{R}, x_0} X$ with $|Z|, |Z'| \leq q$, we have*

$$(3.151) \quad \sup_{|\alpha|, |\alpha'| \leq \ell} \left\| \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+|\alpha'|}}{\partial Z^\alpha \partial Z'^{\alpha'}} \frac{\partial^r}{\partial v^r} e^{-\mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v}(Z, Z') \right\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\ell'}(M, \text{pr}_X^* \text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p))} \leq C.$$

Proof. Using the integral representation

$$(3.152) \quad \frac{\partial^r}{\partial v^r} e^{-\mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v} = \frac{(-1)^k (k-1)!}{2i\pi} \int_{\Gamma} e^{-\lambda} \frac{\partial^r}{\partial v^r} (\lambda - \mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v)^{-1} d\lambda,$$

Theorem 3.28 is proved from (3.142) and Theorem 3.26 exactly as Theorem 3.18 is proved from (3.82). $\square$

For k large enough, set

$$(3.153) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{r,t} &= \frac{(-1)^k (k-1)!}{2i\pi r!} \int_{\Gamma} e^{-\lambda} \sum_{(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r}) \in I_{k,r}} a_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}} A_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\lambda, t, 0) d\lambda, \\ \mathcal{B}_{r,t,v} &= \frac{1}{r!} \frac{\partial^r}{\partial v^r} e^{-\mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v} - \mathcal{B}_{r,t}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathcal{B}_{r,t}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{r,t,v}$ do not depend on the choice on k large. We denote by $\mathcal{B}_{r,t}(Z, Z')$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}_{r,t,v}(Z, Z')$) the smooth kernel of $\mathcal{B}_{r,t}$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}_{r,t,v}$) with respect to $dv_{TX}(Z')$.

Theorem 3.29. *For $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q > 0$, there exists $C > 0$ such that for $t > 0$, $v \geq 0$ and $Z, Z' \in T_{\mathbb{R}, x_0} X$ with $|Z|, |Z'| \leq q$, we have*

$$(3.154) \quad \left\| \mathcal{B}_{r,t,v}(Z, Z') \right\| \leq Cv^{1/(2n_X+1)}.$$

Proof. The proof is the same as the proof of Theorem 2.20, using Theorem 3.27 and (3.152) instead of Theorem 2.19 and (2.80) respectively. $\square$

Theorem 3.30. *For any $\ell, \ell', k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q > 0$, there is $C > 0$ such that for $t > 0$, $v \geq 0$ and $Z, Z' \in T_{\mathbb{R}, x_0} X$ with $|Z|, |Z'| \leq q$, we have*

$$(3.155) \quad \sup_{|\alpha|, |\alpha'| \leq \ell} \left\| \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+|\alpha'|}}{\partial Z^\alpha \partial Z'^{\alpha'}} \frac{\partial^r}{\partial v^r} \left(e^{-\mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v}(Z, Z') - \sum_{r=0}^k \mathcal{B}_{r,t} v^r \right) (Z, Z') \right\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\ell'}(M, \text{pr}_X^* \text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p))} \leq C v^{k+1}.$$

Proof. By (3.153) and (3.154), we have

$$(3.156) \quad \frac{1}{r!} \frac{\partial^r}{\partial v^r} e^{-\mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v} \Big|_{v=0} = \mathcal{B}_{r,t}.$$

Now by Theorem 3.28, (3.153) and the Taylor expansion

$$(3.157) \quad f(v) - \sum_{r=0}^k \frac{1}{r!} \frac{\partial^r f}{\partial v^r}(0) v^r = \frac{1}{k!} \int_0^v (v - v_0)^k \frac{\partial^{k+1} f}{\partial v^{k+1}}(v_0) dv_0,$$

we get (3.155). $\square$

Now, by (3.138) and the asymptotic expansion heat kernels (see [2] for instance), we know that $e^{-\mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v}(0, 0)$ has an asymptotic expansion as $v = \sqrt{u} \rightarrow 0$ in powers of u , so we have

$$(3.158) \quad \mathcal{B}_{2r+1,t}(0, 0) = 0.$$

Theorem 3.30, along with (3.136), (3.138) and (3.158), yields to

$$(3.159) \quad \left\| u^{n_X} e^{-u\mathcal{L}_t}(0, 0) - \sum_{r=0}^k \mathcal{B}_{2r,t}(0, 0) u^r \right\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\ell'}(M, \text{pr}_X^* \text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p))} \leq C u^{k+1}.$$

Thus, by the analogue of (2.85), we have uniformly in p

$$(3.160) \quad \begin{aligned} p^{-n_X} \psi_{1/\sqrt{p}} e^{-B_{p,u/p}^2}(x_0, x_0) &= \psi_{1/\sqrt{u}} e^{-u\mathcal{L}_t}(0, 0) \\ &= \psi_{1/\sqrt{u}} \sum_{r=0}^k \mathcal{B}_{2r,t}(0, 0) u^{r-n_X} + O(u^{k+1}). \end{aligned}$$

In conclusion, we have proved (3.116) with

$$(3.161) \quad A_{p,j} = \sum_{r-\alpha=j+n_X} \mathcal{B}_{2r,t}(0, 0)^{(2\alpha)}.$$

We now prove (3.117). To do so, we fixe $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and study the asymptotic as $t \rightarrow 0$ of $\mathcal{B}_{2r,t}(0, 0)$.

We define $\underline{\mathcal{L}}_{3,t}^v$, $\underline{A}_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\lambda, t, v)$ and $\underline{\mathcal{B}}_{2r,t}$ to be the objects corresponding to $\mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v$, $A_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\lambda, t, v)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{2r,t}$ above when we replace $\mathcal{L}_t$ by $\underline{\mathcal{L}}_t$ in their definitions. Then all Theorems 3.26-3.30 also hold for this underlined objects.

Also, similarly to Theorems 3.27 and 3.29, we can prove first that for any $r \geq 0$ and $k > 0$, there exist $C > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $\lambda \in \Gamma$ and $t > 0$,

$$(3.162) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left\| \left(\frac{\partial^r \mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v}{\partial v^r} \Big|_{v=0} - \frac{\partial^r \underline{\mathcal{L}}_{3,t}^v}{\partial v^r} \Big|_{v=0} \right) s \right\|_{-1} \leq Ct \sum_{|\alpha| \leq r+3} \|Z^\alpha s\|_1, \\ &\left\| \left(\sum_{(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r}) \in I_{k,r}} a_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}} A_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\lambda, t, 0) - a_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}} \underline{A}_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\lambda, t, 0) \right) s \right\|_0 \leq Ct(1 + |\lambda|)^N \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 4r+3} \|Z^\alpha s\|_0. \end{aligned}$$

And secondly that for $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q > 0$, there exists $C > 0$ such that for $t > 0$ and $Z, Z' \in T_{\mathbb{R}, x_0} X$ with $|Z|, |Z'| \leq q$, we have

$$(3.163) \quad \|(\mathcal{B}_{r,t} - \underline{\mathcal{B}}_{r,t})(Z, Z')\| \leq Ct^{1/(2n_X+1)}.$$

Recall that $\mathcal{H}_{x_0}(y)$, $y \in Y_{x_0}$, is defined in (3.94). Once again, we define $\mathcal{H}_{x_0,3}^v(y)$, $\tilde{A}_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\lambda, v)(y)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{2r}(y)$ to be the objects corresponding to $\mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v$, $A_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\lambda, t, v)$ and $\mathcal{B}_{2r,t}$ above when we replace $\mathcal{L}_t$ by $\mathcal{H}_{x_0}(y)$ in their definitions. Then, once again, Theorems 3.26-3.30 also hold for this objects.

By (3.96), we then have

$$(3.164) \quad \underline{\mathcal{L}}_{3,t}^v = P_{p,x_0} \mathcal{H}_{x_0,3}^v(\cdot) P_{p,x_0}.$$

As $\Delta^{T_{\mathbb{R}, x_0} X}$ commutes with P_{p,x_0} , we have $(\lambda - P_{p,x_0} \Delta^{T_{\mathbb{R}, x_0} X} P_{p,x_0})^{-1} = P_{p,x_0} (\lambda - \Delta^{T_{\mathbb{R}, x_0} X})^{-1} P_{p,x_0}$. As a consequence, using (3.141) and the same reasoning as for (3.105) (in particular Theorem 3.5), we find that for any $(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r}) \in I_{k,r}$, there exist $C > 0$ and $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(3.165) \quad \left\| \underline{A}_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\lambda, t, 0) - P_{p,x_0} \tilde{A}_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{k}}(\lambda, 0)(\cdot) P_{p,x_0} \right\|^{0,0} \leq Cp^{-1}(1 + |\lambda|^2)^K.$$

Thus by (3.153),

$$(3.166) \quad \left\| \underline{\mathcal{B}}_{2r,t} - P_{p,x_0} \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{2r} P_{p,x_0} \right\|^{0,0} \leq Cp^{-1}.$$

As the proof of Theorem 2.20, this implies that for the operator norm,

$$(3.167) \quad \underline{\mathcal{B}}_{2r,t}(0, 0) = P_{p,x_0} \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{2r}(0, 0) P_{p,x_0} + O(p^{-1/(2n_X+1)}).$$

Recall that A_j is defined in (3.113) and (3.115). With the same reasoning which led to (3.161), we find

$$(3.168) \quad A_j = \sum_{r-\alpha=j+n-x} \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{2r}(0, 0)^{(2\alpha)}.$$

With (3.161), (3.163), (3.167) and (3.168), we find (3.117) for the $\mathcal{C}^0$ -norm.

Finally, using the fact that $\nabla_U^{\text{pr}_M^* \text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)} \mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v$ has the same structure as $\mathcal{L}_{3,t}^v$, we can show that all the estimates in this section also hold for the derivatives of the operators involved. Thus, (3.117) holds for the $\mathcal{C}^\ell$ -norm.

The proof of Theorem 3.22 is completed.

3.8. Proof of Theorem 3.25. We use here the same notations and definitions as in Section 2.5. Also, we assume here again that (2.143) holds for $p \geq 1$. As $\text{Sp}(B_{p,1}^2) = \text{Sp}(D_p^2)$ and by Lemma 3.7, we have once again a decomposition

$$(3.169) \quad p^{-n_X} \psi_{1/\sqrt{p}} \text{Tr}_s \left[N_{u/p} e^{-B_{p,u/p}^2} \right] = p^{-n_X} \text{Tr}_s \left[N_u (\mathbb{P}_{p,u} + \mathbb{K}_{p,u}) \right].$$

Lemma 3.31. *Let $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{R}_-^*$. Then there exists q_0 such that for $q \geq q_0$, for $U \in T_{\mathbb{R}} B$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a $C > 0$ such that for $p \geq 1$*

$$(3.170) \quad p^{-n_X} \left\| (\nabla_U^{\pi^* \text{End}(\mathbb{E}_p)})^\ell (\lambda_0 - C_p)^{-q} \right\|_1 \leq C.$$

Proof. As in (2.155), we find using $H_p = D_p^2/p - \lambda_0$ that

$$(3.171) \quad p^{-n_X} \left\| (\lambda_0 - D_p^2/p)^{-q} \right\|_1 \leq C.$$

Recall that $B_p^2 = D_p^2 + R_p$. A look at Bismut's Lichnerowicz formula (1.29) and (1.30) shows that locally, under the trivialization on U_{x_k} (see Sections 2.1 and 3.4), we have

$$(3.172) \quad \frac{1}{p} R_p = \frac{1}{p} \mathcal{O}_{1,p} + \mathcal{O}_{0,p},$$

were $\mathcal{O}_{k,p}$ is an operator of order k acting on $\mathbb{E}_p$ with bounded coefficients (with respect to the operator norm). Thus,

$$(3.173) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{O}_{1,p} s\|_{\mathbf{H}^k(p)} &\leq C \|s\|_{\mathbf{H}^{k+1}(p)}, \\ \|\mathcal{O}_{0,p} s\|_{\mathbf{H}^k(p)} &\leq C \|s\|_{\mathbf{H}^k(p)}. \end{aligned}$$

From these estimates, we can conclude the proof as in Lemma 2.27. $\square$

Proposition 3.32. *For any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $a, C > 0$ such that for $p \geq 1$ and $u \geq 1$,*

$$(3.174) \quad p^{-nx} \left| \text{Tr}_s [N_u \mathbb{K}_{p,u}] \right|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell(B)} \leq C e^{-au}.$$

Proof. Proposition 3.32 follows from Lemma 3.31 exactly as Proposition 2.28 follows from Lemma 2.27. $\square$

Proposition 3.33. *For any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a $C > 0$ such that for any $p \geq 1$ and $u \geq 1$,*

$$(3.175) \quad p^{-nz} \left| \text{Tr}_s [N_u \mathbb{P}_{p,u}] \right|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell(B)} \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{u}}.$$

Proof. The proof is exactly the same as the proof of Proposition 2.29, the only change is that to prove the analogue of (2.195), we substitute (2.196) by

$$(3.176) \quad p^{-nz} \dim \ker(D_p^2) = p^{-nz} \dim H^0(X, \xi \otimes F_p) = p^{-nz} \dim H^0(Z, \pi_1^* \xi \otimes \eta \otimes L^p) \leq C.$$

$\square$

With (3.169) and Propositions 3.32 and 3.33, we have proved Theorem 3.25.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. A. Berezin. Quantization. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 38:1116–1175, 1974.
- [2] N. Berline, E. Getzler, and M. Vergne. *Heat kernels and Dirac operators*. Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- [3] A. Berthomieu and J.-M. Bismut. Quillen metrics and higher analytic torsion forms. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 457:85–184, 1994.
- [4] J.-M. Bismut. The Atiyah-Singer index theorem for families of Dirac operators: two heat equation proofs. *Invent. Math.*, 83(1):91–151, 1986.
- [5] J.-M. Bismut. Demailly’s asymptotic Morse inequalities: a heat equation proof. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 72(2):263–278, 1987.
- [6] J.-M. Bismut. Equivariant immersions and Quillen metrics. *J. Differential Geom.*, 41(1):53–157, 1995.
- [7] J.-M. Bismut. Holomorphic families of immersions and higher analytic torsion forms. *Astérisque*, (244):viii+275, 1997.
- [8] J.-M. Bismut. *Hypoelliptic Laplacian and Bott-Chern cohomology : a theorem of Riemann-Roch-Grothendieck in complex geometry*, volume 305 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2013.
- [9] J.-M. Bismut, H. Gillet, and C. Soulé. Analytic torsion and holomorphic determinant bundles. II. Direct images and Bott-Chern forms. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 115(1):79–126, 1988.
- [10] J.-M. Bismut, H. Gillet, and C. Soulé. Analytic torsion and holomorphic determinant bundles. III. Quillen metrics on holomorphic determinants. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 115(2):301–351, 1988.
- [11] J.-M. Bismut and K. Köhler. Higher analytic torsion forms and anomaly formulas. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 1:647–684, 1992.
- [12] J.-M. Bismut and X. Ma. Holomorphic immersions and equivariant torsion forms. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, pages 189–235, 2004.
- [13] J.-M. Bismut, X. Ma, and W. Zhang. Opérateurs de Toeplitz et torsion analytique asymptotique. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 349(17-18):977–981, 2011.
- [14] J.-M. Bismut, X. Ma, and W. Zhang. Asymptotic torsion and Toeplitz operators. *Journal of the Institute of Mathematics of Jussieu*, Available on CJO 2015 doi:10.1017/S1474748015000171, 2015.
- [15] J.-M. Bismut and E. Vasserot. The asymptotics of the Ray-Singer analytic torsion associated with high powers of a positive line bundle. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 125:355–367, 1989.
- [16] J.-M. Bismut and E. Vasserot. The asymptotics of the Ray-Singer analytic torsion of the symmetric powers of a positive vector bundle. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 40(4):835–848, 1990.

- [17] M. Bordemann, E. Meinrenken, and M. Schlichenmaier. Toeplitz quantization of Kähler manifolds and $\mathrm{gl}(N)$, $N \rightarrow \infty$ limits. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 165(2):281–296, 1994.
- [18] L. Boutet de Monvel and V. Guillemin. *The spectral theory of Toeplitz operators*, volume 99 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1981.
- [19] J. I. Burgos Gil, G. Freixas i Montplet, and R. Lițcanu. The arithmetic grothendieck-riemann-roch theorem for general projective morphisms. *arXiv 1211.1783 (J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Toulouse to appear)*, 2012.
- [20] J. I. Burgos Gil, G. Freixas i Montplet, and R. Lițcanu. Generalized holomorphic analytic torsion. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 16(3):463–535, 2014.
- [21] E. Getzler. Inégalités asymptotiques de Demailly pour les fibrés vectoriels. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 304(16):475–478, 1987.
- [22] H. Gillet, D. Rössler, and C. Soulé. An arithmetic Riemann-Roch theorem in higher degrees. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 58(6):2169–2189, 2008.
- [23] H. Gillet and C. Soulé. An arithmetic Riemann-Roch theorem. *Invent. Math.*, 110(3):473–543, 1992.
- [24] K. Köhler and D. Roessler. A fixed point formula of Lefschetz type in Arakelov geometry. I. Statement and proof. *Invent. Math.*, 145(2):333–396, 2001.
- [25] K. Köhler and D. Roessler. A fixed point formula of Lefschetz type in Arakelov geometry. II. A residue formula. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 52(1):81–103, 2002.
- [26] X. Ma. Formes de torsion analytique et familles de submersions. I. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 127(4):541–621, 1999.
- [27] X. Ma. Submersions and equivariant quillen metrics. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 50(5):1539–1588, 2000.
- [28] X. Ma and G. Marinescu. *Holomorphic Morse inequalities and Bergman kernels*, volume 254 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007.
- [29] X. Ma and G. Marinescu. Toeplitz operators on symplectic manifolds. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 18(2):565–611, 2008.
- [30] X. Ma and G. Marinescu. Berezin-Toeplitz quantization on Kähler manifolds. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 662:1–56, 2012.
- [31] X. Ma and W. Zhang. Superconnection and family Bergman kernels. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris (full version to appear)*, 344(1):41–44, 2007.
- [32] V. Mathai and D. Quillen. Superconnections, Thom classes, and equivariant differential forms. *Topology*, 25(1):85–110, 1986.
- [33] M. Puchol. The asymptotic of the holomorphic torsion forms. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris (to appear)*, 2015.
- [34] M. Puchol. *Inégalité de Morse holomorphes G -invariantes et formes de torsion asymptotiques*. PhD thesis, Université Paris Diderot, <http://webusers.imj-prg.fr/~martin.puchol/>, 2015.
- [35] D. B. Ray and I. M. Singer. Analytic torsion for complex manifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 98:154–177, 1973.
- [36] M. Schlichenmaier. Deformation quantization of compact Kähler manifolds by Berezin-Toeplitz quantization. In *Conférence Moshé Flato 1999, Vol. II (Dijon)*, volume 22 of *Math. Phys. Stud.*, pages 289–306. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2000.
- [37] C. Soulé. *Lectures on Arakelov geometry*, volume 33 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992. With the collaboration of D. Abramovich, J.-F. Burnol and J. Kramer.
- [38] K.-Y. Yoshikawa. K3 surfaces with involution, equivariant analytic torsion, and automorphic forms on the moduli space. *Invent. Math.*, 156(1):53–117, 2004.

UNIVERSITÉ PARIS DIDEROT–PARIS 7, CAMPUS DES GRANDS MOULINS, BÂTIMENT SOPHIE GERMAIN, CASE 7012, 75205 PARIS CEDEX 13

E-mail address: martin.puchol@imj-prg.fr